11	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General
3	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 101336 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3037
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 3829
12	RUDY VALLES STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	P.O. Box 8022 Moreno Valley, CA 92552
. 14	Respondent.
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about May 4, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs
22	received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Rudy Valles (Respondent).
23	On or about April 9, 2009, Rudy Valles certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all
24	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on
25	May 3, 2010.
26	///
27	111
28	111
	1

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The Board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
 - (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime.
 - (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
 - (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 6. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
 - (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

28

board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of

$1 \parallel$	conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under
2	Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or
3	dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
4	
5	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
6	9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1768 states:
7 8	(a) Where the board has denied an application for a license, the earliest date on which the applicant may reapply for a license is one year after the effective date of the denial.
9	(b) All competent evidence of rehabilitation presented will be considered upon a reapplication. The board shall use the criteria listed in section 1769 when considering evidence of rehabilitation.
11	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:
12	480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitat of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will conside the following criteria:
13	
14 15	(1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
16 17	(2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
18	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
19	(4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole,
20	probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
21	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.
22	
23	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:
24	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and
25	Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree
26	it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the
27	functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

28 | ///

22.

///

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(October 5, 2006 Criminal Conviction for Grand Theft on July 25, 2006)

- 12. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(1) and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about October 5, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Rudy Valles*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court Case number GA066454, Respondent was convicted on his plea of no contest to violating Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a), grand theft, a felony.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about October 5, 2006, Respondent was sentenced to one day in the Los Angeles County Jail (with credit for one day), and placed on three years formal probation. Respondent was further ordered to complete 45 days of public work service, pay restitution, and comply with standard felony probation terms.
- c. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about July 25, 2006, Respondent, who was employed by a broadcasting company as a security guard, was observed by a coworker stealing a studio grade digital camera (with an MSRP of \$39,800 when new). Respondent cut a cable and hid the camera behind a wall in the studio. The coworker confronted Respondent and asked him if he intended to steal the camera. Respondent replied "yes" and that no one would know anything unless the coworker said something. The coworker reported the incident to management who confirmed that the camera was missing and that Respondent left work several hours before his shift ended. The Burbank Police Department was notified and an investigator contacted Respondent at the studio the next morning. Respondent admitted to the investigator that he had taken the camera because he needed the money and that he had received \$100 for it from a pawn shop. Respondent took investigators to the pawn shop where the camera was recovered.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Commission of Dishonest Act)

13. Respondent's application for registration as a pharmacy technician is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivision (a)(2) and 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code in that on or about July 25, 2006, Respondent committed an act of dishonesty and deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, as detailed in paragraph 12, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Rudy Valles for a Pharmacy Technician Registration;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 12/17/10

VIRGINIA HEROLI

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2010702503