1	KAMALA D. HARRIS							
2	Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE							
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RENE JUDKIEWICZ							
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141773							
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013							
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2537 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804							
7	Attorneys for Complainant							
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY							
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 3998							
11	Against:							
12	ORLANDO RAY GARCIA 5112 Sepulveda Blvd., #112 STATEMENT OF ISSUES							
13	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Applicant for Registration as an Intern							
14	Pharmacist Applicant for Licensure as a Pharmacist							
15	Respondent.							
16								
17								
18	Complainant alleges:							
19	<u>PARTIES</u>							
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official							
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer							
22	Affairs.							
23	2. On or about September 7, 2010, the Board received an Application for Registration as							
24	an Intern Pharmacist from Orlando Ray Garcia (Respondent). On or about September 2, 2010,							
25	Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and							
26	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on October 26, 2010.							
27	111							
28								

3. On or about October 12, 2010, the Board received an Application for Pharmacist Licensure and Examination from Respondent. On or about September 30, 2010, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on November 17, 2010.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code authorizes the Board to "refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - "(1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.
 - "(2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.
 - "(3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.
 - "(4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.
 - "(5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.
 - "(6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.
 - "(7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy."

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 141 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) For any licensee holding a license issued by a board under the jurisdiction of the department, a disciplinary action taken by another state, . . . for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for disciplinary action by the respective state licensing board. A certified copy of the record of the disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state . . . shall be conclusive evidence of the events related therein.

7.	Section 475	of the	Code	states,	in	pertinent	part:

"(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:

. . . .

"(2) Conviction of a crime.

"(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the . . . profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license."

8. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that a board may deny a license if the applicant has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the profession for which application is made, or has committed any act which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of a license.

- 9. Section 4022 of the Code defines the term "dangerous drug" as including any drug "that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription"
 - 10. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

///

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

- "(n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy . . . or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter."
 - 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial . . . of a personal . . . license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

111

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Substantially Related Convictions)

- 12. Respondent's applications are subject to denial under Code sections 475, subdivision (a)(2), 480 and 4301, subdivision (l) in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of an intern pharmacist and a pharmacist, as defined in section 1770 of title 16 of the California Code of Regulations. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about February 16, 2007, in the criminal proceedings entitled *People v. Garcia* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2007, No. 7BR0029401), Respondent pleaded no contendere to and was convicted of the misdemeanor of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of any alcoholic beverage or drug, or under the combined influence of any alcoholic beverage and drug. The factual basis for the conviction was that on or about January 3, 2007, Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of Ambien.
- b. On or about May 22, 2002, in criminal proceedings in Colorado Arapahoe County District Court, Case No. 02CR2353, Respondent pleaded guilty to and was convicted of possession of a controlled substance. The factual basis for the conviction was that on or about May 1, 2002, Respondent sought Vicodin illegally.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Substance Abuse Convictions)

- 13. Respondent's applications are subject to denial under Code sections 475, subdivision (a)(2), 480 and 4301, subdivision (k) in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor or felony involving the use, consumption or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage. Complainant refers to and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in subparagraphs 12a and 12 b, above, as though set forth fully therein. In addition, Respondent was convicted of the following substance abuse crimes:
- a. In or about 1995, Respondent was convicted in Santa Barbara County Superior Court of driving under the influence.

b. In or about 1990, Respondent was convicted in Los Angeles County Superior Court of driving under the influence.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Substance Abuse)

14. Respondent's applications are subject to denial under Code sections 480 and 4301, subdivision (h) in that Respondent used controlled substances, dangerous drugs and/or alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner to be dangerous to himself or others, or to the extent that the use impaired his ability to safely practice pharmacy. Complainant refers to and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in subparagraphs 12a, 12b, 13a and 13b above, as though set forth fully therein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Out-of-State Discipline)

- 15. Respondent's applications are subject to denial under Code sections 141, subdivision (a), 475, subdivision (a)(4), 480 and 4301, subdivision (n) in that is the subject of out-of-state discipline concerning a pharmacist intern license. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. In or about January 2010, the Colorado State Board of Pharmacy issued an Order approving and accepting a Stipulation and Final Agency Order accepted and agreed by Respondent on or about December 28, 2009 in a disciplinary action entitled *In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Regarding the Application for a Pharmacist Intern License in the State of Colorado of Orlando R. Garcia, Intern Application*, Colorado Board of Pharmacy Case No. 2010-001371.
- b. The Stipulation and Final Agency Order's findings included that Respondent had a prior Colorado license to practice as a pharmacist, issued in 1999, that was revoked due to his addiction to controlled substances and his presenting a fraudulent prescription order for a controlled substance. He subsequently applied twice to be a pharmacist intern in Colorado. In 2002, Respondent pleaded guilty in Colorado to possession of a Schedule II controlled substance, and in 2007, he pleaded nolo contendere to being under the influence of alcohol/drugs in a