1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	Kent D. Harris
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Leslie A. BURGERMYER
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 117576
5	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5337
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Leslie.Burgermyer@doj.ca.gov
-	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5592
12	SWAPIL VISHWASROA PATIL         1680 Rio Vista Way    A C C U S A T I O N
13	Yuba City, CA 95993
14	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 56473
15	Respondent.
10	Kespondent.
16	<u>Kespondent.</u>
	Complainant alleges:
16	
16 17	Complainant alleges:
16 17 18	Complainant alleges: PARTIES
16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u> 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u> 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u> 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 19, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 19, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56473 to Swapil Vishwasroa Patil ("Respondent"). The
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u> 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 19, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56473 to Swapil Vishwasroa Patil ("Respondent"). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u> 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 19, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56473 to Swapil Vishwasroa Patil ("Respondent"). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2016, unless renewed. At all times alleged herein,
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 19, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56473 to Swapil Vishwasroa Patil ("Respondent"). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2016, unless renewed. At all times alleged herein, Respondent was the Pharmacist-In-Charge within the meaning of Business and Professions Code
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u> 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 19, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56473 to Swapil Vishwasroa Patil ("Respondent"). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2016, unless renewed. At all times alleged herein, Respondent was the Pharmacist-In-Charge within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 4113.
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIES</u> 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 19, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56473 to Swapil Vishwasroa Patil ("Respondent"). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2016, unless renewed. At all times alleged herein, Respondent was the Pharmacist-In-Charge within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 4113. ///

1
2
3
4
5
6 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>

1	7.	Code section 4022 states, in pertinent part:
2		"Dangerous drug" means any drug unsafe for self-se in humans or animals, and includes the following:
3 4		(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
5		(c) Any other drug that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
6		
7	8.	Code section 4113 states, in pertinent part:
8 9		(a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30 days thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.
10		(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the
11		practice of pharmacy.
12		REGULATORY PROVISIONS
13	9.	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 states:
14 15		Pharmacists shall not deviate from the requirements of a prescription except upon the prior consent of the prescriber or to select the drug product in accordance with Section 4073 of the Business and Professions Code.
16	10.	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.3 states, in pertinent part:
17		(a) For each compounded drug product, the pharmacy records shall include:
18		(1) The master formula record.
19		(2) The date the drug product was compounded.
20 21		(3) The identity of the pharmacy personnel who compounded the drug product.
22		(4) The identity of the pharmacist reviewing the final drug product.
23		(5) The quantity of each component used in compounding the drug
24		product.
25		(6) The manufacturer, expiration date and lot number of each component. If the manufacturer name is demonstrably unavailable, the name of the
26		supplier may be substituted. Exempt from the requirements in this paragraph are sterile products compounded on a one-time basis for
27		administration within seventy-two (72) hours and stored in accordance with standards for "Redispensed CSPS" found in Chapter 797 of the United States Pharmacopeia - National Formulary (USP-NF) (35 <sup>th</sup>
28		Revision, Effective May 1, 2012), hereby incorporated by reference, to
		3
	1	ACCUSAT

1	an inpatient in a health care facility licensed under section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code.	
2	(7) A pharmacy assigned reference or lot number for the compounded drug product.	
3	(8) The expiration date of the final compounded drug product.	
4	(9) The quantity or amount of drug product compounded.	
5 6	(b) Pharmacies shall maintain records of the proper acquisition, storage, and destruction of chemicals, bulk drug substances, drug products, and components used in compounding.	
7	(c) Chemicals, bulk drug substances, drug products, and components used	
8	to compound drug products shall be obtained from reliable suppliers. The pharmacy shall acquire and retain any available certificates of purity or analysis	
9	for chemicals, bulk drug substances, drug products, and components used in compounding. Certificates of purity or analysis are not required for drug	
10	products that are approved by the Food and Drug Administration.	
11	(d) Pharmacies shall maintain and retain all records required by this article in the pharmacy in a readily retrievable form for at least three years from the	
12	date the record was created.	
13	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.7 states:	
14	(a) Any pharmacy engaged in compounding shall maintain written documentation sufficient to demonstrate that pharmacy personnel have the skills	
15 16	and training required to properly and accurately perform their assigned responsibilities relating to compounding.	
17	(b) The pharmacy shall develop and maintain an on-going competency evaluation process for pharmacy personnel involved in compounding, and shall maintain documentation of any and all training related to compounding	
18	undertaken by pharmacy personnel.	
19 20	(c) Pharmacy personnel assigned to compounding duties shall demonstrate knowledge about processes and procedures used in compounding prior to compounding any drug product.	
21	DRUG	
22	12. Liothyronine (T3) is a man-made form of a hormone that is normally produced by	
23	the thyroid gland to regulate the body's energy and metabolism, and is a dangerous drug within	
24	the meaning of Code section 4022. It is prescribed for thyroid replacement.	
25	COST RECOVERY	
26	13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
27	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of	
28		
	4	
	ACCUSATION	

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

BACKGROUND

14. At all times alleged herein, Respondent was the Pharmacist-In-Charge employed by Walgreens #933 Pharmacy located at 855 Colusa Highway, Yuba City, California, ("Walgreens"). As the Pharmacist-In-Charge, Respondent was responsible for Walgreens' compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.

8 15. On or about January 26, 2013, Dr. D. B. prescribed the drug "T(3) 22.5 MCG SR CAP," quantity of 60, and 30 days supply to Consumer K. S. for treating her thyroid condition. 9 The prescription was submitted to Walgreens for compounding the medication. The compounded 10 T(3) contained a previously mixed aliquot<sup>1</sup> prepared by an unknown person on an unknown date. 11 The aliquot contained up to three unknown ingredients. Respondent admittedly did not maintain 12 a worksheet verifying the person who had mixed the aliquot, its ingredients, the date prepared, 13 and other information required by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.5, 14 subdivision (a). 15

16. On or about January 26, 2013, Respondent verified and dispensed Prescription No. 16 RX4080895, the compounded T(3) drug, to Consumer K. S. The Consumer ingested the drug, 1718 per the prescription, for treatment of her thyroid condition. Within days of taking it, the Consumer reported to Dr. D. B. that she was not feeling well, and went on her scheduled vacation 19 to Texas. While on vacation, Consumer K. S. was hospitalized for five days and was diagnosed 20that she was in "thyroid storm."<sup>2</sup> She had complaints of shortness of breath, palpitations, and 21 tachycardia, and went into heart failure. The Texas hospital took the Consumer off the 22 compounded T(3) drug. Upon her return to California, the Consumer exhibited continued 23 symptoms of cardiomyopathy as a result of the high levels of the compounded T(3). 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

<sup>1</sup> An aliquot is part of a medicine or chemicals. <sup>2</sup> "Thyroid storm," also known as "thyrotoxic crisis," is an acute, life-threatening, hypermetabolic state induced by excessive release of thyroid hormones in individuals with 26 thyrotoxicosis. Symptoms include fever, tachycardia, hypertension, and neurological and GI 27 abnormalities. Hypertension may be followed by congestive heart failure that is associated with hypotension and shock. 28

1	17. On an unknown date after January 26, 2013 and after Consumer K. S. had returned
2	from Texas, Dr. D. B. obtained the Consumer's bottle of the compounded T(3) drug, took it to
3	Respondent at Walgreens, and requested an analysis be conducted on the drug. At least five
4	capsules were retained and analyzed. The analysis disclosed that the compounded T(3) capsules
5	in Prescription RX4080895 were compounded in a formulation in which each capsule contained
6	406.65mcg instead of the prescribed dose of 22.5mcg. Respondent dispensed Prescription
7	RX4080895 to Consumer K. S. without properly verifying that the compounded T(3) capsules
8	complied with Dr. D. B.'s prescription for the drug "T(3) 22.5 MCG SR CAP." Respondent's
9	failure resulted in harm to Consumer K. S. as described in paragraph 16, above.
10	18. On or about October 22, 2013, Respondent admitted to the Board's investigator that
11	he had not maintained written documentation sufficient to demonstrate that the Walgreens'
12	personnel involved in compounding the T(3) for Prescription No. RX4080895 had the skills and
13	training required to properly and accurately perform their assigned responsibilities relating to
14	compounding. He also admitted that the personnel had not been trained in compounding.
15	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Deviation from Prescription Without Consent)
17	19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (j)
18	and (o), in conjunction with Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716, in that on or about
19	January 26, 2013, Respondent deviated from the requirements of a prescription without the prior
20	consent of the prescribing physician, as set forth in paragraphs 14 through 17, above, incorporated
21	herein by reference.
22	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Failure to Comply With Compounding Requirements)
24	20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (j)
25	and (o), in conjunction with Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.5, subdivision (a), in that
26	on or about January 26, 2013, Respondent failed to maintain the required documentation for the
27	aliquot, which was compounded and contained up to three ingredients, that he used in the
28	
	6
	ACCUSATION

1	compound of the T(3) drug for Consumer K. S., as set forth in paragraph 15, above, incorporated
2	herein by reference. Specifically,
3	a. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet for the compounded aliquot
4	containing the master formula;
5	b. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet stating the date the compounded
6	aliquot was compounded;
7	c. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet stating the identity of the personnel
8	who compounded the aliquot;
9	d. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet stating the identity of the pharmacist
10	reviewing the final compounded aliquot product;
11	e. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet stating the quality of each drug
12	product in the compounded aliquot;
13	f. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet stating the pharmacy reference
14	number for the compounded aliquot;
15	g. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet stating the expiration date of the
16	final compounded aliquot; and
17	h. Respondent failed to maintain a worksheet stating the quantity or amount of the
18	compounded aliquot.
19	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Failure to Train Pharmacy Personnel in Compounding)
21	21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (j)
22	and (o), in conjunction with Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.7, subdivision (a), in that
23	he admittedly failed to comply with this regulation, as set forth in paragraph 18, above, incorpor-
24	ated herein by reference, as follows:
25	a. Respondent failed to maintain written documentation sufficient to demonstrate
26	that pharmacy personnel have the skills and training required to properly and accurately perform
27	their assigned responsibilities relating to compounding;
28	
	7
1	ACCUSATION

1	b. Respondent failed to develop and maintain an on-going competency evaluation
2	process for pharmacy personnel involved in compounding, and failed to maintain documentation
3	of any and all training related to compounding undertaken by pharmacy personnel; and
4	c. Respondent failed to ensue that pharmacy personnel assigned to compounding
5	duties could demonstrate knowledge about the processes and procedures used in compounding
6	prior to compounding any drug product.
7	DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS
8	22. Complainant requests that the following be taken into consideration when
9	determining the level of discipline in this matter:
10	a. On or about July 10, 2013, the Board issued Citation No. CI 2012 57471 against
11	Respondent alleging that Respondent, while employed as a Pharmacist at Walgreens Pharmacy
12	located in Yuba City, California, had compounding equipment and instruments that were in
13	unsanitary conditions, specifically (a) the drugs were stored on equipment in the midst of
14	extremely unclean conditions; (b) the drugs were not maintained in an orderly fashion; and, (c)
15	the unsanitary conditions were easily visible to Consumers. Respondent was charged with
16	violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivisions (b) and (c), and the
17	fine of \$500.00 was imposed. Respondent timely paid the fine.
18	PRAYER
19	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
20	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
21	1. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 56473, issued to
22	Swapil Vishwasroa Patil;
23	2. Ordering Swapil Vishwasroa Patil to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs
24	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
25	section 125.3;
26	
27	
28	///
	8

Ŀ

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 25/16 DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy-Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SA2015105023 12093054.doc ACCUSATION