1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney Company	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR Deputy Attorney General	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7866	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFOR BOARD OF P	HARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA	
11		G N (24)
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6246
13	COMPLETE PHARMACY SERVICES, LLC, ET AL. DBA LOYALTON	ENDOTE A MENIDED A COLUCATION
14	PHARMACY JON PAUL LETKO, PRESIDENT	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION
15	701 Main St. P.O. Box 36	
16	Loyalton, CA 96118	
17	Original Permit No. PHY 54562	
18	and	
19	ELVA PEELER P.O. Box 36	
20	Loyalton, CA 96118	
21	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 26167	
22	Respondents.	
23		
24	Complainant alleges:	
25	PART	TIES
26	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this First Amended Accusation solely in her
27	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the B	oard of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
28	Affairs (Board).	
		1

2. On or about September 16, 2016, the Board issued Original Permit Number PHY
54562 to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melcho
Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba
Loyalton Pharmacy (Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy), with Jon Paul Letko as President. Elva
Peeler is and has been the Manager and Pharmacist-in-Charge at Respondent Loyalton since
September 16, 2016. The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
charges brought herein and will expire on September 1, 2018, unless renewed.

3. On or about July 15, 1969, the Board issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 to Elva Peeler (Respondent Peeler). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2018, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Code section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 4081 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, outsourcing facility, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or

Ī	
	dangerous devices.
1	(b) The owner, officer, and partner of a pharmacy, wholesaler, third-
2 3	party logistics provider, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated
4	representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section
5	7. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
6	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty
7	of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
8	
9	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty,
10	fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
11	•••
12	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting
13 14	in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency
15	8. Section 4307 of the Code states:
16	(a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been
17	revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it
18	was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a
19	license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer,
20	director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was
21	denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in
22	any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:
23	(1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed
24	five years.
25	(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
26	(b) Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate,
27	partner, or any other person with management or control of a license as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.
28	In such capacity in or for a necessee.

centers that provide primary health and dental care, pediatric, adult, and geriatric care, and chronic disease and HIV/AIDS treatment, located in Berkeley, California. According to the complaint, since late 2016, LifeLong staff received faxes and calls from various clinics and call centers requesting approval for fraudulent prescriptions, usually Lidocaine ointment. In every instance, the patient was a LifeLong patient and the prescribers had not prescribed Lidocaine ointment. The faxes were from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, USA Health Initiatives, Curexa, and Woods Pharmacy.

- 26. On or about June 26, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with physician assistant S.E. S.E. stated that the callers said they were from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy and requested prescriptions for Lidocaine ointment, sometimes patches. A couple of the callers said they were from Global Healthcare. LifeLong rarely prescribes Lidocaine.
- 27. On or about July 6, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. conducted an inspection of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy.
- 28. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's Pharmacist-in-Charge, Respondent Peeler, said that Global Healthcare advertised diabetic supplies on television and the internet. When asked how Loyalton Pharmacy received the prescriptions, Peeler first said Global Healthcare called the patients to approve Lidocaine prescriptions, then changed her answer to Loyalton Pharmacy calling the patients to approve Lidocaine prescriptions. Peeler said she did not know whether the patients had Lidocaine products prior to the calls, however she had no record of previous prescriptions having been issued at Loyalton Pharmacy.
- 29. Board Inspector P.P. investigated whether Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy maintained allergy information and physical addresses for its patients. From a patient list P.P. was using throughout her inspection, she discovered seven patients with no allergy information on file, and eight patients with no physical address on file. For this group of patients, P.P. discovered that Loyalton Pharmacy had dispensed the following controlled substances:

 Oxycodone 10mg, Norco 10/325mg, Norco 5/325mg, Ambien 10mg, Oxycodone ER 10mg, and Alprazolam 1mg. P.P. asked Respondent Peeler how she would know if these patients lived in the area, and Peeler stated she would not know, she just assumed the patients lived in or around

Loyalton. When P.P. showed Peeler one of the prescriptions Norco 10 for a patient whose doctor was in Grass Valley, hours away from Loyalton Pharmacy, Peeler admitted she had not verified the prescription with the doctor.

30. During the inspection, Respondent Peeler provided Board Inspector P.P. with a list which described the process for dispensing prescription-only medication by Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy. According to this process, Keystone Choice Pharmacy, rather than Loyalton Pharmacy, would engage in prospective drug review, interventions, and patient counseling, and then create labels for prescriptions which would be overnight-mailed to Loyalton Pharmacy.

31. Board Inspector P.P. conducted an audit of some of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's dangerous drugs which revealed the following:

Dangerous	Beginning	Total	Ending	Total	Variance
Drug	Inventory	Acquisitions	Inventory	Disposition	
Omega 3	19	96,169	0	75,870	20,299
1gm					
Lidocaine	0	1,894,550	88,270	1,817,029	77,521
5%					
Ointment					
Lido/	0	327,600	510	301,650	25,950
prolocaine					
2.5%					
Diclofenac	0	64,800	11,250	61,800	3,000
1.5 ml					

32. After auditing Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's inventory, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with Respondent Peeler regarding invoices for the dangerous drugs that P.P. audited. Peeler said she did not have invoices because they were sent "back east" so they would pay the invoices. Peeler acknowledged to P.P. that the invoices were to be kept in the pharmacy and stored for seven years.

- 33. Respondents Loyalton Pharmacy and Peeler were unable to account for any of the variances (losses) identified by Board Inspector P.P.'s audit for Omega 3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lido/prolocaine 2.5%, and diclofenac.
- 34. During Board Inspector P.P.'s investigation, she discovered that Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy purchased the bulk of its Lidocaine ointment 5%, Lidocaine/prolocaine 2.5% cream, Omega-3 1gm, and diclofenac 1.5% solution from two vendors, Amneal and Sandoz. Respondent Peeler never provided P.P. with invoices for these purchases despite P.P.'s repeated requests.
- 35. On or about August 18, 2017, Respondent Peeler provided Board Inspector P.P. with audio records of Patient H.G. regarding how this patient obtained Lidocaine from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy. In the first recording, H.G. called into a website to order a knee and back brace. In the second recording, H.G. spoke with a representative from Global Healthcare, where H.G. again indicated an interest in knee and back braces. The Global Healthcare representative solicited H.G. to obtain Lidocaine and diclofenac topicals for pain. H.G. told the Global Healthcare representative that she was taking Ibuprofen. The Global Healthcare representative told H.G. there was no problem using Lidocaine and diclofenac with the medications H.G. was on. The Global Healthcare representative told H.G. that Loyalton Pharmacy would be giving H.G. the prescriptions and gave H.G. Loyalton Pharmacy's customer service number.
- 36. Diclofenac external and Ibuprofen oral are in the steroidal anti-inflammatory agents class and may represent a therapeutic duplication. They have potentially severe life-threatening reaction/interaction which may cause deterioration in the patient's clinical status. Administration of diclofenac sodium external should be used with extreme caution in geriatric patients.
- 37. After receiving the audio recordings, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with Respondent Peeler. Peeler admitted that she never called and consulted with Patient H.G. on the use of Lidocaine or diclofenac. Peeler admitted that no one from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy called H.G. to obtain a medication history. Peeler admitted that she did not check H.G.'s medication history for drug interactions or use in the elderly.
- 38. At the conclusion of her investigation, Board Inspector P.P. determined that Global Healthcare used a call-in request for knee and back braces to obtain the callers' medical

information and to solicit prescriptions from the callers' prescribers. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy then used the information Global Healthcare obtained to solicit prescriptions from the callers' prescribers when the callers had not been issued the prescriptions previously.

Patient H.G.

- 39. On or about February 21, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. received a complaint from Patient H.G. H.G. alleged that she had received Lidocaine from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy without any notification from her doctor that such a prescription was issued. The prescription was issued on or about December 7, 2016.
- 40. During Board Inspector P.P.'s July 6, 2017 inspection of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, she conducted an investigation regarding Loyalton Pharmacy's issuance of Lidocaine to Patient H.G.
- 41. Respondent Peeler stated that she could not find the prescription for Lidocaine for Patient H.G. Respondents Loyalton Pharmacy and Peeler never provided this prescription to Board Inspector P.P., after being requested to do so.

Patient P.G.

- 42. On or about February 23, 2017, the Board received a complaint regarding Patient P.G.'s receipt of prescription medications from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy which P.G. contended were not authorized by his doctor. P.G. lived in Claremont, CA, approximately 500 miles away from Loyalton Pharmacy.
- 43. During Board Inspector P.P.'s July 6, 2017 inspection of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, she conducted an investigation regarding Loyalton Pharmacy's issuance of prescription medication to Patient P.G.
- 44. While reviewing Patient P.G.'s profile with Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's pharmacist, G.S., it was determined that on or about January 16, 2017, Loyalton Pharmacy issued Patient P.G. Lidocaine 5% ointment. Pharmacist G.S. was unable to retrieve any prescription for P.G.
- 45. On or about August 18, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with Respondent Peeler regarding the Lidocaine prescription that was issued to Patient P.G. Peeler admitted that she did

not consult with P.G. on the use of Lidocaine or diclofenac, that no one from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy called P.G. to obtain a medication history, and that E.P. did not check P.G.'s medication history for drug interactions or use in the elderly.

Audio Calls

- 46. On or about May 24, 2018, Board Inspector P.P. received approximately 120 recorded calls from Pharmacist G.S. The calls occurred on or about and between May 22, 2017, and August 15, 2017. The recorded calls were of verbal authorizations for prescriptions for Omega-3, Lidocaine ointment, Lodocaine/prilocaine cream, and diclofenac solution.
- 47. The audio files of the recorded calls were sent via electronic mail from Global Healthcare to Respondent Peeler, Pharmacist G.S., and Pharmacist M.B. A pharmacist from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy would listen to the audio file and reduce it to a hard copy prescription.
- 48. On or about May 24, 2018, Board Inspector P.P. received a dispensing report for prescriptions dispensed from August 1, 2017, through September 21, 2017. The dispensing report included prescriptions obtained by Global Healthcare that were dispensed by Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy and Respondent Peeler.

RESPONDENT LOYALTON PHARMACY

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

- 49. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 of the Code in that:
- a. Loyalton Pharmacy could not account for the losses of Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, diclofenac 1.5% solution, and Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream.
- b. Pharmacist-in-Charge Respondent Peeler had no relationship with most of the patients who received Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, and diclofenac 1.5% solution. Peeler did not know how the prescriptions were obtained nor was she aware that Loyalton Pharmacy obtained the prescriptions by solicitation of prescribers when patients were not on the prescriptions previously.

obtained the prescriptions by solicitation of prescribers when patients were not on the prescriptions previously.

- c. Failed to keep, store, and provide invoices to show purchases of Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, and diclofenac 1.5% solution.
- d. Failed to obtain addresses of patients who received Schedule II through IV controlled substances, thereby increasing the risk of drug diversion.
- e. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 29-30 and 32-38, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct—Violation of Federal and State Regulations Governing Pharmacy)

- 53. Respondent Peeler is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(o) of the Code in that Peeler violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, assisted in or abetted the violation of, or conspired to violate federal and state regulations governing pharmacy, as follows:
- a. Respondent Peeler failed to comply with the requirements for dispensing prescriptions for controlled substances, by failing to obtain physical addresses on prescriptions written for controlled substances, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11164, subdivision (a)(2).
- b. Respondent Peeler failed to maintain medication profiles by failing to obtain allergy information, for up to fifty percent of patients, prior to dispensing dangerous drugs, which could result in serious adverse reaction to patients, in violation of Regulation section 1701.1, subdivision (a)(1)(C).
- c. Respondent Peeler failed to consult with patients when she entered into an agreement with Keystone Choice Pharmacy, located in Easton, PA, to conduct drug review, interventions, and patient counseling for prescriptions obtained for Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, in violation of Regulation section 1707.2.
- d. Respondent Peeler failed to maintain records and invoices of dangerous drugs by failing to maintain invoices from Sandoz and Amneal, from whom Respondent Loyalton bought

the bulk of Lidocaine ointment 5%, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, Omega-3 1gm, and diclofenac 1.5% solution, in violation of Code section 4081, subdivisions (a) and (b), and Regulation section 1718.

- e. Respondent Peeler failed to maintain an accurate inventory by failing to have complete accountability for all dangerous drugs, in violation of Code sections 4081, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 4332, and Regulation section 1718.
- f. Respondent Peeler issued an erroneous and uncertain prescription by dispensing a prescription for Lidocaine 5% ointment on or about January 16, 2017, without first verifying the legitimacy of the prescription, in violation of Regulation section 1761, subdivision (a).
- g. Respondent Peeler issued an erroneous and uncertain prescription by dispensing a prescription for Lidocaine 5% ointment on or about December 7, 2017, without first verifying the legitimately of the prescription, in violation of Regulation section 1761, subdivision (a).
- h. Respondent Peeler issued erroneous and uncertain prescriptions by dispensing prescriptions for Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, and diclofenac 1.5% solution on or about and between May 22, 2017, and September 21, 2017, without first verifying the legitimacy of the prescriptions, in violation of Regulation section 1761, subdivision (a).
- i. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 24-48, above.

OTHER MATTERS

54. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 54562 issued to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy, Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if

Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is reinstated if it is revoked.

- 55. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 54562 issued to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy, while Elva Peeler has been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Elva Peeler shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 56. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 issued to Elva Peeler, Elva Peeler shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 is placed on probation or until Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 54562, issued to Complete
 Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management
 Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 issued to Elva Peeler;
- 3. Prohibiting Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number 54562 is reinstated if Original Permit

ŀ		
1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7866	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE BOARD OF PI	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA	NSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CA	LIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6246
13	COMPLETE PHARMACY SERVICES,	
	LLC, ET AL. DBA LOYALTON PHARMACY	ACCUSATION
14	JON PAUL LETKO, PRESIDENT 701 Main St.	
15	P.O. Box 36 Loyalton, CA 96118	
16	Original Permit No. PHY 54562	
17	and	
18	ELVA PEELER	
19	P.O. Box 36 Loyalton, CA 96118	
20	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 26167	
21	Respondents.	
22		
23		·
24	Complainant alleges:	
25	PART	<u>IES</u>
26	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Accusation solely in her official capacity
27	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).
28	111	
	1	
ļ	(COMPLETE PHARMACY SERVICES, LLC, E'	FAL. DBA LOYALTON PHARMACY) ACCUSATION

- 2. On or about September 16, 2016, the Board issued Original Permit Number PHY 54562 to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy (Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy), with Jon Paul Letko as President. Elva Peeler is and has been the Manager and Pharmacist-in-Charge at Respondent Loyalton since September 16, 2016. The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 1, 2018, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about July 15, 1969, the Board issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 to Elva Peeler (Respondent Peeler). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2018, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Code section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 4081 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, outsourcing facility, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or

dangerous devices.

(b) The owner, officer, and partner of a pharmacy, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section. . . .

7. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency. . . .

8. Section 4307 of the Code states:

- (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:
- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
- (b) Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a license as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.

1 2 3 4	(c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law.
5	9. Section 4332 of the Code states:
7	"Any person who fails, neglects, or refuses to maintain the records required by Section
8	4081 or who, when called upon by an authorized officer or a member of the board, fails, neglects
9	or refuses to produce or provide the records within a reasonable time, or who willfully produces
10	or furnishes records that are false, is guilty of a misdemeanor."
11	HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
12	10. Health and Safety Code section 11164 states, in pertinent part:
13 14	Except as provided in Section 11167, no person shall prescribe a controlled substance, nor shall any person fill, compound, or dispense a prescription for a controlled substance, unless it complies with the requirements of this section.
15 16 17	(a) Each prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule II, III, IV, or V, except as authorized by subdivision (b), shall be made on a controlled substance prescription form as specified in Section 11162.1 and shall meet the following requirements:
18 19 20 21	(1) The prescription shall be signed and dated by the prescriber in ink and shall contain the prescriber's address and telephone number; the name of the ultimate user or research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services; refill information, such as the number of refills ordered and whether the prescription is a first-time request or a refill; and the name, quantity, strength, and directions for use of the controlled substance prescribed.
22	(2) The prescription shall also contain the address of the person for whom the controlled substance is prescribed. If the prescriber does not specify this
23	address on the prescription, the pharmacist filling the prescription or an employee acting under the direction of the pharmacist shall write or type the address on the prescription or maintain this information in a readily retrievable form in the
24	pharmacy
25	
26	
27	
28	

<u>REGULATORY PROVISIONS</u>
11. California Code Regulations, title 16 ("Regulation"), section 1707.1 states, in
pertinent part:
(a) A pharmacy shall maintain medication profiles on all patients who have prescriptions filled in that pharmacy except when the pharmacist has reasonable belief that the patient will not continue to obtain prescription medications from that pharmacy.
(1) A patient medication record shall be maintained in an automated data processing or manual record mode such that the following information is readily retrievable during the pharmacy's normal operating hours.
(A) The patient's full name and address, telephone number, date of birth (or age) and gender;
(B) For each prescription dispensed by the pharmacy:
1. The name, strength, dosage form, route of administration, if other than oral, quantity and directions for use of any drug dispensed;
2. The prescriber's name and where appropriate, license number, DEA registration number or other unique identifier;
3. The date on which a drug was dispensed or refilled;
4. The prescription number for each prescription; and
5. The information required by section 1717.
(C) Any of the following which may relate to drug therapy: patient allergies, idiosyncracies, current medications and relevant prior medications including nonprescription medications and relevant devices, or medical conditions which are communicated by the patient or the patient's agent
12. Regulation section 1707.2 states:
(a) A pharmacist shall provide oral consultation to his or her patient or the patient's agent in all care settings:
(1) upon request; or
(2) whenever the pharmacist deems it warranted in the exercise of his or her professional judgment.
(b)
(1) In addition to the obligation to consult set forth in subsection (a), a pharmacist shall provide oral consultation to his or her patient or the patient's agent in any care setting in which the patient or agent is present:

(A) whenever the prescription drug has not previously been dispensed to

only, sole active ingredient product, and lidocaine/prilocaine, a combination product. Lidocaine is used as a sole topical anesthetic. Prilocaine is used in combination with lidocaine for additive anesthesia/pain relieving effects. It is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.

- 18. Diclofenac is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug. It is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 19. Omega-3 is a fish oil supplement that is issued by prescription. It is a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 20. Oxycodone is an opioid pain medication. It is a Schedule II controlled substance under Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1308.12(b)(1)(xiii), and California Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(M).
- 21. Norco is a brand name for the combination prescription drug acetaminophen/hydrocodone. It is used to treat moderate to severe pain. It is a Schedule II controlled substance under Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1308.12(b)(vi), and California Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(2).
- 22. Ambien (zolpidem) is a sedative, also called a hypnotic. It is a Schedule IV controlled substance under Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1308.14(c)(54), and California Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(32).
- 23. Alprazolam is a benzodiazepine. It is used to treat anxiety disorders, panic disorders, and anxiety caused by depression. It is a Schedule IV controlled substance under Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1308.14(c)(2), and California Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(1).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 24. Jon Paul Letko is CEO/President of the following businesses:
 - a. Global Healthcare Management, LLC, located in Milford, NJ
 - b. Keystone Choice Pharmacy, LLC, located in Easton, PA
 - c. Loyalton Pharmacy, located in Loyalton, CA
- 25. On or about June 1, 2017, the Board received an online complaint from S.E., a physician assistant with LifeLong Medical Care (LifeLong), a non-profit organization with health

centers that provide primary health and dental care, pediatric, adult, and geriatric care, and chronic disease and HIV/AIDS treatment, located in Berkeley, California. According to the complaint, since late 2016, LifeLong staff received faxes and calls from various clinics and call centers requesting approval for fraudulent prescriptions, usually Lidocaine ointment. In every instance, the patient was a LifeLong patient and the prescribers had not prescribed Lidocaine ointment. The faxes were from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, USA Health Initiatives, Curexa, and Woods Pharmacy.

- 26. On or about June 26, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with physician assistant S.E. S.E. stated that the callers said they were from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy and requested prescriptions for Lidocaine ointment, sometimes patches. A couple of the callers said they were from Global Healthcare. LifeLong rarely prescribes Lidocaine.
- 27. On or about July 6, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. conducted an inspection of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy.
- 28. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's Pharmacist-in-Charge, Respondent Peeler, said that Global Healthcare advertised diabetic supplies on television and the internet. When asked how Loyalton Pharmacy received the prescriptions, Peeler first said Global Healthcare called the patients to approve Lidocaine prescriptions, then changed her answer to Loyalton Pharmacy calling the patients to approve Lidocaine prescriptions. Peeler said she did not know whether the patients had Lidocaine products prior to the calls, however she had no record of previous prescriptions having been issued at Loyalton Pharmacy.
- 29. Board Inspector P.P. investigated whether Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy maintained allergy information and physical addresses for its patients. From a patient list P.P. was using throughout her inspection, she discovered seven patients with no allergy information on file, and eight patients with no physical address on file. For this group of patients, P.P. discovered that Loyalton Pharmacy had dispensed the following controlled substances:

 Oxycodone 10mg, Norco 10/325mg, Norco 5/325mg, Ambien 10mg, Oxycodone ER 10mg, and Alprazolam 1mg. P.P. asked Respondent Peeler how she would know if these patients lived in the area, and Peeler stated she would not know, she just assumed the patients lived in or around

Loyalton. When P.P. showed Peeler one of the prescriptions Norco 10 for a patient whose doctor was in Grass Valley, hours away from Loyalton Pharmacy, Peeler admitted she had not verified the prescription with the doctor.

30. During the inspection, Respondent Peeler provided Board Inspector P.P. with a list which described the process for dispensing prescription-only medication by Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy. According to this process, Keystone Choice Pharmacy, rather than Loyalton Pharmacy, would engage in prospective drug review, interventions, and patient counseling, and then create labels for prescriptions which would be overnight-mailed to Loyalton Pharmacy.

31. Board Inspector P.P. conducted an audit of some of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's dangerous drugs which revealed the following:

Dangerous	Beginning	Total	Ending	Total	Variance
Drug	Inventory	Acquisitions	Inventory	Disposition	
Omega 3	19	96,169	0	75,870	20,299
Lidocaine 5% Ointment	0	1,894,550	88,270	1,817,029	77,521
Lido/ prolocaine 2.5%	0	327,600	510	301,650	25,950
Diclofenac 1.5 ml	0	64,800	11,250	61,800	3,000

32. After auditing Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's inventory, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with Respondent Peeler regarding invoices for the dangerous drugs that P.P. audited. Peeler said she did not have invoices because they were sent "back east" so they would pay the invoices. Peeler acknowledged to P.P. that the invoices were to be kept in the pharmacy and stored for seven years.

- 33. Respondents Loyalton Pharmacy and Peeler were unable to account for any of the variances (losses) identified by Board Inspector P.P.'s audit for Omega 3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lido/prolocaine 2.5%, and diclofenac.
- 34. During Board Inspector P.P.'s investigation, she discovered that Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy purchased the bulk of its Lidocaine ointment 5%, Lidocaine/prolocaine 2.5% cream, Omega-3 1gm, and diclofenac 1.5% solution from two vendors, Amneal and Sandoz. Respondent Peeler never provided P.P. with invoices for these purchases despite P.P.'s repeated requests.
- 35. On or about August 18, 2017, Respondent Peeler provided Board Inspector P.P. with audio records of Patient H.G. regarding how this patient obtained Lidocaine from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy. In the first recording, H.G. called into a website to order a knee and back brace. In the second recording, H.G. spoke with a representative from Global Healthcare, where H.G. again indicated an interest in knee and back braces. The Global Healthcare representative solicited H.G. to obtain Lidocaine and diclofenac topicals for pain. H.G. told the Global Healthcare representative that she was taking Ibuprofen. The Global Healthcare representative told H.G. there was no problem using Lidocaine and diclofenac with the medications H.G. was on. The Global Healthcare representative told H.G. that Loyalton Pharmacy would be giving H.G. the prescriptions and gave H.G. Loyalton Pharmacy's customer service number.
- 36. Diclofenac external and Ibuprofen oral are in the steroidal anti-inflammatory agents class and may represent a therapeutic duplication. They have potentially severe life-threatening reaction/interaction which may cause deterioration in the patient's clinical status. Administration of diclofenac sodium external should be used with extreme caution in geriatric patients.
- 37. After receiving the audio recordings, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with Respondent Peeler. Peeler admitted that she never called and consulted with Patient H.G. on the use of Lidocaine or diclofenac. Peeler admitted that no one from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy called H.G. to obtain a medication history. Peeler admitted that she did not check H.G.'s medication history for drug interactions or use in the elderly.
- 38. At the conclusion of her investigation, Board Inspector P.P. determined that Global Healthcare used a call-in request for knee and back braces to obtain the callers' medical

information and to solicit prescriptions from the callers' prescribers. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy then used the information Global Healthcare obtained to solicit prescriptions from the callers' prescribers when the callers had not been issued the prescriptions previously.

Patient H.G.

- 39. On or about February 21, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. received a complaint from Patient H.G. H.G. alleged that she had received Lidocaine from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy without any notification from her doctor that such a prescription was issued. The prescription was issued on or about December 7, 2016.
- 40. During Board Inspector P.P.'s July 6, 2017 inspection of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, she conducted an investigation regarding Loyalton Pharmacy's issuance of Lidocaine to Patient H.G.
- 41. Respondent Peeler stated that she could not find the prescription for Lidocaine for Patient H.G. Respondents Loyalton Pharmacy and Peeler never provided this prescription to Board Inspector P.P., after being requested to do so.

Patient P.G.

- 42. On or about February 23, 2017, the Board received a complaint regarding Patient P.G.'s receipt of prescription medications from Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy which P.G. contended were not authorized by his doctor. P.G. lived in Claremont, CA, approximately 500 miles away from Loyalton Pharmacy.
- 43. During Board Inspector P.P.'s July 6, 2017 inspection of Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, she conducted an investigation regarding Loyalton Pharmacy's issuance of prescription medication to Patient P.G.
- 44. While reviewing Patient P.G.'s profile with Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy's pharmacist, G.S., it was determined that on or about January 16, 2017, Loyalton Pharmacy issued Patient P.G. Lidocaine 5% ointment. Pharmacist G.S. was unable to retrieve any prescription for P.G.
- 45. On or about August 18, 2017, Board Inspector P.P. spoke with Respondent Peeler regarding the Lidocaine prescription that was issued to Patient P.G. Peeler admitted that she did

1	not consult with P.G. on the use of Lidocaine or diclofenac, that no one from Respondent
2	Loyalton Pharmacy called P.G. to obtain a medication history, and that E.P. did not check P.G.'s
3	medication history for drug interactions or use in the elderly.
4	RESPONDENT LOYALTON PHARMACY
5	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Unprofessional Conduct)
7	46. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 of
8	the Code in that:
9	a. Loyalton Pharmacy could not account for the losses of Omega-3, Lidocaine 5%
10	ointment, diclofenac 1.5% solution, and Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream.
11	b. Pharmacist-in-Charge Respondent Peeler had no relationship with most of the
12	patients who received Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, and
13	diclofenac 1.5% solution. Peeler did not know how the prescriptions were obtained nor was she
14	aware that Loyalton Pharmacy obtained the prescriptions by solicitation of prescribers when
15	patients were not on the prescriptions previously.
16	c. Loyalton Pharmacy failed to keep, store, and provide invoices to show purchases of
17	Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, and diclofenac 1.5%
18	solution.
19	d. Loyalton Pharmacy failed to obtain addresses of patients who received Schedule II
20	through IV controlled substances, thereby increasing the risk of drug diversion.
21	e. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 29-
22	30 and 32-38, above:
23	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(Unprofessional Conduct—Violation of Federal and State Laws and Regulations Governing
25	Pharmacy)
26	47. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
27	4301, subdivision (o), in that Loyalton Pharmacy:
28	<i>111</i>

- a. Failed to obtain physical addresses on prescriptions written for controlled substances, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11164, subdivision (a)(2).
- b. Failed to obtain allergy information for up to fifty percent of its patients prior to dispensing dangerous drugs, which could result in serious adverse reactions to patients, in violation of Regulation section 1701.1, subdivision (a).
- c. Entered into an agreement with Keystone Choice Pharmacy, located in Easton, PA, and for which Jon Paul Letko is also president and CEO, to conduct drug review, interventions, and patient counseling for prescriptions obtained for Loyalton Pharmacy, in violation of Regulation section 1707.2.
- d. Dispensed a prescription for Lidocaine 5% ointment without first verifying the legitimacy of the prescription, which was sent to Loyalton Pharmacy from Global Healthcare, in violation of Regulation section 1761, subdivision (a).
- e. Dispensed a prescription for Lidocaine 5% ointment without first verifying the legitimacy of the prescription, which was sent to Loyalton Pharmacy from Global Healthcare, in violation of Regulation section 1761, subdivision (a).
- f. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 24-45, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Records and Inventory)

- 48. Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4081, subdivisions (a) and (b), in that Loyalton Pharmacy:
- a. Failed to maintain invoices for dangerous drugs, in violation of Regulation section1718.
- b. Failed to have complete accountability for all dangerous drugs, in violation of Code section 4332 and Regulation section 1718.
- c. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 31-34, above.

28 | / / /

RESPONDENT PEELER

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct—Commission of Acts of Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption)

- 49. Respondent Peeler is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) of the Code in that Peeler:
- a. Could not account for the losses of Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, diclofenac 1.5% solution, and Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream.
- b. Had no relationship with most of the patients who received Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, and diclofenac 1.5% solution. Peeler did not know how the prescriptions were obtained nor was she aware that Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy obtained the prescriptions by solicitation of prescribers when patients were not on the prescriptions previously.
- c. Failed to keep, store, and provide invoices to show purchases of Omega-3, Lidocaine 5% ointment, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, and diclofenac 1.5% solution.
- d. Failed to obtain addresses of patients who received Schedule II through IV controlled substances, thereby increasing the risk of drug diversion.
- e. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 29-30 and 32-38, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct—Violation of Federal and State Regulations Governing Pharmacy)

- 50. Respondent Peeler is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(o) of the Code in that Peeler violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, assisted in or abetted the violation of, or conspired to violate federal and state regulations governing pharmacy, as follows:
- a. Respondent Peeler failed to comply with the requirements for dispensing prescriptions for controlled substances, by failing to obtain physical addresses on prescriptions

written for controlled substances, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11164, subdivision (a)(2).

- b. Respondent Peeler failed to maintain medication profiles by failing to obtain allergy information, for up to fifty percent of patients, prior to dispensing dangerous drugs, which could result in serious adverse reaction to patients, in violation of Regulation section 1701.1, subdivision (a)(1)(C).
- c. Respondent Peeler failed to consult with patients when she entered into an agreement with Keystone Choice Pharmacy, located in Easton, PA, to conduct drug review, interventions, and patient counseling for prescriptions obtained for Respondent Loyalton Pharmacy, in violation of Regulation section 1707.2.
- d. Respondent Peeler failed to maintain records and invoices of dangerous drugs by failing to maintain invoices from Sandoz and Amneal, from whom Respondent Loyalton bought the bulk of Lidocaine ointment 5%, Lidocaine/prilocaine 2.5% cream, Omega-3 1gm, and diclofenac 1.5% solution, in violation of Code section 4081, subdivisions (a) and (b), and Regulation section 1718.
- e. Respondent Peeler failed to maintain an accurate inventory by failing to have complete accountability for all dangerous drugs, in violation of Code sections 4081, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 4332, and Regulation section 1718.
- f. Respondent Peeler issued an erroneous and uncertain prescription by dispensing a prescription for Lidocaine 5% ointment on or about January 16, 2017, without first verifying the legitimacy of the prescription, in violation of Regulation section 1761, subdivision (a).
- g. Respondent Peeler issued an erroneous and uncertain prescription by dispensing a prescription for Lidocaine 5% ointment on or about December 7, 2017, without first verifying the legitimately of the prescription, in violation of Regulation section 1761, subdivision (a).
- h. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 24-45, above.

27 | /

 $\parallel 77$

III

III

III

III

51. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 54562 issued to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy, Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is reinstated if it is revoked.

- 52. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Permit Number PHY 54562 issued to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy, while Elva Peeler has been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Elva Peeler shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 53. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 issued to Elva Peeler, Elva Peeler shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 is placed on probation or until Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 54562, issued to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 issued to Elva Peeler;
- 3. Prohibiting Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number 54562 is reinstated if Original Permit Number 54562 issued to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy is revoked;
- 4. Prohibiting Elva Peeler from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is placed on probation or until Original Permit Number PHY 54562 is reinstated if Original Permit Number PHY 54562 issued to Complete Pharmacy Services, LLC, Sierra Nevada Pharmacy Holdings, LLC, Melchor Wealth Management Inc., Letko Asset Management LLC and Loyalton Holding LLC dba Loyalton Pharmacy is revoked;
- 5. Prohibiting Elva Peeler from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate or partner of a licensee for five years if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 is placed on probation or until Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 is reinstated if Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 26167 issued to Elva Peeler is revoked;