1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE	тнк	
0	BOARD OF PH DEPARTMENT OF CO	IARMACY	
1	STATE OF CA		
2	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5471	
3	VIET DU LE	ACCUSATION	
4	12592 Josephine Street, Unit E Garden Grove, CA 92841		
5 .	Intern Pharmacist Registration No. INT 31273		
6	Respondent.		
7			
8	Complainant alleges:		
9	PARTI	ES	
0	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official	
1	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
2	2. On April 11, 2013, the Board of Pharmacy issued Intern Pharmacist Registration		
3	Number INT 31273 to Viet Du Le (Respondent). The Intern Pharmacist Registration expired on		
24	May 31, 2015, and has not been renewed.		
25	JURISDIC	TION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before th	e Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department c	
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the follow	ring laws. All section references are to the	
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
	1		

1	4.	Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that every license issued by the					
2	Board may be suspended or revoked.						
3	5.	Section 4300.1 of the Code states:					
4		The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued					
5		license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a					
6 7	license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.						
8		STATUTORY PROVISIONS					
9	6.	Section 482 of the Code states:					
10	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:						
11		(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or					
12		(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.					
13	furnial	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation hed by the applicant or licensee.					
14	Turms	ned by the applicant of incensee.					
15	7.	Section 490 of the Code states:					
16	a licer	(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against					
17	license	a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the					
18		ications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the e was issued.					
19		(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any					
20		ity to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of thority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially					
21	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.						
22		(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict					
23		Ity or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. An action that a is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be					
24	taken	when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has					
25	the im	ffirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending position of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section					
26	1203.4 of the Penal Code.						
27 28		(d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this in has been made unclear by the holding in Petropoulos v. Department of state (2006) 142 Cal.App.4th 554, and that the holding in that case has					
		2					
		(VIET DU LE) ACCUSATION					

placed a significant number of statutes and regulations in question, resulting in potential harm to the consumers of California from licensees who have been convicted of crimes. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that this section establishes an independent basis for a board to impose discipline upon a licensee, and that the amendments to this section made by Chapter 33 of the Statutes of 2008 do not constitute a change to, but rather are declaratory of, existing law.

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 ///

(VIET DU LE) ACCUSATION

1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS				
2	9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:				
3					
4	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a				
5	personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:				
6	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).				
7	(2) Total criminal record.				
8 9	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).				
10	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.				
11	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.				
12	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:				
13	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or				
14	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.				
15					
16 17					
18	COST RECOVERY				
19	11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request				
20	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or				
21	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation				
22	and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not				
23	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs				
24	may be included in a stipulated settlement.				
25	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE				
26	(July 26, 2013 Conviction for Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol on May 11, 2013)				
27	12. Respondent has subjected his Intern Pharmacist Registration to discipline under				
28	Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that he was convicted of a crime that is				
	4				
	(VIET DU LE) ACCUSATION				

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered intern pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:

1

2

On July 26, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State a. 3 of Illinois v. Viet Du Le, in Cook County Circuit Court, First Municipal District, Traffic Division 4 Case Number 37805469. Respondent was convicted of violating Illinois Compiled Statutes 5 (ILCS), 625ILCS5 (Illinois Vehicle Code), chapter 11(Rules of the Road), article V (Driving 6 While Intoxicated, Transporting Alcoholic Liquor, and Reckless Driving), section 501 (Driving 7 while under the influence of alcohol, other drug or drugs, intoxicating compound or compounds 8 or any combination thereof), subdivision (a)(2), a person shall not drive or be in actual physical 9 control of any vehicle within this State while under the influence of alcohol (DUI)[625 ILCS 10 5/11-501(a)(2)], a class A misdemeanor. Respondent was also charged with violation of 625 11 ILCS 5/11-502-A, possession of alcoholic liquor in open container while driving a vehicle upon 12 a highway; 625 ILCS 5/11-1301, stopping, standing or parking outside of business or residence 13 district; and 625 ILCS 5/12-603.1, failure to use safety belts, all petty offenses. 14

b. As a result of the conviction, on July 26, 2013, Respondent was sentenced
to 12 months court supervision under standard, alcohol, DUI related, and special conditions.
Respondent was ordered to pay all fines, costs, fees, assessments, reimbursements, and
restitution. Respondent was also ordered to attend a Victim Impact Panel session and perform 40
hours of independent community service.

The facts that led to the conviction are that on May 11, 2013, Respondent 20 c. stopped in the center lane and fell asleep while driving a vehicle on interstate I-55 in Chicago, 21 Illinois, resulting in a crash of two vehicles. A responding officer from the Illinois State Police 22 found Respondent still in the driver's seat of one of the vehicles. The officer opened the driver's 23 door and noticed a large laceration over Respondent's left eye. As Respondent talked, the officer 24 smelled alcohol coming from Respondent's breath and observed his slurred speech and 25 bloodshot eyes. The officer saw a half-full pint bottle of Wild Turkey brand whiskey on the 26 passenger seat floorboard. Due to his injuries, Respondent was taken to the MacNeal Hospital 27 28 111

5

(VIET DU LE) ACCUSATION

where he submitted to a preliminary breath test, which indicated a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .209 percent.

3

1

2

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 21, 2014 Conviction for Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol on May 26, 2014)
13. Respondent has subjected his Intern Pharmacist Registration to discipline under
Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that he was convicted of a crime that is
substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered intern pharmacist.
The circumstances are as follows:

a. On July 21, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of Illinois v. Viet Du Le*, in Will County Circuit Court, Twelfth Judicial Circuit, Will
County Courthouse Case Number 14TR45415-6, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty
of violating 625 ILCS 5/11-501(a)(2), DUI, a class A misdemeanor. Respondent was also
charged with violation of 625 ILCS 5/11-501(a)(1), driving while having a BAC of .08 percent
or more; 625 ILCS 5/11-606, exceeding the regulation speed of 40 miles per hour; and 625 ILCS
5/11-709(a), improper lane usage.

b. As a result of the conviction, on July 21, 2014, Respondent was sentenced
to 24 months conditional discharge and ordered to pay fines, fees, and costs. Respondent was
also ordered to attend a Victim Impact Panel session, complete 25 counseling and aftercare
sessions, and perform 240 hours of community service.

The facts that led to the conviction are that on May 26, 2014, Respondent c, 20 illegally parked his car on the left shoulder on southbound lane 1 on interstate I-355 in Homer, 21 Illinois. A dispatched officer from the Illinois State Police located the vehicle parked earlier 22 being driven southbound on I-355. The officer followed the vehicle and observed it crossing the 23 left lane twice onto the left shoulder, and slowing down to 40 miles per hour. The officer 24 initiated an enforcement stop and Respondent complied. While talking to Respondent, the officer 25 noticed Respondent's slow response, slurred speech, and glassy bloodshot eyes. Respondent's 26 breath emitted a strong odor of alcohol. Respondent admitted to drinking one or two beers and 27 agreed to take a series of field sobriety tests, which he failed to perform as explained and 28

6

(VIET DU LE) ACCUSATION

ľ			
1	demonstrated. Respondent submitted to a preliminary breath test, which indicated a BAC of .166		
2	percent. Thereafter, Respondent was transported to Toll Plaza 99 squad room for processing		
3	where he provided a breath sample, which tested .157 percent BAC.		
4	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
5	(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)		
6	14. Respondent has subjected his Intern Pharmacist Registration to discipline under		
7	Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on May 11, 2013, and May 26, 2014, he used alcohol		
8	to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to himself and to the public, as		
9	described in paragraphs 12 and 13, above, which are incorporated by reference.		
10	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
11	(Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction of Alcohol Related Misdemeanors)		
12	15. Respondent has subjected his Intern Pharmacist Registration to discipline under		
13	Code section 4301, subdivision (k) in that on July 26, 2013, and July 21, 2014, he was convicted		
14	of more than one misdemeanor involving the use or consumption of alcohol, as described in		
15	paragraphs 12 and 13, above, which are incorporated by reference.		
16	PRAYER		
17	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
18	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
19	1. Revoking or suspending Intern Pharmacist Registration Number INT 31273,		
20	issued to Viet Du Le;		
21			
22	///		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	7		
	(VIET DU LE) ACCUSATION		

2. Ordering Viet Du Le to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 9/5/15 DATED: VIRGIN FROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2015801058 81104395.doc (VIET DU LE) ACCUSATION