1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE I. KELLOGG Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 126461 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9429 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARM DEPARTMENT OF CONSUM	ER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFOR	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6219
13 14	SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR 12314 Kourtney Court Eastvale, CA 91752	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119441	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this	First Amended Accusation solely in
21	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board	of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
22	Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On April 9, 2012, the Board issued Pharma	cy Technician Registration Number
24	TCH 119441 to Sachin Sreekumar Nair (Respondent). The	e Pharmacy Technician Registration
25	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the chan	ges brought herein, and will expire on
26	February 28, 2018, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28	///	
	1	
	(SACHIN SREEKUMA	R NAIR) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of
3	the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code)
4	unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Code section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that every license issued by the Board
6	may be suspended or revoked.
7	5. Code section 4300.1 states:
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued
9	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a
10	license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
12	
13	6. Code section 482 states:
14	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
15	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
16	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
17 18	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.
19	7. Code section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a
20	license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the
21	qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
22	8. Code section 493 states:
23	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any
24	diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section
25	23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this
26	code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary
20 27	action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug
28	diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2
	2
	(SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATIO

(commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

9. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10.

. . . .

1

 2°

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

1	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).	
2	(2) Total criminal record.	
3	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).	
4	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole,	
5	probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.	
6	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.	
7	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
8	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or	
9	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially	
10	related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a	
11	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
12	COST RECOVERY	
13		
14	12. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
15	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations	
16	of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
17	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not	
18	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs	
19	may be included in a stipulated settlement.	
20	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
21	(February 23, 2017 Conviction for Willfully and Unlawfully Presenting False Statements in	
22	Support of Insurance Claim on May 11, 2015)	
23	13. Respondent subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under	
24	Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) in that he was convicted of a crime that is	
25	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy	
26	technician. The circumstances are as follows:	
27	a. On February 23, 2017, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the</i>	
28	State of California v. Sachin Sreekumar Nair, in Riverside County Superior Court, Hall of	
	4	
	(SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	

Justice Courthouse, Case Number RIF1602950, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 550, subdivision (b)(1), willfully and unlawfully presenting written or oral statements in support of a claim for payment pursuant to an insurance policy, knowing that the statements contained false or misleading information concerning any material fact, a felony. As part of his plea agreement, a felony charge for violation of Penal Code section 550, subdivision (a)(1), knowingly presenting a false and fraudulent claim for payment of loss under a contract of insurance, was dismissed.

On February 23, 2017, as a result of the conviction, Respondent was b. 8 sentenced to be committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 180 days, with 9 credit for two days actually served and two days for good behavior, with the balance of 176 days 10 to be served in the work release program. Respondent was granted formal probation for three 11 years, under certain terms and conditions, and ordered to participate and complete a counseling, 12 rehabilitation, or treatment program. Respondent was further ordered to pay pre-sentence 13 incarceration costs, fees, assessments, fines, and restitution. 14

- The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about May 11, 2015, 15 c. Respondent filed an insurance claim seeking compensation for damages he allegedly suffered as 16 a result of falling asleep while driving and hitting a cement barrier on May 8, 2015. The 17 insurance company determined that Respondent had previously damaged his vehicle in another 18 automobile accident on January 3, 2015. When confronted with the fact of the prior damage, 19 Respondent told an insurance company representative that the prior damage to his vehicle was 20repaired by a "friend of a friend" when it had not been so repaired. On April 29, 2016, during an 21 interview with a district attorney's investigator, Respondent admitted to lying about his 22 insurance claim, his alleged payment to repair his vehicle and to the circumstances surrounding 23 the damage to his vehicle. 24
- 25

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Commission of Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption) 26 Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline 14. under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that he committed acts involving moral turpitude, 28

1	dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and corruption when he submitted false statements in support of an	
2	insurance claim, as described in paragraph 13, above.	
3	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
4	(Unprofessional Conduct)	
5	15. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to disciplinary	
6	action under Code section 4301, in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct as described in	
7	paragraph 13 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.	
8	DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS	
9	16. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent	
10	pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges:	
11	a. On or about February 28, 2014, in Orange County Superior Court, case number	
12	14NM01874, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code sections	
13	23152, subdivisions (a), driving while under the influence and (b), driving with a BAC of .08 or	
14	more, a misdemeanor. As part of his plea agreement, Respondent admitted that he drove with a	
15	blood alcohol content of 0.16 percent on January 12, 2014. Respondent was granted summary	
16	probation for three years, ordered to pay all applicable fees and fines and ordered to enroll and	
17	successfully complete a six-month Level 2 First Offender Alcohol Program and a Mother's	
18	Against Drunk Driving Victim Impact Panel. On March 14, 2014, the Board issued a citation to	1
19	Respondent for the DUI conviction, and assessed a \$450.00 administrative fine. Respondent only	
20	partially paid the citation.	
21	b. On or about May 13, 2015, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, case number	
22	5JB01281, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendre of violating Vehicle Code	
23	section 23152(b), driving with a BAC of .08 or more, a misdemeanor, Vehicle Code section	
24	23540, committing a separate violation of section 23152, within ten years that resulted in a	
25	conviction and Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a), driving on a suspended license. He admitted as	
26	true, the prior conviction of violating section 23152(b). Respondent was placed on summary	
27	probation for three years, ordered to pay applicable fines and fees, engage in community service	
28	and to enroll and successfully complete an eighteen-month program of drug treatment or	
		ļ

]	
1	counseling pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 11837 and to complete a Mother's Against
2	Drunk Driving Victim Impact Program. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about
3	January 3, 2015, Respondent hit another vehicle. His blood alcohol content was measured at 0.17
4	percent. On September 11, 2015, the Board issued a citation to Respondent for the DUI
5	conviction, and assessed a \$1,050.00 administrative fine. Respondent paid the citation.
6	PRAYER
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
8	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
9	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH
10	119441, issued to Sachin Sreekumar Nair;
11	2. Ordering Sachin Sreekumar Nair to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable
12	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
13	Code section 125.3; and,
14	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
15	
16	\sim
17	ularliz Inginia Herdd
. 18	DATED: 11/27/17 Unginia Herold
19	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
20	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
21	Complainant
22	SD2017801412
23	81773552.doc
24	
25	
26	
27	· · ·
28	
	7

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
2	GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	DESIREE I. KELLOGG Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 126461 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9429 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		•
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARM	IACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUN STATE OF CALIFO	
11		C N (210
12 13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6219
15 14	SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR 12314 Kourtney Court Eastvale, CA 91752	ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119441	
16	Respondent.	
17		· · ·
17 18	Complainant alleges:	
	Complainant alleges: PARTIES	· · · ·
18		Accusation solely in her official
18 19	PARTIES	•
18 19 20	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this	•
18 19 20 21	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	cy (Board), Department of Consumer
18 19 20 21 22	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma Affairs.	cy (Board), Department of Consumer acy Technician Registration Number
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma Affairs. 2. On April 9, 2012, the Board issued Pharm	cy (Board), Department of Consumer acy Technician Registration Number ne Pharmacy Technician Registration
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	PARTIES Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma Affairs. On April 9, 2012, the Board issued Pharm TCH 119441 to Sachin Sreekumar Nair (Respondent). The 	cy (Board), Department of Consumer acy Technician Registration Number ne Pharmacy Technician Registration
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	PARTIES Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma Affairs. On April 9, 2012, the Board issued Pharm TCH 119441 to Sachin Sreekumar Nair (Respondent). Th was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charm 	cy (Board), Department of Consumer acy Technician Registration Number ne Pharmacy Technician Registration
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma Affairs. 2. On April 9, 2012, the Board issued Pharma TCH 119441 to Sachin Sreekumar Nair (Respondent). Th was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the char February 28, 2018, unless renewed.	cy (Board), Department of Consumer acy Technician Registration Number ne Pharmacy Technician Registration

		· · · · ·
1		JURISDICTION
2	3.	This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
3	laws. All sec	tion references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise
 4	indicated.	······································
5	4.	Code section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that every license issued by the Board
6	may be suspe	ended or revoked.
7	5.	Code section 4300.1 states:
8		The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued
9	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the	
10		
11		see or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
12		STATUTORY PROVISIONS
13	6.	Code section 482 states:
14 15	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
		(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
16		(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
17 18	furnis	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation shed by the applicant or licensee.
19	7.	Code section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a
20	license on the	e ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the
21	qualification	s, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
22	8.	Code section 493 states:
23	divor	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any sion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol
24	and d	rug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section
25	23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this	
26	code,	or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary
27	notwi	n against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, ithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record
28		ining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug sion program operated by any agency established under Division 2
		2
		(SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR) ACCUSATION

.

(commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

9. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10.

. . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

1	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).	
2	(2) Total criminal record.	
3	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).	
4	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.	
5	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.	
6		
7	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
8	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or	
9	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a	
10 11	substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a	
12	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
13	COST RECOVERY	
14	12. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
15	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations	
16	of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
17	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not	
18	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs	
19	may be included in a stipulated settlement.	
20	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
21	(February 23, 2017 Conviction for Preparation of False Insurance Claim on May 11, 2015)	
22	13. Respondent subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under	
23	Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) in that he was convicted of a crime that is	
24	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy	
25	technician. The circumstances are as follows:	
26	a. On February 23, 2017, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the</i>	
27	State of California v. Sachin Sreekumar Nair, in Riverside County Superior Court, Hall of	
28	Justice Courthouse, Case Number RIF1602950, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty	
	4	
	(SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR) ACCUSATION	

of violating Penal Code (PC) section 550, subdivision (b)(1), knowingly submitting fraudulent
 documents, a felony. A felony charge for violation of PC section 550, subdivision (a)(1),
 fraudulent insurance claim for payment of loss, was dismissed under a plea bargain.

b. On February 23, 2017, as a result of the conviction, Respondent was 4 sentenced to be committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 180 days, with 5 credit for two days actually served and two days for good behavior, with the balance of 176 days 6 to be served in the work release program. Respondent was granted formal probation for three 7 8 years, under certain terms and conditions, and ordered to participate and complete a counseling, 9 rehabilitation, or treatment program. Respondent was also ordered to provide a deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sample for the State DNA Database under PC section 296, subdivision (a). 10 Respondent was further ordered to pay pre-sentence incarceration costs, fees, assessments, fines, 11 and restitution. 12

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that Respondent insured his vehicle 13 with an insurance company under policy number CCFIPS3731529. On January 3, 2015, 14 Respondent's vehicle was damaged. Respondent claimed this damage was repaired but failed to 15 provide proof of the repair. On May 11, 2015, Respondent filed another separate claim against 16 his vehicle's insurance coverage due to damage that his vehicle allegedly sustained when he fell 17 asleep behind the wheel on May 8, 2015. An insurance company's investigator examining 18 Respondent's vehicle's damage noted that the damage to the vehicle in the January 3, 2015 19 20collision was the same as the damage in the May 8, 2015 collision. On April 29, 2016, during an interview with a district attorney's investigator and his insurance company's investigator, 21 Respondent admitted to lying about paying \$4,000.00 for damage repair and to falling asleep and 2.2hitting a cement pole on May 8, 2015. 23 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 24 (Unprofessional Conduct - Commission of Any Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, 25

Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

14. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline
under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that he committed acts involving moral turpitude,

[
1	dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and corruption when he attempted to pass, as true and genuine, an
2	insurance claim, knowing the same to be false, as described in paragraph 13, above.
3	DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS
4	15. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
5	Complainant alleges:
6	a. On March 14, 2014, under Code section 4314, in conjunction with title 16
7	of the California Code of Regulations, section 1775, the Board issued Respondent Citation
8	Number CI 2013 60030 and imposed a fine of \$450.00 for the following:
9	i. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (h), for
10	unprofessional conduct, use of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be
11	dangerous or injurious to the oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any
12	other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to
13	conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
14	ii. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (1), for
15	unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the
16	qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician.
17	b. On April 23, 2016, the Board received partial payment from Respondent
18	for the fine in Board Citation Number CI 2013 60030.
19	c. On September 11, 2015, under Code section 4314, in conjunction with
20	title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, section 1775, the Board issued Respondent
21	Citation Number CI 2014 64215 and imposed a fine of \$1,050.00 for the following:
22	i. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (h), for
23	unprofessional conduct, use of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be
24	dangerous or injurious to the oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any
25	other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to
26	conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
27	ii. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (k), for
28	unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor or any
	6
	(SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR) ACCUSATION

1	felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic
2	beverage.
3	iii. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (l), for
4	unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the
5	qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician.
6	d. On January 8, 2016, the Board received full payment from Respondent for
7	the fine in Board Citation Number CI 2014 64215.
8	PRAYER
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
10	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
11	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH
12	119441, issued to Sachin Sreekumar Nair;
13	2. Ordering Sachin Sreekumar Nair to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable
14	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
15	Code section 125.3; and,
16	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
17	7
18	1
19	DATED 8/14/17 Auginia Herde
20	DATED: 0////// VIRGINIA HEROLD
21	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
22	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
23	GJS:JRL
24	SD2017801412 81773552.doc
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7
ł	(SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR) ACCUSATION

(SACHIN SREEKUMAR NAIR) ACCUSATION