1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	PHILLIP L. ARTHUR Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 238339 1300 I Street, Suite 125
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
6	Telephone: (916) 322-0032
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5479
12	HEATHER ROSE STREETMAN 13154 Luther Road
13	Auburn, California 95603 A C C U S A T I O N
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 111247
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:
18	<u>PARTIES</u>
19	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive
20	Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about March 11, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration
22	Number TCH 111247 to Heather Rose Streetman ("Respondent"). The pharmacy technician
23	registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
24	expire on August 31, 2016, unless renewed.
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under
27	the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions
28	Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.
	1

(HEATHER ROSE STREETMAN) ACCUSATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

8. Code section 4060 states in pertinent part:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A person shall not possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to Section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6...

9. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order

granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. . . .

10. Code section 4324(a) states:

Every person who signs the name of another, or of a fictitious person, or falsely makes, alters, forges, utters, publishes, passes, or attempts to pass, as genuine, any prescription for any drugs is guilty of forgery and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code, or by imprisonment in a county jail for not more than one year.

- 11. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states, "No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."
 - 12. Health and Safety Code section 11173(a), states:

No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.

COST RECOVERY

13. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 14. *Ativan*, a brand name for Lorazepam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as defined by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 15. *Norco* is a brand of hydrocodone bitartrate and acetaminophen, is designated a Schedule III controlled substance by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is designated

a Schedule II controlled substance by the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1308.12(b)(1)(vi).

16. *Xanax*, a brand name for alpraxolam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

BACKGROUND

- 17. At all relevant times to the charges brought herein, Respondent was a Pharmacy Technician employed at Walgreens Pharmacy #02170 ("Walgreens") located at 12120 New Airport Road, Auburn, California.
- 18. On or about April 23, 2014, "C.G." prescribed for Respondent #30 lorazepam 0.5 mg. with three refills before October 22, 2014. On or about July 14, 2014, Respondent altered that order by adding an electronic renewal request authorizing one refill.
- 19. On or about August 12, 2014, a new prescription for Respondent of # 30 lorazepam with no refills was generated in the Walgreens computer system from a fraudulent refill authorization added by Respondent on or about July 14, 2014. On or about August 13, 2014, Respondent altered that fraudulent prescription by adding a fraudulent authorization for three refills.
- 20. In or around September and/or October 2014, Walgreens' management discovered that the refills for Respondent's Lorazepam had not been authorized by Respondent's health care provider.
- 21. In or around October 11, 2014, Respondent had refills in Walgreens' queue for Lorazepam. Respondent deleted the orders after Walgreens management told her they would be verified.
- 22. In the course of an investigation by the Placer County Sheriff's Office into Walgreens' allegations of forgery against Respondent, Respondent stated that, in addition to forging prescriptions, she also stole from Walgreens Alprazolam, Norco, and Lorazepam for her own personal use and that she furnished her spouse with Norco that she stole.

///

23. A Walgreens' audit revealed that between on or about August 15 and October 15, 2014, Walgreens was unable to account for 100 tabs of 0.5 mg. Alprazolam, 733 tabs of Hydrocodone-acetaminophen (Norco), 72 tabs of 0.5 Lorazepam, 82 tabs of 1 mg. Lorazepam, and 49 tabs of 2 mg. Lorazepam.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301(*l*), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. Specifically, on or about May 29, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Heather Rose Streetman*, Placer County Superior Court, Case No. 62-135429, Respondent was convicted by the court on her plea of nolo contendere to violating Code section 4324(a) (forgery of a prescription for drugs), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime are that on or about April 23, 2014, Respondent altered and forged an order for Lorazepam, a dangerous drug and controlled substance.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Corruption)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 4301(f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and/or corruption. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 17 through 24, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

26. Paragraphs 17 through 24 above are fully incorporated herein. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301(j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that between in or around July and October 2014, while on duty as a registered pharmacy technician at Walgreens, Respondent violated statutes regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as follows:

///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: 2/5/16 Que nie Herold
4	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
5	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	SA2015103671
7	11926221.doc
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	· •
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	8