1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9409 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10		F CONSUMER AFFAIRS F CALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6096	
13	L'DANIELLE GAYLE FLUHARTY	ACCUSATION	
14	40850 Camellia Drive Hemet, CA 92544		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 140359		
16	Responder	nt.	
17			
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20		ARTIES	
21		ings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22		nacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23		ne Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration	
24		harty (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all	times relevant to the charges brought herein and	
26	will expire on March 31, 2018, unless renewe	d.	
27	111		
28	111		
		1	
		(L'DANIELLE GAYLE FLUHARTY) ACCUSATIO	

1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following	
3	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise	
4	indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states, "Every license issued may be	
6	suspended or revoked."	
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a	
9	license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a license shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or	
10 11	action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
12	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
13	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the	
14	rehabilitation of a person when:	
15	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
17	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
17	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
19	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
20	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related	
21	to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was	
22	issued.	
23	8. Section 493 of the Code states:	
24	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend	
25	or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime	
26	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact	
27	that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of	
28	encumpances surrounding the commission of the ortific in order to fix the degree of	
	2	
	(L'DANIELLE GAYLE FLUHARTY) ACCUSATION	

functions, and duties of the licensee in question. 2 As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and 3 "registration." 9 Section 4301 of the Code states: 4 The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of 5 unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: 6 7 8 (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous 9 or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to 10 conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license. 11 12 (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of 13 Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating 14 controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances 15 surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in 16 the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, 17 functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has 18 elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a 19 subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the 20verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . 21**REGULATORY PROVISIONS** 22 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (c) states: 23 24 When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, 25 in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria: 26 (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s). 27(2) Total criminal record. 28 3

discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications.

1

1	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
2	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
3	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
4	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
5	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
6 7	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences
8	present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health,
9	safety, or welfare.
10	COSTS
11	12. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
12	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
13	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
14	enforcement of the case.
15	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(February 18, 2015 Criminal Conviction for DUI on December 5, 2014)
17	13. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under sections 490
18	and 4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially
19	related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances
20	are as follows:
21	a. On or about February 4, 2015, Respondent failed to appear at her initial
22	criminal arraignment, and the Court issued a bench warrant for her arrest. On or about February
23	18, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. LDanielle Gayle
24	Fluharty, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 15CM00610, the bench warrant was
25	recalled, and Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section
26	23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol (DUI), a misdemeanor. Respondent
27	further admitted that in the commission of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, she had blood
28	alcohol concentration (BAC) of .20 percent or more, pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23538,
	4
	(L'DANIELLE GAYLE FLUHARTY) ACCUSATION

subdivision (b)(2). An additional count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more, was dismissed pursuant to the plea agreement.

1

2

3

The facts that led to the conviction are that on December 5, 2014, at b. 4 approximately 1:53 in the morning, an officer with the Orange Police Department (OPD) was on 5 patrol in the City of Orange, when he observed Respondent's vehicle drive past him at what 6 appeared to be a fast rate of speed. The OPD officer paced Respondent's vehicle and estimated 7 that Respondent was driving at the appropriate speed limit, however, her vehicle's license plate 8 lamp was inoperable, and the officer conducted an enforcement stop. Upon making contact with 9 Respondent, the officer detected the odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from within the 10vehicle. Respondent's eyes appeared red, watery, and droopy, and she had slurred speech. During 11 questioning, Respondent admitted to consuming two beers earlier that evening. After further 12 questioning and observing objective symptoms of alcohol intoxication, the OPD officer 13 conducted a series of field sobriety tests. Respondent was unable to complete the tests as 14 explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent was arrested for driving under the 15 influence. During processing, Respondent was administered a breath test which registered results 16 of .22, and .23 percent BAC, respectively. 17

c. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted informal probation for
three years. Respondent was ordered to complete a nine-month Level 2 First Offender Alcohol
program, to pay fines and fees, and to comply with the DUI probation terms.

On or about June 19, 2015, Respondent's probation was revoked, then d. 21 reinstated and modified, due to a violation based on a subsequent conviction in case number 22 15HM05441, as described in paragraph 15, below, and incorporated herein by reference. On or 23 about October 20, 2015, Respondent's probation was revoked and bench warrant was issued for 24 failure to pay required fees, and non-compliance with the nine-month alcohol program. On March 25 8, 2016, a probation violation arraignment was held in which Respondent paid the full balance of 26fees owed, and was granted an extension to comply with the probation terms, and her probation 27 was reinstated. 28

5

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)	
3	14. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under section 4301,	
4	subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on December 5, 2014, as described	
5	in paragraph 13, above, Respondent used alcoholic beverages to an extent, or in a manner that	Ì
6	was dangerous, and potentially injurious to herself, and the public when she operated a motor	
7	vehicle while impaired.	
8	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
9	(June 19, 2015 Criminal Conviction for Driving on a Suspended License	
10	on April 22, 2015)	
11	15. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under sections 490	
12	and 4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially	
13	related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances	
14	are as follows:	
15	a. On or about June 19, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled, <i>People of the State</i>	
16	of California v. LDanielle Gayle Fluharty, in Orange County Superior Court, case number	
17	15HM05441, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code section	
18	14601.2, subdivision (a), driving on a suspended license after DUI, a misdemeanor. An additional	
19	count of violating Vehicle Code section 23123.5, subdivision (a), unlawful texting while driving,	
20	was dismissed pursuant to the plea agreement.	
21	b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on April 22, 2014 an officer with the	
22	Irvine Police Department (IPD) observed Respondent texting while driving. The IPD officer	
23	conducted an enforcement stop, and during questioning Respondent admitted to texting. When	
24	asked for her driver's license, Respondent informed the IPD officer that her license was	
25	suspended due to a DUI. Respondent was issued a citation for driving on a suspended license.	
26	c. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted informal probation for	
27	three years. Respondent was ordered to serve ten days in county jail, and was offered 10 days of	
28	111	
	6	

1		
1	Cal Trans/ Physical Labor in lieu of serving jail time. Respondent was further ordered to complete	
2	38 hours of community service in lieu of payment of fines and fees.	
3	d. On or about June 24, 2016, Respondent's probation was revoked based on her	
4	failure to comply with the terms of probation. On or about June 28, 2016, a probation violation	
5	arraignment was held in which Respondent was granted an extension to comply with the	
6	probation terms, and her probation was reinstated.	
7	PRAYER	
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
9	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
10	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 140359,	
11	issued to L'Danielle Gayle Fluharty;	
12	2. Ordering L'Danielle Gayle Fluharty to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable	
13	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code	
14	section 125.3; and,	
15	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
16		
17		
18	DATED: 7/16/17 Unginia Level	
19	DATED:	
20	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
21	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
22	Complainant	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	SD2017704613	
28	81711849.doc	
	7 (L'DANIELLE GAYLE FLUHARTY) ACCUSATION	