1 2 3 4 5 6 7	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California HARINDER K. KAPUR Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE I. KELLOGG Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 126461 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9429 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5708		
12	in the firmer of the research	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		
13	10413 Rosedust Glen Drive			
14	San Diego, CA 92127			
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 56425			
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	Complainant alleges:			
19	PARTIES			
20	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her			
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer			
22	Affairs.			
23	2. On or about October 5, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License			
24	Number RPH 56425 to Hau Nhu Truong (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force			
25	and effect at all times relevant to the charges and allegations brought herein and expired on			
26	October 31, 2016. On January 26, 2016, an Interim Suspension Order suspended the Pharmacist			
27	License and Respondent was prohibited from practicing as a pharmacist in the State of California			
28				

of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the

28

licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4022 of the Code states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
- 10. Section 4059 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that a person may not furnish any dangerous drug except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.
- 11. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6.
 - 12. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(3) to acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge. . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (c) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 16. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COSTS

17. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUG

18. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054(b)(M), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

19. Zolpidem Tartrate is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(February 13, 2017 Criminal Conviction for Conspiracy to Acquire or Obtain Possession of a Controlled Substance by Misrepresentation, Fraud, Forgery, Deception, or Subterfuge)

- 20. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), of the Code, in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about October 27, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States* of *America v. Hau Nhu Troung*, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, case number 15-cr-02719-JAH-2, Respondent pled guilty to count one of the Information. The Magistrate recommended that the District Judge accept Respondent's guilty plea to count one of the Information which charged him with violating Title 21, United States Code section 843(a)(3) and Title 18 United States Code section 2. On November 19, 2015, the District Judge accepted Respondent's plea of guilty to count one of the Information. On or about February 13, 2017, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty and judgment was entered against him.
- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to probation for one year and ordered to pay an assessment.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that Respondent was employed as a pharmacist at chain pharmacies located in Encinitas, Oceanside and Carlsbad, California. In 2013 and 2014, the Drug Enforcement Administration conducted an investigation of Respondent's illegal activities performed while on duty as a pharmacist. The Drug Enforcement Administration's investigation concluded that Respondent, a former pharmacist-in-charge and current floating pharmacist, stole an estimated 300 prescriptions from the pharmacies who employed him. Respondent verified and filled multiple fraudulent prescriptions for controlled substances, presented by his co-conspirator and his co-conspirator's relative. He admitted that he

filled these fraudulent prescriptions without question. On one occasion, he even dismissed the pharmacy technician and used her employee identification number to verify a fraudulent prescription. The Drug Enforcement Administration concluded that the criminal conspiracy at issue in Respondent's criminal case resulted in the loss of 75,000 tablets of oxycodone over a two-year period, including the theft of 5,000 to 7,000, tablets a month.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(February 8, 2018 Criminal Conviction for Using Personal Information of Physician and Obtaining Personal Identifying Information with Intent to Defraud)

- 21. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I), of the Code, in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 10, 2018, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Hau Nhu Troung*, in the San Diego Superior Court, case number CD271550, Respondent pled guilty to count one, using personal identifying information of another person, a physician in violation of Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (a), a felony, and count four, obtaining the personal identifying information with intent to defraud, in violation of Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (c)(1), a misdemeanor, of the Second Amended Complaint-Felony. As part of his plea agreement, Respondent admitted that he "willfully obtained the personal information of another and used it for an unlawful purpose and took real property of a value in excess of \$950." Count two (misrepresentation as a physician to obtain drugs), count three (use of personal identifying information of another), count five (misrepresentation as a physician to obtain drugs) and count six (grand theft of real property) were dismissed as part of the plea agreement.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on February 8, 2018, Respondent was sentenced to formal probation for three years, committed to the custody of the sheriff for 240 days concurrent with the federal case described in paragraph 20, and to pay all applicable fees and restitution. Respondent's counsel was ordered to submit proof Respondent reported to federal custody.

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about March 23, 2017 and March 30, 2017, Respondent posed as a physician and "called in" prescriptions for drugs, including a controlled substance, zolpidem tartrate to pharmacies. He then arrived at the pharmacies to procure those drugs, using such methods as assuming the identity of another person. Respondent was subsequently arrested and a criminal complaint was filed against him on April 10, 2017. Thereafter, on May 7, 2017, Respondent stole a laptop worth \$1,616.21 from Costco. He used the receipt from a prior purchase of an identical laptop to conceal the fact that he had not paid for a second laptop.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Making False Documents)

22. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (g), of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he knowingly verified and filled multiple fraudulent prescriptions and called in false prescriptions, as described in paragraphs 18-21, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Commission of Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit & Corruption)

23. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (f), of the Code, for unprofessional conduct in that he stole controlled substances and dangerous drugs from his employer/pharmacy, called in false prescriptions, attempted to obtain drugs, using fraud, deceit, and dishonesty and stole a computer, as described in paragraphs 18-21, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of California Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

24. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, for unprofessional conduct, in that he knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, as described in paragraphs 18-21, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

///

26

27

28

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Federal and State Laws and Regulations Governing Pharmacy)

Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301. subdivision (j), of the Code, for unprofessional conduct in that he violated Title 21 U.S.C. section 843, subdivision (a)(3), Board of Pharmacy Regulations (California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1700, et seq.), and the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.), when he obtained controlled substances and dangerous drugs using fraud and deceit, as described in paragraphs 18-21, above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under Code section 26. 4301, for unprofessional conduct in that he engaged in the activities described in paragraphs 18-21 and 23 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 56425, issued to Respondent Hau Nhu Truong;
- Ordering Respondent Hau Nhu Truong to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 2/28/18

VIRGINIA K. HEROLD **Executive Officer** Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE I. KELLOGG		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 126461		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800		
	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9429 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11]	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5708	
13	HAU NHU TRUONG 10413 Rosedust Glen Drive		
14	San Diego, CA 92127	ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 56425		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant allacas		
19	Complainant alleges:		
.ei.	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about October 5, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License		
23	Number RPH 56425 to Hau Nhu Truong (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force		
24	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on October 31, 2016.		
25	On January 26, 2016, an Interim Suspension Order suspended the Pharmacist License and		
26	Respondent was prohibited from practicing as a pharmacist in the State of California until a final		
27	decision on the Accusation in this matter, or until the interim suspension order is modified or		
28	vacated.		
	[

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

5. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 7. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications.

12 13

14

1516

17

.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency...

12. United States Code, title 18, section 2 states:

- (a) Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal.
- (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal.
- 13. United States Code, title 21, section 843 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally -
- (3) to acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge. . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, subdivision (c) states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- a. On or about October 27, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States* of *America v. Hau Nhu Troung*, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, case number 15-cr-02719-JAH-2, Respondent pled guilty to count one of the Information. The Magistrate recommended that the District Judge accept Respondent's guilty plea to count one of the Information. On November 19, 2015, the District Judge accepted Respondent's plea of guilty to count one of the Information. On or about February 13, 2017, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Title 21, United States Code section 843(a)(3) and Title 18 United States Code section 2 and judgment was entered against him.
- b. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was sentenced to probation for one year and ordered to pay an assessment.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that Respondent was employed as a pharmacist at chain pharmacies located in Encinitas, Oceanside and Carlsbad, California. In 2013 and 2014, the Drug Enforcement Administration conducted an investigation of Respondent's illegal activities performed while on duty as a pharmacist. The Drug Enforcement Administration's investigation concluded that Respondent, a former pharmacist-in-charge and floating pharmacist stole an estimated 300 prescriptions from the pharmacies who employed him. Respondent verified and filled multiple fraudulent prescriptions for controlled substances, presented by his co-conspirator and his co-conspirator's relative. He admitted that he filled these fraudulent prescriptions without question. On one occasion, he even dismissed the pharmacy technician and used her employee identification number to verify a fraudulent prescription. The Drug Enforcement Administration concluded that the criminal conspiracy at issue in Respondent's criminal case resulted in the loss of 75,000 tablets of oxycodone over a two year period, including the theft of 5,000 to 7,000 tablets a month.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Making False Documents)

19. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (g) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he knowingly verified and filled multiple fraudulent prescriptions, as described in paragraph 18, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Commission of Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit & Corruption)

20. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he stole controlled substances and dangerous drugs from his employer/pharmacy using fraud, deceit, and dishonesty, as described in paragraph 18, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of California Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

21. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (o) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, as described in paragraph 18, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Federal and State Laws and Regulations Governing Pharmacy)

22. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he violated Title 21 U.S.C. section 843, subdivision (a)(3), Board of Pharmacy Regulations (California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1700, et seq.), and the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.), when he obtained controlled substances using fraud and deceit, as described in paragraph 18, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

23. Respondent has subjected his pharmacist license to discipline action under Code section 4301 for unprofessional conduct in that he engaged in the activities described in paragraph 18 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

2 3 5 7 8 BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Interim Suspension Order Case No. 5528 11 Against: OAH No. 2016010120 12 HAU NHU TRUONG INTERIM SUSPENSION ORDER 13 Pharmacist License No. RPH 56425 14 Respondent. 15 16 Administrative Law Judge, Alan S. Meth having presided over the above-entitled matter, 17 having reviewed and considered the Petition for Interim Suspension Order including the 18 Memorandum of Points and Authorities and the Declarations and Exhibits filed in support 19 thereof; any Opposition to the Petition for Interim Suspension Order and any Declarations and 20 Exhibits filed in support thereof; oral argument; and any stipulations made on the record by 21 Respondent Hau Nhu Troung and Complainant Virginia K. Herold through their respective 22 counsel; 23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT: 24 This is a proper case for the issuance of an interim order of suspension in that 25 Respondent Hau Nhu Truong has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the practice of 26 pharmacy; 27 28

1	
2	
3	-
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	1
23	
24	
25	
26	
m ~	- 1

- Permitting Respondent Hau Nhu Truong to continue to practice as a pharmacist will endanger the public health, safety, and welfare;
- 3. Therefore, pending further order from the Office of Administrative Hearings, Pharmacist License No. RPH 56425 issued to Hau Nhu Truong, shall be, and hereby is immediately suspended, and Respondent Hau Nhu Truong, is hereby immediately prohibited from practicing as a pharmacist in the State of California until a final decision on the Accusation that will be filed in this matter, or until this interim suspension order is modified or vacated;
- 4. Hau Nhu Troung understands that the Board is required to file an Accusation within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of an interim suspension order and he is entitled to a hearing on the Accusation within thirty (30) days after he files a notice of defense and a decision to be rendered on the Accusation no later than thirty (30) days after submission of the matter, as is set forth in Business and Professions Code section 494(f). Respondent waives his right to the statutory deadlines set forth in Business and Professions Code section 494 which require that an Accusation be filed within fifteen days (15) days of the issuance of an interim suspension order, a hearing on the Accusation be held within thirty (30) days following the filing of a notice of defense and a decision to be rendered on the Accusation no later than thirty (30) days after submission of the matter.
- 5. A copy of this Interim Order of Suspension shall be served on Respondent via Overnight Mail Delivery Service, Certified Mail and First Class Mail at his address of record on file with the Board of Pharmacy.

IT IS SO ORDERED on this 26 day of January, 2016.

ROBERT WALKER

PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

21

28