	11		
1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICHOLAS TSUKAMAKI Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253959 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-0982		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Nicholas.Tsukamaki@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Complainant Petitioner		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	STATE OF CA		
12	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke	Case No. 6163	
13	Probation Against:		
14	ENRIQUE RODRIGUEZ 1737 Via Lacqua	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
	San Lorenzo, CA 94580	·	
15 16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72559		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19			
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this action solely in her official capacity as the		
22	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about December 4, 2006, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician License		
24	Number TCH 72559 to Enrique Rodriguez (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician license		
25	expired on June 30, 2016, and has not been renewed.		
26	PRIOR DISCIPLINARY MATTER		
27	3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Enrique		
28	Rodriguez," Case No. 5297, the Board issued a Decision, effective April 8, 2016, in which		
	1		

Respondent's Pharmacy Technician license was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Pharmacy Technician license was placed on probation for a period of four (4) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that Decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following terms and conditions of probation.
- 5. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 13 stated, in pertinent part:

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Obey All Laws)

6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated, in pertinent part:

Obey All Laws. Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- a) an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws;
- b) a plea of guilty or nolo contendere in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment;

- 7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition 2, referenced above, in that Respondent failed to report to the Board an arrest and a guilty plea. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- a. On or about September 22, 2016, Respondent was arrested in Oakland for violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) (possession of a controlled substance). Respondent did not report this arrest to the Board.
- b. On or about October 24, 2016, in Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. INF1401963, Respondent pleaded guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) (possession of a controlled substance), a misdemeanor. Respondent did not report that guilty plea to the Board.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Appear for Interviews with the Board)

8. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 4 stated:

Interview with the Board. Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear at two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

- 9. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition 4, referenced above, in that Respondent failed to appear for interviews with the Board. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- a. On or about April 12, 2016, the Board sent a letter to Respondent directing him to report in person for an office conference on April 26, 2016. Respondent failed to appear at the office conference and did not provide prior notification to Board staff.
- b. On or about May 12, 2016, the Board sent a letter to Respondent directing him to report in person for an office conference on May 24, 2016. Respondent failed to appear at the office conference and did not provide prior notification to Board staff.

///

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Pay Costs)

10. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 7 stated, in pertinent part:

Reimbursement of Board Costs. As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$1,442. Respondent shall make said payments in 36 equal monthly installments of \$40.05. There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

11. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition 7, referenced above, in that Respondent failed to pay the Board any of its costs of investigation and prosecution.

FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Participate in Random Drug Screening)

12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 15 stated, in pertinent part:

Random Drug Screening. Respondent, at his own expense, shall participate in random screening, including but not limited to biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or other drug screening program as directed by the Board or its designee. Respondent may be required to participate in testing for the entire probation period and the frequency of testing will be determined by the Board or its designee. At all times respondent shall fully cooperate with the Board or its designee, and shall, when directed, submit to such tests and samples for the detection of, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances as the Board or its designee may direct. Failure to timely submit to testing as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

- 1			
1	13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with		
2	Condition 15, referenced above, in that Respondent failed to participate in random drug		
3	screening.		
4	<u>PRAYER</u>		
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this		
6	Petition to Revoke Probation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a		
7	decision:		
8	1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board of Pharmacy in Case No. 5297		
9	and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby revoking Pharmacy Technician		
10	License No. TCH 72559 issued to Enrique Rodriguez;		
11	2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 72559 issued to		
12	Enrique Rodriguez;		
13	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
14	DATED: 8/7/17 Ouginier Heed		
15	DATED: VIRGINIA K, HEROLD		
16	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy		
17	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
18	Complainant		
19	SF2017901041		
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26	·		
27			
28			
~~			

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Case No. 5297

ı	1		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	Nicholas Tsukamaki Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Nicholas Tsukamaki Deputy Attorney General Nicholas Tsukamaki Nicholas Tsuk		
4	State Bar No. 253959 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1188 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Nicholas.Tsukamaki@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		ALL TOTAL TO	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5297	
12	ENRIQUE RODRIGUEZ 1737 Via Lacqua		
13	San Lorenzo, CA 94580	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72559		
15	Respondent.		
16	Kespondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PAR	TIES	
20	Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about December 4, 2006, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration		
23	Number TCH 72559 to Enrique Rodriguez (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
25	June 30, 2016, unless renewed.		
26	1//		
27	111		
28	111		
		1	
		ACCUSATION	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 4060 of the Code provides, in relevant part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

7. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in relevant part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. .

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

. .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

. . . , , ,

- 8. Health and Safety Code section 11350, in pertinent part, makes it unlawful to possess any controlled substance listed in Schedule II (Health and Safety Code section 11055), subdivision (b) or (c), or any narcotic drug in Schedules III-V, absent a valid prescription.
- 9. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13), and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is a hallucinogenic drug.
- 10. Psilocybin is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(18), and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is a hallucinogenic drug.
- 11. Methamphetamine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2) and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is a stimulant drug.

COSTS

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

ACCUSATION

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 72559 issued to Enrique Rodriguez;
- 2. Ordering Enrique Rodriguez to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 2/23/15 2/23/15

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2014409843 41184748.doc