1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General FRANK H. PACOE		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 91740		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5556 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10]	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5803	
12	MINHTHU TRAN NGUYEN 1232 College Avenue		
13	Alameda, CA 94501	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48195		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17.	Complainant alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about August 14, 1995, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License		
22	Number RPH 48195 to Minhthu Tran Nguyen (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full		
23	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31,		
24	2017, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise	indicated.	
-			

4. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 5. Section 4300 of the Business and Professions Code, in pertinent part, states:
- "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- "(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - "(1) Suspending judgment.
 - "(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - "(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - "(4) Revoking his or her license.
- "(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.

. . .

6. Section 4301 of the Business and Professions Code, in pertinent part, states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

24 II

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or

to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

21

Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code states, in pertinent part, that the 7. Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

26

27

111

111

///

5

7 8

- .

- 20°

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct -- Conviction of a Crime)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301(l) for unprofessional conduct in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about February 18, 2016 in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Minhthu Nguyen* in San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. CR-2015-0014503, Respondent was convicted by her plea of nolo contendere for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) driving while having a blood alcohol level of 0.08 percent or higher with a .20 percent enhancement.
- b. On or about October 30, 2015, at 2115 hours, police officers from the Stockton Police Department were dispatched to assist with a DUI evaluation. The officer noticed that the driver was involved in a collision. When the officer made contact with the driver he noticed that Respondent had red watery eyes and the strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emanating from her person. During questioning, Respondent admitted to driving home from a bar after drinking an alcoholic beverage called soju. The officer then administered standard field sobriety tests to Respondent which she performed poorly. Based on Respondent's poor performance on the field sobriety tests, her objective signs of intoxication, her admission to drinking alcoholic beverages, the officer advised Respondent she was under arrest for driving under the influence. The Respondent refused a chemical test and thereafter a search warrant was issued and a blood sample was taken from her at the County Hospital. Thereafter, Respondent was transported to the County Jail and booked into custody. On November 16, 2015, a forensic alcohol analysis of Respondent's blood sample reported her blood alcohol level was 0.29% at the time of the incident.
- c. On or about February 18, 2016, Respondent was placed on 3 years probation and sentenced to serve 17 days in the county jail, ordered to obey all laws, ordered to enroll and complete a 9 month First Offender Drinking Driver Program, and ordered to pay fines and fees.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Self Administering Drugs/Alcohol)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301(h) for unprofessional conduct in that on or about October 30, 2015 she used alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself or, any other person, or the public, as set forth in paragraph 8, above.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

10. On June 6, 2010, the Respondent was arrested by officers of the Walnut Creek Police Department for disorderly conduct (Penal Code sec. 647(f). The Board issued a letter of admonishment on March 9, 2011 and closed the case.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 48195, issued to Minhthu Tran Nguyen
- 2. Ordering Minhthu Tran Nguyen to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 02/13/17

VIRĞINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2016200554 41519974.doc

26

27