		1	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY YONSUMED AFFAIDS	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5777	
12	SAMANTHA NICOLE SCHMIDT		
13	1019 Dornajo Way #253 Sacramento, CA 95825	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 114184		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PAR	TIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") bri	ngs this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac	cy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about October 20, 2011, the B	oard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration	
22	Number TCH 114184 to Samantha Nicole Schmidt ("Respondent"). The pharmacy technician		
23	registration was in full force and effect at all time	es relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
24	expire on May 31, 2017, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION/STAT	UTORY PROVISIONS	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise		
28	indicated.		
	-	L	
		(SAMANTHA NICOLE SCHMIDT) ACCUSATION	

1	4. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:
2	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
3	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the
4	board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
5	(1) Suspending judgment.
6	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
7	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
8	(4) Revoking his or her license.
9	
10	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper
11	5. Code section 4300.1 states:
12	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the
13	placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a
14 15	licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
16	6. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:
17	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty
18	of unprofessional conduct Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
19	••••
20	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use
21	of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or
22	to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
23	
24	(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony
25	involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
26	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,
27 28	functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive
	2
	(SAMANTHA NICOLE SCHMIDT) ACCUSATI

(SAMANTHA NICOLE SCHMIDT) ACCUSATION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.		
8	COST RECOVERY		
9	7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the		
10	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of		
11	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and		
12	enforcement of the case.		
13	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
14	(Criminal Conviction)		
15	8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to		
16	Code section 4301, subdivision (1), in that on or about December 21, 2015, in the criminal		
17	proceeding entitled People vs. Samantha Nicole Schmidt (Sacramento County Super. Ct., Case		
18	No. 15M14441), Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of nolo contendere of		
19			
- 17	violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving a vehicle while having a blood		
20	violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving a vehicle while having a blood alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the		
20	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the		
20 21	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted that she had		
20 21 22	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted that she had a blood alcohol of 0.15 percent or more within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and		
20 21 22 23	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted that she had a blood alcohol of 0.15 percent or more within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and that the current offense occurred within ten years of a separate violation of Vehicle Code section		
20 21 22 23 24	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted that she had a blood alcohol of 0.15 percent or more within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and that the current offense occurred within ten years of a separate violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), which resulted in a conviction, as more particularly set forth in paragraph		
20 21 22 23 24 25	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted that she had a blood alcohol of 0.15 percent or more within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and that the current offense occurred within ten years of a separate violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), which resulted in a conviction, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 11 below. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: On or about August 28, 2015, a		
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted that she had a blood alcohol of 0.15 percent or more within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and that the current offense occurred within ten years of a separate violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), which resulted in a conviction, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 11 below. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: On or about August 28, 2015, a Sacramento Police Department Officer was driving northbound on 13 th Street from S Street when		
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted that she had a blood alcohol of 0.15 percent or more within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and that the current offense occurred within ten years of a separate violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), which resulted in a conviction, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 11 below. The circumstances of the crime are as follows: On or about August 28, 2015, a Sacramento Police Department Officer was driving northbound on 13 th Street from S Street when he observed a Volkswagen Jetta partially in the southbound lane of traffic, with its front		

1	The Volkswagen was running and the headlights were on, and it appeared that the Volkswagen
2	had collided with the Chevrolet. The officer pulled his patrol car in behind the Volkswagen and
3	approached the driver's side of the vehicle. The officer observed a female subject, later identified
4	as Respondent, slumped over in the driver's seat. When the officer opened the driver door, it
5	appeared that Respondent was unconscious in that her chin was resting against her chest, there
6	was saliva hanging from her mouth, her skin was flush, and she did not respond to verbal or
7	tactile stimulus. Respondent also had a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from her
8	person. The officer requested that the Sacramento Fire Department ("SFD") respond to assess
9	Respondent's medical condition. Respondent eventually responded to verbal stimulus, but only
10	with a non-verbal signal ("thumb's up"). The SFD arrived on scene and transported Respondent
11	to UC Davis Medical Center. Respondent was unable to walk or stand, and the SFD had to carry
12	her to the ambulance gurney. Once Respondent arrived at the medical center, she had no
13	recollection of the events that had transpired. Respondent submitted a blood sample for chemical
14	testing and was determined to have a blood alcohol of 0.24 percent.
15	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Use of Alcoholic Beverages to an Extent or in a Manner
17	Dangerous or Injurious to Oneself, Others and the Public)
18	9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
19	Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about August 28, 2015, Respondent used
20	alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself, others, and the
21	public, as set forth in paragraph 8 above.
22	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Conviction of More than one Misdemeanor
24	
	Involving the Consumption of Alcoholic beverages)
25	Involving the Consumption of Alcoholic beverages) 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
25 26	
	10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to
26	10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (k), in that Respondent has been convicted of more than one
26 27	10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (k), in that Respondent has been convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages, as set forth in paragraph 8 above

[
1	MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION	
2	11. To determine the degree of discipline to be assessed against Respondent, if any,	
3	Complainant alleges as follows: On or about April 18, 2011, the Board received an application	
4	for pharmacy technician registration from Respondent. Respondent admitted on her application	
5	that she had been convicted of a crime. On or about October 20, 2009, in Sacramento County	
6	Superior Court, Case No. 09T05579, Respondent was convicted by the Court on her plea of nolo	
7	contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving a vehicle while	
8	having a blood alcohol of 0.08 percent and more), a misdemeanor, with an enhancement pursuant	
9	to Vehicle Code section 23578. The Court noted on the Minute Order/Plea form that	
10	Respondent's blood alcohol was .19 percent at the time of the incident. On or about October 20,	
11	2011, the Board issued Respondent a pharmacy technician registration, as set forth in paragraph 2	
12	above.	
13	PRAYER	
14	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
15	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
16	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 114184,	
17	issued to Samantha Nicole Schmidt;	
18	2. Ordering Samantha Nicole Schmidt to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable	
19	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions	
20	Code section 125.3; and	
21	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
22	BATED: 8/11/16 Diginia Head	
23	DATED:	
24	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
25	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
26	Complainant	
27		
28	SA2016101443	
	5	
	(SAMANTHA NICOLE SCHMIDT) ACCUSATION	