1						
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California					
2 3	KAREN B. CHAPPELLE Supervising Deputy Attorney General NANCY A. KAISER					
	Deputy Attorney General					
4	State Bar No. 192083 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702					
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-5794					
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4123					
12	Against:					
13	WILLIAM FERNANDO MATIAS 10329 S. Inglewood Avenue STATEMENT OF ISSUES					
14	Inglewood, CA 90304					
15	Applicant For a Pharmacy Technician Registration					
16	Respondent.					
17						
18	Complainant alleges:					
19	PARTIES					
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
22	2. On or about October 5, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer					
23	Affairs (Board) received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from William					
24	Fernando Matias (Respondent). On or about August 25, 2009, William Fernando Matias certified					
25	under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the					
26	application. The Board denied the application on April 28, 2011.					
27	///					
28						
	1					
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4123)					

		1
1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board, under the authority of the	
3	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code, unless otherwise	
4	indicated.	
5	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
6	4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or	
7	cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary	
8	action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or	
9	reinstated.	
10	5. Section 475 states:	
11	"(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall	
12	govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:	
13	"(1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly	
14	omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.	
15	"(2) Conviction of a crime.	
16	"(3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the	
17	intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.	
18	"(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or	
19	profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license."	
20	6. Section 480 states:	
21	"(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant	
22	has one of the following:	
23	"(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a	
24	plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a	
25	board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the	
26	time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when	
27	an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a	
28	subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.	
	2	

STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4123)

"(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

3 "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question,
4 would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

5 "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is
6 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for
7 which application is made.

8 "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license
9 solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a
10 certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of
11 Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has
12 met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate
13 the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of
14 Section 482.

"(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant
knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the
license."

7. Section 4301 states, in part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

22

18

1

2

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

26 "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents
27 the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

3

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

7 "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use,
8 consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any
9 combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and 10 duties of a licensee under this chapter [the Pharmacy Law]. The record of conviction of a 11 violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code 12 regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled 13 substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all 14 other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the 15 conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission 16 17 of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense 18 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A 19 plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a 20 21 conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order 22 granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent 23 24 order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the 25 accusation, information, or indictment." 26

|||

///

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

	غ،
1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2	8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
3	"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
4	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
5	crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
6	licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
7	licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
8	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."
9	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION
10	(Criminal Convictions)
11	9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 475 and 480, subdivision
12	(a)(1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that
13	Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties
14	of a pharmacy technician applicant, as follows:
15	a. On about March 12, 2007, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted
16	of one misdemeanor count of violating local ordinance MC 4.48.30 [no alcoholic beverage
17	consumption permitted], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California
18	vs. William Fernando Matias (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. 7SY00117). The Court
19	placed Respondent on 1 year probation (until March 12, 2008) with certain terms and conditions,
20	perform 26 hours of community service and to pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the
21	conviction are that on or about December 21, 2006, officers of the Manhattan Beach Police
22	Department cited Respondent for consuming an alcoholic beverage in public.
23	b. On or about March 13, 2007, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was
24	convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415(2) [disturbing the
25	peace], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California vs. William
26	Fernando Matias (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. 7IG00887). The Court placed
27	Respondent on probation for a period of 1 year (until March 13, 2008) with certain terms and
28	conditions, pay fines in lieu of 5 days in custody and to perform 3 days of graffiti removal. The
	5
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4123)

circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 24, 2007, officers of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department arrested Respondent for disturbing the peace.

On or about December 23, 2005, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was c. 3 convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 601(k) [trespassing], in the 4 criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California vs. William F. Matias (Super. 5 Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. 5WL03054). The Court placed Respondent on 18 months 6 probation (until June 23, 2007) with certain terms and conditions and to perform 3 days of Cal 7 Trans in lieu of a fine. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about 8 September 21, 2005, Respondent was observed stealing alcoholic beverages from Ralphs's 9 Supermarket. 10

d. On or about August 12, 2004, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was 11 convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under 12 the influence of alcohol or drugs], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of 13 California vs. William Fernando Matias (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2004, No. 4WL02557). 14 The Court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months with certain terms and 15 conditions and ordered Respondent to complete a 6-month First Offender Alcohol Counseling 16 Program (AB762) and serve 13 days in custody in lieu of fines. The circumstances surrounding 17 the conviction are that on or about June 5, 2004, officers of the Los Angeles Police Department 18 arrested Respondent for driving a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or drugs. 19

20

21

1

2

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Making a False Statement of Fact)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (c), in
conjunction with section 475 (a)(1), in that on or about August 25, 2009, Respondent knowingly
made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in his Application for Registration as a
Pharmacy Technician, in that he failed to disclose that on March 13, 2007, March 12, 2007,
December 23, 2005 and August 12, 2004, he was convicted of criminal offenses. Complainant
refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 9, subparagraph
(a) through (d), as though set forth fully herein.

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION
2	(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)
3	11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3), in
4	conjunction with section 4301, subdivisions (f), (g), (h), (k), and (l), in that Respondent
5	committed acts that if done by a licensee would be grounds for suspension or revocation of
6	license. Specifically, Respondent engaged in dishonest conduct and alcohol abuse and was
7	convicted of substantially related crimes, including convictions related to alcohol consumption.
8	Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraphs 9
9	and 10, as though set forth fully herein.
10	PRAYER
11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
12	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
13	1. Denying the application of William Fernando Matias for a Pharmacy Technician
14	Registration; and
15	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
16	
17	DATED 3/19/17 ()incide all a
18	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
19	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
20	State of California Complainant
21	Complanan
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	LA2011601141 60688448 3.doc
28	