XAVIER BECERRA	
Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	
Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
Deputy Attorney General	
1300 I Street, Suite 125	
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
Telephone: (916) 324-8010 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	
E-mail: David.Brice@doj.ca.gov	
	DE ŒUE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
	1
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6012
ALLWELL PHARMACY AND MEDICAL	
MEMBER AND PHARMACIST-IN-	ACCUSATION
5611 Stockton Blvd.	
Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50426,	
and	
CLETUS UCHE DURU	
Sacramento, CA 95824	•
Pharmacist License No. RPH 46402	
Respondents.	
^	
Complainant alleges:	
	TIFC
c (the party of the state of t	
as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about November 1, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit	
	Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit
Number PHY 50426 to Allwell Pharmacy and M	edical Supplies, LLC (Respondent Allwell) with
	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General DAVID E. BRICE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 269443 1300 I-Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-8010 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: David.Brice@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant BEFO BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF C STATE OF C In the Matter of the Accusation Against: ALLWELL PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES, LLC; CLETUS UCHE DURU, MEMBER AND PHARMACIST-IN- CHARGE 5611 Stockton Blvd. Sacramento, CA 95824 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50426, and CLETUS UCHE DURU 5611 Stockton Blvd. Sacramento, CA 95824 Pharmacist License No. RPH 46402 Respondents. Complainant alleges: PAR 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmaco

who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:

- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
- (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a license" as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.
- (c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

8. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (c) Gross negligence.
- (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (e) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153.5 of the Health and Safety Code. Factors to be considered in determining whether the furnishing of controlled substances is clearly excessive shall include, but not be limited to, the amount of controlled substances furnished, the previous ordering pattern of the

1		
1	customer (including size and frequency of orders), the type and size of the customer, and where and to whom the customer distributes its product.	
2		
3		
4	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.	
5		
6	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the	
7	violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.	
9	9. Section 4081 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
10	(a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or	
11	dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A	
12	current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary	
13	food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit,	
l4 l5	registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.	
16 17	(b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.	
8	10. Section 4113 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
9	(a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge	
20		
21	(-) The pharmaclet in all and a 1 111 211 C	
22	(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.	
23	11. Section 4115 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
24	11. Section 4115 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
25	(a) A pharmacy technician may perform packaging, manipulative, repetitive, or other nondiscretionary tasks only while assisting, and while under the direct supervision and control of,	
26	a pharmacist. The pharmacist shall be responsible for the duties performed under his or her supervision by a technician.	
28		

(e) A person shall not act as a pharmacy technician without first being licensed by the board as a pharmacy technician.

12. Section 4306.5 of the Code states:

Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following:

- (a) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the inappropriate exercise of his or her education, training, or experience as a pharmacist, whether or not the act or omission arises in the course of the practice of pharmacy or the ownership, management, administration, or operation of a pharmacy or other entity licensed by the board.
- (b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services.
- (c) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to consult appropriate patient, prescription, and other records pertaining to the performance of any pharmacy function.
- (d) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to fully maintain and retain appropriate patient-specific information pertaining to the performance of any pharmacy function.

13. Section 4332 of the Code states:

Any person who fails, neglects, or refuses to maintain the records required by Section 4081 or who, when called upon by an authorized officer or a member of the board, fails, neglects, or refuses to produce or provide the records within a reasonable time, or who willfully produces or furnishes records that are false, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE

- 14. Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

///

to a patient, placing the counted drug into a container, and sealing the container. This was confirmed to be a standard workflow practice at Respondent pharmacy.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Reporting Drug Loss)

- 33. Respondent Allwell is subject to disciplinary action under 16 CCR 1715.6, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that Respondent failed to report the loss of controlled substances, including their amounts and strengths, to the Board within thirty (30) days. The circumstances are as follows:
- 34. On or about May 5, 2016, and during a subsequent investigation, it was found that in 2012, six to eight pint-size bottles of promethazine/codeine, a Schedule V controlled substance, were stolen from Respondent during business hours. Respondent was aware of the theft and did not report the loss to the Board.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Participate in Quality Assurance Program)

- 35. Respondent Allwell is subject to disciplinary action under 16 CCR 1711, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that Respondent failed to participate in an established quality assurance program. The circumstances are as follows:
- 36. On or about May 5, 2016, and during a subsequent investigation, it was found that Respondent pharmacy made a medication error on or about August 18, 2015. Prescription number 740903 was dispensed in error to a patient for the wrong package of Apidra, a dangerous drug. The prescription was returned to the pharmacy by the patient when the error was discovered. Respondent pharmacy did not document an incident report of the error, as required by the Quality Assurance Policy. It was also found that other medication errors had occurred within the past year and no documentation of the errors was completed and kept on file so as to have complete details, conduct a review, and prevent a recurrence of the error, as required by the policy.

///

]]]

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Requirements for Patient-Centered Labels for Prescriptions)

- 37. Respondent Allwell is subject to disciplinary action under 16 CCR 1707.5, subdivision (a), by and through Code section 4301(o), in that Respondent failed to comply with the requirements for patient-centered labels on drug containers. The circumstances are as follows:
- 38. On or about May 5, 2016, and during a subsequent investigation, it was found that Respondent pharmacy labeled prescriptions in a format with the name of the drug and strength of the drug listed after the directions for use of the drug, in violation of the required order.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Biennial Inventory and Record Requirements)

- 39. Respondent Allwell is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4081, 16 CCR 1718, and 21 CFR 1304.11, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that Respondent failed to comply with the requirements for maintaining an inventory of controlled substances and completing a biennial inventory. The circumstances are as follows:
- 40. On or about May 5, 2016, and during a subsequent investigation, it was found that Respondent pharmacy had a controlled substance inventory on file which indicated it was printed on November 5, 2014 without an indication of the completion date or if the inventory was completed as of opening or close of business. Respondent pharmacy also had a controlled substance inventory on file dated May/June 2015. There was no indication of an exact date completed or if the inventory was completed as of opening or close of business. After a controlled substance inventory was requested from Respondent pharmacy, a controlled substance inventory was provided which was dated May 8, 2016, a Sunday when the pharmacy was closed. The inventory did not have any entries for the following controlled substances: hydrocodone/APAP 10/325; methadone 10 mg; oxycodone 30 mg; and oxycodone APAP 10/325. Respondent pharmacy's records indicated that these controlled substances were regularly dispensed. Thus, this was not a complete current inventory of all drug stocks on hand. Respondent did not complete a biennial inventory every two years and have the inventory on file.

.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Requirements for Controlled Substance Prescriptions)

- 41. Respondent Allwell is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 11164, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that Respondent pharmacy dispensed controlled substances using prescription forms that were missing required features. The circumstances are as follows:
- 42. On or about May 5, 2016, and during a subsequent investigation, it was found that Respondent pharmacy dispensed controlled substance prescriptions for alprazolam 2 mg and hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg on February 5, 2015, and alprazolam 2 mg on February 19, 2015, pursuant to prescription forms that were missing the following required features: 1. Watermark printed on back of prescription blank stating "California Security Prescription"; 2. Identifying number assigned to the approved security printer by the Department of Justice; 3. The lot number printed on the form. Furthermore, between May 10, 2013, and February 21, 2014, Respondent pharmacy dispensed controlled substances pursuant to at least thirty (30) prescriptions from Sen Jone, MD, that were missing the following required features: 1. Identifying number assigned to the approved security printer by the Department of Justice; 2. The lot number printed on the form.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Corresponding Responsibility for Legitimacy of Prescription)

- 43. Respondent Allwell is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 11153 and 16 CCR 1761, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that Respondent pharmacy failed to fulfill its corresponding responsibility to ensure the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances. The circumstances are as follows:
- 44. On or about May 5, 2016, and during a subsequent investigation which reviewed dispensing data and records from April 1, 2013, to May 5, 2016, it was found that Respondent pharmacy dispensed excessive controlled substances prescriptions with irregularities and red flags of abuse without ensuring the prescriptions were issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. Respondent pharmacy dispensed controlled substances after ignoring, or not being aware of, objective factors

which were irregular from medically legitimate prescriptions. The objective factors of illegitimacy, irregularity, and abuse included but were not limited to:

- a. The invalid nature of prescription documents which did not have required features, were fraudulent, or not issued in the usual course of professional practice.
 - b. Unusually high doses of opioids for opioid naïve patients.
- c. Doctor prescribing trends seemingly inconsistent with the primary area of practice for a surgeon who prescribed a high percentage of promethazine/codeine prescriptions on invalid controlled substance forms.
- d. Unusually high cash payment profiles from patients obtaining controlled substances with red flags of abuse, such as out-of-the-area prescriber, invalid forms, high initial doses of opioids, and no previous history with the pharmacy.
- e. Patients presenting prescriptions from a doctor whose office was over 160 miles from the pharmacy.
- f. Many patients receiving the same or similar controlled substances, or combinations of controlled substances, from a doctor whose office was over 160 miles from the pharmacy. On many occasions, the pharmacy dispensed the same combination of controlled substances written by the same prescriber to different patients on the same day, sometimes within minutes of one another.
- g. Near uniformity in prescribing trends of controlled substances for a doctor whose office was over 160 miles from the pharmacy.
- h. Many patients receiving the highest table strength of controlled substances with no upward titration from a lower dose for prescriptions from a doctor whose office was over 160 miles from the pharmacy, e.g. highest tablet strength of oxycodone, methadone, alprazolam, diazepam, and clonazepam.
- i. Many controlled substance prescriptions dispensed from doctors whose licenses became surrendered, revoked, or put on probation.

¹ In three years, Respondent dispensed 653 prescriptions for controlled substances from this doctor, more than any other pharmacy; of those prescriptions, 90.5% were paid in cash.

25.

28 | ///

(Unprofessional Conduct)

45. Respondent Allwell is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (a), (d), (j) and (o), in that Respondent pharmacy committed unprofessional conduct by operating in a grossly negligent manner, violating laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy, violating laws and regulations regulating controlled substances, and clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances without the exercise of its corresponding responsibility to only dispense medically legitimate prescriptions. Respondent pharmacy's conduct constituted gross negligence by operating in violation of the laws and regulations intended to ensure the safe practice of pharmacy and the safe distribution of controlled substances. Respondent pharmacy operated in a manner that was a gross deviation from the standard of safe pharmacy practice, and which could cause harm to patients or other persons. The circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, and 44 and its subparts, above. *Cletus Uche Duru*

46. Respondent Cletus Uche Duru has been the designated Pharmacist-In-Charge for Allwell Pharmacy and Medical Supplies, LLC under Code section 4113(a) since November 1, 2010. As pharmacist-in-charge for Allwell, Respondent Duru was responsible for Allwell's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy under Code section 4113(c).

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlicensed Activity)

47. Respondent Duru is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4115, subdivisions (a) and (e) and 16 CCR 1793.2, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that he, as pharmacist-in-charge for Allwell, allowed unlicensed staff to perform the duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are set forth in paragraph 32 above.

///

///

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Requirements for Controlled Substance Prescriptions)

52. Respondent Duru is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 11164, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that he, as pharmacist-in-charge for Allwell, dispensed controlled substances using prescription forms that were missing required features. The circumstances are set forth in paragraph 42 above.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Corresponding Responsibility for Legitimacy of Prescription)

53. Respondent Duru is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 11153 and 16 CCR 1761, by and through Code section 4301(o), in that he, as pharmacist-in-charge for Allwell, failed to fulfill his corresponding responsibility to ensure the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances. The circumstances are set forth in paragraph 44, and its subparts, above

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

54. Respondent Duru is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (a), (d), (j) and (o), in that he, as pharmacist-in-charge for Allwell, committed unprofessional conduct by operating in a grossly negligent manner, violating laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy, violating laws and regulations regulating controlled substances, and clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances without the exercise of its corresponding responsibility to only dispense medically legitimate prescriptions. Respondent Duru's conduct constituted gross negligence by operating in violation of the laws and regulations intended to ensure the safe practice of pharmacy and the safe distribution of controlled substances. Respondent Duru acted in a manner that was a gross deviation from the standard of safe pharmacy practice, and which could cause harm to patients or other persons. The circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, and 44 and its subparts, above.

ACCUSATION

2.7

.

///

.

OTHER MATTERS

- 55. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 issued to Allwell Pharmacy and Medical Supplies LLC, Allwell Pharmacy and Medical Supplies LLC shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 56. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 issued to Allwell Pharmacy and Medical Supplies LLC, while Cletus Uche Duru has been a member and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Cletus Uche Duru shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426, issued to Allwell Pharmacy and Medical Supplies LLC;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 46402, issued to Cletus Uche Duru;
- 3. Prohibiting Allwell Pharmacy and Medical Supplies LLC from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50426 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number 50426 issued to Allwell Pharmacy and Medical Supplies LLC is revoked;
- 4. Prohibiting Cletus Uche Duru from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit