1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 164015 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9431 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	Milot negation Compromission		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11 12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5943	
13	ARTHUR BELTRAN, JR.	ACCUSATION	
14	1326 North Braeburn St. Anaheim, CA 92801		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 147658		
16	Respondent.		
17		1	
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs.		
23	2. On April 2, 2015, the Board issued Pharm		
24	TCH 147658 to Arthur Beltran, Jr. (Respondent). Respondent has also been known as Arthur		
25	Junior Beltran, Arthur Junior Beltran, Jr., and Arthur Beltran. The Pharmacy Technician		
26	Registration expired on May 31, 2016, and has not been renewed.		
27	///		
28	///		
	1		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - 5. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

- 6. Code section 4314 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) The board may issue citations containing fines and orders of abatement for any violation of Section 733, for any violation of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter, or for any violation of Division 116 (commencing with Section 150200) of the Health and Safety Code, in accordance with Sections 125.9, 148, and 4005 and the regulations adopted pursuant to those sections.
- (b) Where appropriate, a citation issued by the board, as specified in this section, may subject the person or entity to whom the citation is issued to an administrative fine.
- (d) Nothing in this section shall in any way limit the board from issuing a citation, fine, and order of abatement pursuant to Section 4067 or Section 56.36 of the Civil Code, and the regulations adopted pursuant to those sections.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Code section 482 states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

1	8.	Code section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a		
2	license on the	license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the		
3	qualifications,	qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.		
4	9.	Code section 493 states:		
5	diversi	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any on program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol		
6	and dru	ag problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section 50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit		
7	any ag	ency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary		
8	action notwit	against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, hstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record		
10	pertaining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2			
11	(commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.			
12	10.	Code section 4022 states		
13	for gol	"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe f-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:		
14	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits			
15	disper	nsing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.		
16 17	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.			
18		(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be		
19	lawfu	lly dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.		
20	11.	Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:		
21		The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of		
22	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:			
23				
24		as my and the use of		
25	any d	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of langerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be		
26	dange	erous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the		
27	√ ∥ abilit	y of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by		
28		cense.		

- deral law prohibits milar import.
- on: federal law restricts x only," or words of of the practitioner
- tate law can be ent to Section 4006.

10

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

27 28 . . .

. . . .

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

12. Health & Safety Code section 11054 states, in pertinent part:

- (a) The controlled substances listed in this section are included in Schedule I.
- (d) Hallucinogenic substances. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any material, compound, mixture, or preparation, which contains any quantity of the following hallucinogenic substances, or which contains any of its salts, isomers, and salts of isomers whenever the existence of those salts, isomers, and salts of isomers is possible within the specific chemical designation (for purposes of this subdivision only, the term "isomer" includes the optical, position, and geometric isomers):

15.

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states, in pertinent part:

(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).

his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 16. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- 17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1775.1, states:
- (a) The fine for violating the Pharmacy Law or regulations adopted pursuant thereto shall not exceed the amount specified in Section 125.9 of the Business and Professions Code, except for a fine issued pursuant to Section 4067 or Section 4127.4 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (b) The fine for violating the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act shall not exceed the amount specified in Section 56.36 of the Civil Code.
- (c) The fine for defaulting on a United States Department of Health and Human Services education loan shall not exceed \$2,500.
- (d) Failure of a person or entity cited to pay a fine within 30 days of the date of assessment, unless the citation is being appealed, may result in disciplinary action by the board. When a citation is not contested and a fine is not paid, the full amount of the fine shall be added to the fee for renewal of the license and the license shall not be renewed without payment of the renewal fee and fine.

///

18. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUGS

- 19. Alprazolam is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1), and is categorized as a dangerous drug under Code section 4022.
- 20. Cannabinoids (Marijuana) are Schedule I controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13) and categorized as dangerous drugs under section 4022.
- 21. Etizolam¹ is a thienodiazepine drug with anticonvulsant, hypnotic, and anxiolytic properties that is equipotent to alprazolam. Chemically, it is closely related to the benzodiazepines and shares essentially all their risks and benefits. . . . Etizolam is not a controlled substance in the United States, nor is it covered by the Federal Analogue Act as the act only applies to Schedules I and II, whereas benzodiazepines are classified under Schedule IV of the Controlled Substances Act.²
- 22. Tetrahydrocannabinols (THC) are Schedule I controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(20) and categorized as dangerous drugs under section 4022.

///

² https://drugs-forum.com/forum/showwiki.php?title=Etizolam

¹ Etizolam is a short-acting psychoactive drug of the thienodiazepine class which has been shown to produce depressants, anxiolytic, sedative, hypnotic, muscle relaxant, anticonvulsant, depressant and amnestic effects. 1mg of etizolam is approximately equivalent to 10mg diazepam. (https://psychonautwiki.org/wiki/Etizolam)

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Violation of Regulations)

23. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), as provided in section 1775.1, subdivision (d) of title 16 of the California Code of Regulations. Respondent violated regulations when on October 25, 2015, Respondent failed to pay a fine of \$1,200.00 within 30 days after its imposition in Board Citation Number CI 2014 65380, detailed in paragraph 32, below, which is incorporated herein by this reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(June 7, 2016 Conviction for Driving on Suspended License on December 5, 2015)

- 24. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On June 7, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Arthur Junior Beltran, aka Arthur Beltran Jr, aka Arthur Junior Beltran Jr, aka Arthur Beltran*, in the Orange County Superior Court, North Justice Center, Case Number 15NM16455, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code (VC) section 14601.2, subdivision (a), driving when privilege to drive was suspended or revoked due to a prior DUI conviction, a misdemeanor.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on June 7, 2016, Respondent was sentenced to serve 180 days, in the Orange County Jail, with credit for four days actually served and four days for good behavior, with service of the balance to run concurrent with service of sentence in any other case. Respondent was ordered to pay fines, fees, assessments, and restitution.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on December 5, 2015,
 Respondent failed to stop for a stop sign in the intersection of North Mohican Avenue and La
 Palma Avenue in Anaheim, California. An officer from the Anaheim Police Department (APD)
 initiated an enforcement stop. Respondent provided his state identification card to the officer. A

computer check revealed that Respondent's driver's license was suspended for a prior DUI conviction. Respondent admitted to knowing the status of his driver's license as suspended due to a prior DUI conviction. The officer issued Respondent Citation Number AN1614538 for violation of VC section 14601.2, subdivision (a).

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(June 7, 2016 Conviction for Driving Under the Influence of Drugs on March 7, 2016)

- 25. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that he was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On June 7, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Arthur Junior Beltran, aka Arthur Beltran Jr., aka Arthur Junior Beltran Jr., aka Arthur Beltran Jr., aka Arthur Beltran,* in the Orange County Superior Court, North Justice Center, Case Number 16NM08888, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating VC sections 23152, subdivision (e), driving under the influence (DUI) of drugs, and 14601.2, subdivision (a), driving when privilege to drive was suspended due to a prior DUI conviction, both misdemeanors, and Health and Safety Code section 11357, subdivision (b), possession of 28.5 grams or less of marijuana, an infraction. Respondent admitted and the court found true the allegation that at the time of the violation of VC section 23152, subdivision (e), mentioned above, on March 5, 2015, he committed a separate violation of VC section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor, resulting in a conviction on April 23, 2015, in Case Number 15NM04194, detailed in paragraph 32, below, a sentencing enhancement under VC section 23540.
- b. As a result of his convictions, on June 7, 2016, Respondent was sentenced to 120 days in the Orange County Jail, with credit for four days served and four days for good behavior, with service of the balance to run concurrent with service of sentence in any other case. Respondent was granted five years informal probation under deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) terms and standard alcohol and drug conditions. Respondent was ordered to attend and satisfactorily complete an 18-month multiple offender alcohol program and a Mothers Against Drunk Driving

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Victim's Impact Panel session. Respondent was also ordered to pay fines, fees, restitution, and assessments. Respondent was declared a habitual traffic offender and further ordered to install an ignition interlock device for three years.

The facts that led to the convictions are that on March 7, 2016, Respondent was weaving within his lane while driving westbound on Orangethorpe Avenue in Fullerton, California. A Fullerton Police Department (FPD) officer driving a patrol vehicle noticed Respondent's weaving vehicle, its expired registration, its unlit back middle brake light, and its partially non-operational right brake light. Respondent sped up and turn left onto Gilbert Street. The officer caught up with Respondent's vehicle and initiate an enforcement stop in the area of Houston Avenue and Braeburn Street. Upon initial contact, the officer immediately smelled marijuana emitting from inside Respondent's vehicle. Both of Respondent's hands were shaking and he kept moving them around. Respondent explained that he did not have a driver's license because he was on informal probation due to a prior DUI conviction. Respondent's speech was slow and his eyes were bloodshot and watery. Respondent admitted to smoking three "buds" of marijuana two to five minutes before the enforcement stop and to taking Xanax the night before. Respondent showed the officer a canister that contained 1.2 grams of marijuana. Respondent also showed the officer an apple with punctured holes, which he used to smoke marijuana. Respondent admitted to not having a medical marijuana card or a medical reason to smoke marijuana. Respondent also admitted to not having a prescription for Xanax³. Respondent failed to perform some field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated. Respondent was arrested and transported to the FPD jail where he provided a blood sample, which tested positive for alprazolam, carboxy-THC, etizolam, hydroxy-THC, and THC.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(June 7, 2016 Conviction for DUI of Drugs and Alcohol on June 4, 2016)

Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline 26. under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that he was convicted of a crime

³ Xanax is a brand name for alprazolam.

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

- On June 7, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California vs. Arthur Junior Beltran, aka Arthur Beltran Jr, aka Arthur Junior Beltran Jr, aka Arthur Beltran, in the Orange County Superior Court, North Justice Center, Case Number 16NM09035, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating VC sections 23152, subdivision (f), driving while under the combined influence of alcohol and drugs, and 14601.2, subdivision (a), driving when privilege to drive was suspended due to a prior DUI conviction, both misdemeanors. Respondent admitted and the court found true the allegation that at the time of the violation of VC section 23152, subdivision (f), mentioned above, on (sic) November 26, 2014⁴, he committed a separate violation of VC section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor, resulting in a conviction on (sic) March 19, 2015⁵, in Case Number 15NM04194, detailed in paragraph 32, below, a sentencing enhancement under VC section 23540.
- As a result of the convictions, on June 7, 2016, Respondent was sentenced **b**. to 30 days in the Orange County Jail, with credit for four days served and four days for good behavior, with service of the balance to run concurrent with service of sentence in any other case. Respondent was ordered to pay fines, fees, restitution, and assessments.
- The facts that led to the convictions are that on June 4, 2016, while driving c. northbound on North Devonshire Road in Anaheim, California, Respondent rear-ended a parked vehicle. An officer from the Anaheim Police Department (APD) responding to the collision incident contacted Respondent. During the interview, the officer smelled alcohol coming from Respondent's breath. Respondent's eyes were red and droopy and his speech slow and slurred. Respondent admitted to drinking two beers and smoking a bowl of marijuana before driving. Respondent failed to perform a series of field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated. Respondent was arrested and transported to the APD Detention Facility where he consented to a preliminary alcohol screen (PAS). Respondent's two breath samples both indicated a BAC of .02

⁴ March 5, 2015 ⁵ April 23, 2015

percent. Respondent consented to a blood draw. Respondent's subsequent chemical test results indicated the presence of alprazolam, carboxy-THC, hydroxyl-THC, and THC.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

27. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on June 4, 2016, he used alcohol to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to himself and to the public, as described in paragraph 26, above, which is incorporated by reference.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Drugs)

28. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on March 7 and June 4, 2016, he used drugs, to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to himself and to the public, as described in paragraphs 25 and 26, above, which are incorporated by reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Multiple Alcohol and Drug Related Misdemeanor Convictions)

29. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (k) in that on June 7, 2016, he was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the use or consumption of alcohol and drugs, as detailed in paragraphs 25 and 26, above, which are incorporated by reference.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Violation of Drug Laws)

30. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (j), in that he violated VC section 23152, subdivisions (e) and (f), statutes of the State of California regulating controlled substances. The circumstances are that Respondent operated a motor vehicle while under the influence of drugs, on March 7, 2016, in Fullerton, California and on June 4, 2016, in Anaheim, California, as detailed in paragraphs 25 and 26, above, which are incorporated by reference.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Violation of Pharmacy Laws)

31. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (j), in that he violated Health and Safety Code section 11357, subdivision (b), a statute of the State of California regulating controlled substances. The circumstances are that on March 7, 2016, Respondent was found in possession of 28.5 grams or less of marijuana, in Fullerton, California, as detailed in paragraph 25, above, which is incorporated by reference.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 32. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges:
- a. On April 23, 2015, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Arthur Junior Beltran, aka Arthur Beltran Jr, aka Arthur Junior Beltran, aka Arthur Beltran Jr, aka Arthur Beltran,* in the Orange County Superior Court, North Justice Center, Case Number 15NM04194, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code (VC) sections 23152, subdivision (a), driving while under the influence of alcohol (DUI), and 20002, subdivision (a), hit and run with property damage. A misdemeanor charge for a second violation of VC section 20002, subdivision (a), and an infraction charge for violation of VC section 23136, subdivision (a), driving under the age of 21 with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.01 percent or more, were dismissed under a plea bargain.
- b. As a result of the convictions, on April 23, 2015, Respondent was sentenced to ten days in the Orange County Jail, with credit for one day actually served, with option to serve the balance of nine days through the public service program. Respondent was granted three years informal probation under standard alcohol conditions and ordered to attend and satisfactorily complete a three-month First Offender Alcohol Program and a Youthful Drug and Alcohol Deterrence Program. Respondent was also ordered to pay fines, fees, restitution, penalties, and assessments. Respondent's license to drive was suspended for a year. On August 17, 2015, Respondent was found in violation of the terms of his probation and his suspended

 sentence of ten days was re-imposed, with the option to render service for ten days in lieu of jail.

Respondent was also sentenced to 30 days in the Orange County Jail.

- c. The facts that led to his conviction are that on March 5, 2015, while backing out from a stall in a parking lot at La Palma Avenue in Anaheim, California, Respondent hit a parked vehicle. Respondent drove away but his registration plates had been reported to responding officers from the Anaheim Police Department (APD). As Respondent drove eastbound on Houston Street, an APD officer initiated an enforcement stop. During initial contact, the officer smelled alcohol emitting from Respondent's breath and body, and noticed Respondent's slurred speech and droopy and watery eyes. Respondent refused to answer further questions or undergo a series of field sobriety test. Respondent was thereafter arrested and transported to the APD detention facility. Respondent refused to provide breath or blood samples. The APD obtained a search warrant to authorize drawing a blood sample from Respondent. Upon testing, Respondent's blood sample indicated a BAC of .14 percent.
- d. On September 24, 2015, under Code section 4314, in conjunction with title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, section 1775, the Board issued Respondent a Citation and imposed a fine of \$1,200.00. The Board issued Respondent a citation for the following:
- i. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (f), for unprofessional conduct, involving acts of moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, ot corruption.
- ii. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (h), for unprofessional conduct, use of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- iii. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subdivision (l), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician.

(ARTHUR BELTRAN, JR.) ACCUSATION