1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800		
	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9409		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		_	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5771	
13	MARCUS LYNN BRIGGS	FIRST AMENDED	
14	16851 Eagle Peak Road Riverside, CA 92504	ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45400	·	
15 16	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45400 Respondent.		
16			
16 17	Respondent. Complainant alleges:	RTIES	
16 17 18	Complainant alleges:		
16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges:	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PA 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about August 10, 1992, the B	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about August 10, 1992, the B	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. oard issued Pharmacist License Number RPH the Pharmacist License was in full force and effect	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about August 10, 1992, the B 45400 to Marcus Lynn Briggs (Respondent). T	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. oard issued Pharmacist License Number RPH the Pharmacist License was in full force and effect	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about August 10, 1992, the B 45400 to Marcus Lynn Briggs (Respondent). T at all times relevant to the charges brought here	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. oard issued Pharmacist License Number RPH the Pharmacist License was in full force and effect	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about August 10, 1992, the B 45400 to Marcus Lynn Briggs (Respondent). T at all times relevant to the charges brought here renewed.	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. oard issued Pharmacist License Number RPH the Pharmacist License was in full force and effect	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Complainant alleges: PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about August 10, 1992, the B 45400 to Marcus Lynn Briggs (Respondent). T at all times relevant to the charges brought here renewed. ///	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. oard issued Pharmacist License Number RPH the Pharmacist License was in full force and effect	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Complainant alleges: PAI 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma 2. On or about August 10, 1992, the B 45400 to Marcus Lynn Briggs (Respondent). T at all times relevant to the charges brought here renewed. /// ///	RTIES gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. oard issued Pharmacist License Number RPH the Pharmacist License was in full force and effect	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of

discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and

Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.

28

section 23103, reckless driving. The original charge of driving under the influence of a drug (Veh. Code, § 23152(e)), was dismissed.

- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted probation for 36 months, and ordered to pay fees and fines.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on the afternoon of May 22, 2015, a motorcycle patrol officer with the San Bernardino Police Department responded to a report of a male parked in the middle of an intersection, and possibly under the influence. Upon contact, the officer observed that Respondent was wearing a robe, he had red, watery eyes, his speech was slurred, and he appeared confused. He stated he was on his way to McDonald's, but his car broke down. Respondent agreed to perform field sobriety tests which he was unable to complete as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. During a Drug Recognition Evaluation, Respondent had difficulty maintaining focus and appeared to be in a sedated state. Respondent admitted consuming medications earlier in the day, including Ambien (zolpidem tartrate) for sleep. A blood sample provided by Respondent tested positive for zolpidem.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of a Controlled Substance)

15. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about May 22, 2015, as described in paragraph 14, above, Respondent operated a motor vehicle while impaired by a controlled substance.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 45400, issued to Marcus Lynn Briggs;
- 2. Ordering Marcus Lynn Briggs to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
2		2.	
3	The state of the s	agnie Xledd	
4	4 Exec	GINIA HEROLD cutive Officer	
5	5 Dep.	rd of Pharmacy artment of Consumer Affairs e of California	
6	6 Com	plainant	
7	7 SD2016700401		
8	8		
9	9		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	1		
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27			
28	8		
- 1	П		

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5771	
13	MARCUS LYNN BRIGGS	ACCUSATION	
14	16851 Eagle Peak Road Riverside, CA 92504		
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45400		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PAR	TIES	
20	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity as	
21	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about August 10, 1992, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License		
23	Number RPH 45400 to Marcus Lynn Briggs (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full		
24	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31,		
25	2016, unless renewed.		
26	///		
27	111		
28	111		

5

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 7. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (b) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications,

functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COSTS

11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUG

12. Ambien is a brand name for zolpidem, a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is a sedative used for the short-term treatment of insomnia.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Unprofessional Conduct/

Dangerous Use of a Controlled Substance on May 22, 2015)

- 13. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code in that he used an illegal controlled substance to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about the afternoon of May 22, 2015, a patrol officer with the San Bernardino Police Department responded to assist another officer with a stranded motorist parked in the middle of an intersection. Upon arrival the officer observed, Respondent was sitting in the driver's seat and appeared to be impaired. The officer made contact with Respondent to determine why Respondent was parked in the middle of the intersection. Respondent eyes were red and watery, appeared to be confused and his speech was slow and slurred. Respondent admitted consuming a fourth of a beer and taking numerous medications prior to driving. Respondent provided two breath samples which came back with a 0.00 BAC. Respondent was arrested for

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct) 2 3 Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 for unprofessional conduct in that he engaged in activities described in paragraphs 13 and 14, 4 above, which is incorporated herein by reference. 5 **PRAYER** 6 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 7 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 8 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 45400, issued to Marcus 9 10 Lynn Briggs; 2. Ordering Marcus Lynn Briggs to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of 11 the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 12 125.3; 13 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 14 15 16 6/10/16 17 DATED: 18 **Executive Officer** Board of Pharmacy 19 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 20 Complainant SD2016700401 21 81333715.doc 22 23 24 25 26 27 28