	A
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
7	Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5759
13	RICHARD LEROY CHURCH 6703 22nd Street
14	Rio Linda, CA 95673  A C C U S A T I O N
15	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 26632
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive
21	Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about August 3, 1998, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician License Number
23	TCH 26632 to Richard Leroy Church ("Respondent"). The license was in full force and effect at
24	all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2018, unless renewed.
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
26	3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that
27	every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
28	///
	1

#### 4. Code section 4301.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

#### 5. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under

12 | 13 |

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of

#### 

# 

## 

# 

# 

## 

#### 

# 

## 

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Convictions Involving the Use of Alcohol)

9. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4301(k), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the use, consumption, and self administration of alcohol, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 7.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

10. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 4301(f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, when on or about September 12, 2015, Respondent fled the scene of an accident, as more particularly set forth above in paragraph 7.

#### FACTORS IN AGGRAVATION

Respondent for violating Code sections 4301(f) (acts of moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption); 4301(h) (administering to oneself, any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself); 4301(k) (conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage); and 4301(*l*) (conviction of a crime substantially related to the practice of pharmacy), in that on or about October 17, 2012, in the case of *People v. Richard Leroy Church*, (Super. Ct. Colusa County, Case No. CR54292), Respondent was convicted by the Court on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Penal Code section 273a(a) (child endangerment), a misdemeanor, and Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol level of .08% or higher), a misdemeanor, with a prior conviction of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol) on July 23, 2003<sup>1</sup>. The circumstances of the crime were that on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On or about July 23, 2003, in the case of *People v. Richard Leroy Church*, (Super. Ct. Sacramento County, Case No. 03T00219), Respondent was convicted by the Court on his plea of (continued...)

1	or about July 7, 2012, Respondent drove a vehicle while having a blood alcohol level of .15% and
2	was swerving in traffic, going over ninety miles per hour, and failing to yield to police officers,
3	all while having a minor child in the vehicle. The Board assessed a fine in the amount of \$600.
4	Respondent paid the fine in full on March 14, 2014.
5	<u>PRAYER</u>
6	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
7	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
8	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 26632,
9	issued to Richard Leroy Church;
10	2. Ordering Richard Leroy Church to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
11	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
12	125.3; and,
13	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
14	dieta Dining X/ 1/
15	DATED: 8/15/16 (Ilginia Vice Cof
16	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
17	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
18	State of California  Complainant
19	
20	SA2016100767 12272432.doc
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	(continued)
27	no contest of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime were that Respondent
28	drove a vehicle with a blood alcohol level of ,13%.