	II .	
1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 225325 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Lor Angelos CA 20013	
3		
4		
5		
	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2542	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		7
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5908
12	CESAR SANCHEZ aka CESAR ISAAC SANCHEZ	ACCUSATION
13	12700 Elliott Ave., #522	
14	El Monte, CA 91732	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 129029	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about February 4, 2013, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration	
23	No. TCH 129029 to Cesar Sanchez, aka Cesar Isaac Sanchez ("Respondent"). The Pharmacy	
24	Technician Registration expired on September 30, 2016, and has not been renewed.	
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following	
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise	
28	indicated.	
		1

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

- (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license. . . .
- 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states, in pertinent part:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

#### COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

///

27

28

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

### 

## 

### 

### 

### 

## 

# 

# 

# 

## 

#### 

///

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follow:
- a. On or about April 15, 2016, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (b) and 23540 [drive while having a blood alcohol content ("BAC") of 0.08% or higher by weight with a prior conviction within ten years], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v Cesar Isaac Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2016, No. 5PS27089). Respondent admitted to a BAC of 0.15% or greater. The court sentenced Respondent to 96 hours in jail, placed him on 48 months probation, ordered him to complete a 18-month second-offender alcohol and other drug education and counseling program, a hospital and morgue program, a victim impact program of mothers against drunk driving, and pay fines.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 13, 2015, Monrovia Police Department officers observed a vehicle stopped in the #3 lane of a traffic and found Respondent sleeping in the driver seat. An officer knocked on the window several times to wake Respondent. When Respondent finally woke up, the vehicle began to roll forward. The officer pounded the window and commanded Respondent to stop the vehicle. The vehicle picked up speed running the red signal and continued toward other vehicles that were stopped at a red signal. Respondent almost collided with other vehicles and sped away. The officer subsequently located Respondent and upon contact, Respondent admitted to drinking alcoholic beverages. Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol emitting from his breath, slurred and slow speech, and watery and bloodshot eyes. Respondent's breath test revealed a BAC of 0.18%.

8

9

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

///

///

///

///

///

25

26

27

28

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follow:
- a. On or about April 6, 2015, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 273.5, subdivision (a) [inflict corporal injury to spouse, cohabitant, girlfriend, etc.], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v Cesar Sanchez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2015, No. 4DV00295). The court sentenced Respondent to one (1) day in jail, placed him on three (3) years probation, ordered him to perform 24 hours of community service, a 52-week domestic violence treatment program, stay at least 100 yards away from and have no contact with the victim, and pay fines.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 27, 2014, El Monte Police Department officers responded to a report of a family disturbance. Upon arrival. the victim, Respondent's wife, informed an officer that Respondent dragged her out of bed and started a fight. When the victim exited the residence and got in her car attempting to get away, Respondent fought and tried to take away the car key. When the victim exited the car to walk away from Respondent, he grabbed an empty vodka bottle and threw it at her head causing a large bump. The victim sustained scratches to her neck from Respondent while fighting over the car keys. Respondent admitted to consuming alcohol prior to the fight.

5

# 

## 

#### 

# 

### 

# 

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about November 13, 2015 and June 27, 2014, Respondent used alcohol in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, any person of the public, or to the extent that the use impaired his ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his license. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 10, subparagraph b, and 11, subparagraph b, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

#### **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

#### (Multiple Convictions Involving Alcohol-Use)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (k), in that Respondent had convictions of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption or self-administration of alcoholic beverages, as follows:
- a. On or about April 15, 2016, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (b) and 23540 [drive while having a blood alcohol content ("BAC") of 0.08% or higher by weight with a prior conviction within ten years], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v Cesar Isaac Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2016, No. 5PS27089). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about September 17, 2014, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [drive while having a BAC of 0.08% or higher by weight], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v Cesar Isaac Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. 4RI03315). The court placed Respondent on 36 months probation, ordered him to complete a 9-month driving under the influence program, a hospital and morgue program, a victim impact program of mothers against drunk driving, and pay fines. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or around May 1, 2014, El Monte Police Department officers responded to a report of a vehicle driving recklessly through a mobile home park. The police air unit observed a vehicle almost crashing

into mobile homes several times. An officer made contact with the driver, Respondent, and Respondent admitted to drinking alcoholic beverages. The officer noticed several empty and full beer cans located inside of Respondent's vehicle. Respondent was unable to satisfactorily complete the field sobriety tests as explained and demonstrated by the officer.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Violating Pharmacy Law / Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (o) and (p), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts violating the Pharmacy Law and/or federal and state laws that would have warranted denial of a license. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 10 through 13, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

#### **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

- 15. To determine the degree of discipline, Complainant further alleges that on or about October 24, 2014, the Board issued Citation Number CI 2013 61346 with a \$1,200.00 fine to Respondent. Respondent has an outstanding balance of \$1,200.00. The Citation alleged violations as follows:
- a. Code section 4301, subdivision (I), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician, specifically, on or about September 17, 2014, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [drive while having a BAC of 0.08% or higher by weight], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v Cesar Isaac Sanchez* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. 4RI03315). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- b. Code section 4301, subdivision (k), in that Respondent had convictions of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption or self-administration of alcoholic beverages:

-///

i. On or about April 24, 2001, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [drive while having a BAC of 0.08% or higher by weight], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v Cesar Sanchez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2001, No. 1RH01744). The court placed Respondent on three (3) years probation, ordered him to complete a 3-month first-offender alcohol and other drug education and counseling program, 40 hours of community service, suspended his driver's license for 12 months, and ordered him to pay fines.

ii. On or about September 17, 2014, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [drive while having a BAC of 0.08% or higher by weight], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People* of the State of California v Cesar Isaac Sanchez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. 4RI03315). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

- c. Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about May 1, 2014, Respondent used alcohol in a manner as to be dangerous or injuries to himself, any person of the public, or to the extent that the use impaired his ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his license. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraph (a), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- d. Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on or about June 27, 2014, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, specifically. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraph (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

25

/// 26

27 ///

28 ///

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 139029, issued to Cesar Sanchez, aka Cesar Isaac Sanchez
- 2. Ordering Cesar Sanchez to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and,
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

 $_{\text{DATED:}} 3/3/17$ 

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2016602157 52245578\_3.doc 3/2/2017