1	22/12012 - 1210 //1/010	
2	Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LANGSTON M. EDWARDS	
4	Deputy Attorney General	-
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
	Telephone: (213) 620-6343	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	•
7.	BEFORE THE	
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		NT 0010
11		No. 3719
12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	Thousand Oaks, CA 91362	TEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Applicant for Pharmacy Technician Registration	
15	Respondent.	
16	5	
17	7	
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20		
21	1. Virginia K. Herold ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her	
	b and a constraint of the second sectors in the	
22	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board").	
23	2. On or about May 13, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy received an application for	
24	Pharmacy Technician Registration from Michael Castiel ("Respondent"). On or about May 9,	
25	2009, Michael Castiel certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
26	answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on April 13,	
27	2010.	
28	3 //	

JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another; or
- (3) Done any act which if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for such license."
 - 5. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the

2.8

ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

- 7. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1) of the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician applicant as follows:
- a. On or about March 19, 2008, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of violating Pen. Code section 273.5(a) [infliction of corporal injury on current or former spouse/cohabitant], a misdemeanor, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Castiel* (Super. Court County of Ventura, 2007, No. 2007011067). Respondent was sentenced to 5 days in jail and placed on formal probation for 36 months (with terms and conditions). Respondent was ordered to attend domestic violence counseling sessions

for a period of one year, participate in the Direct Work program and pay approximately \$2,542,00 in fines, fees and penalties.

- b. The underlying circumstances occurred on or around March 18, 2007, when pursuant to an argument with his wife, Respondent hit his wife on the back of her legs with his fists, causing visible bruises. The following day on or around March 19, 2007, Respondent became angry with his wife and threw a metal box at her, which struck her in the side of the head causing visible injury. On or around March 24, 2007 Ventura County Sheriffs contacted Respondent, who admitted that he and his wife "have a very volatile relationship, which often leads to physical violence" between the two of them. Respondent was subsequently arrested for domestic violence.
- c. On or around May 4, 2000, Respondent was convicted of violating two counts of Veh. Code section 4463(a)(2) [uttering a false certificate], a misdemeanor, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Castiel* (Super. Court County of Los Angeles, 2000, No. 035384). Respondent was ordered to perform 250 hours of community service and pay \$2,500.00 to the Bureau of Automotive Repair and \$500.00 in restitution.
- d. The underlying circumstances occurred on or around October 21, 1998 when Respondent knowingly uttered, published, passed and attempted to pass as true and genuine, a false, altered, forged and counterfeited smog certificate with intent to defraud.
- e. On or around April 3, 1996, Respondent was convicted of violating one count of Pen. Code 242 [battery], a misdemeanor, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Castiel* (Van Nuys Municipal Court, 1996, No. 6PN0124501). Respondent was sentenced to 12 months of probation and ordered to participate in a work program. Respondent's conviction was later dismissed pursuant to Pen. Code section 1203.4.

/.

26 || //.

27 |

//

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Act Involving Dishonesty)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(2) of the Code, in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations in paragraph 7, subparagraphs (c) and (d) as though set forth fully herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Making a False Statement of Fact on Application for Licensure)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (c) of the Code, in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact on his application for licensure to the Board. The circumstances are that on or about May 9, 2009, Michael Castiel certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application for licensure to the Board. Specifically, in response to Question No. 6, which asks, "Have you ever been convicted of or pled no contest to a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States or any state laws or local ordinances," Respondent answered "No." In doing so, Respondent made a false statement of fact concerning his previous convictions. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations in paragraph 7, and all subparagraphs as though set forth fully herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts If Done By Licentiate Would be Grounds for Suspension or Revocation)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3) of the Code, in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate in the profession would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations in paragraphs 7, 8 and 9, including all subparagraphs as though set forth fully herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Michael Castiel for Pharmacy Technician Registration;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: <u>9/23/10</u>

VIRGINIA K. HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy State of California Complainant

LA2010502536 statement of issues.rtf

.25