1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	State Bar No. 164015 AMANDA DODDS		
1	Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
	Attorneys for Complainant		
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5418	
	TONY M. VAN	ACCUSATION	
	9472 Downing Circle Westminster, CA 92683		
	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 77061		
	Respor	ident.	
	Complainant alleges:		
		PARTIES	
	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
	as the Executive Officer of the Board of P	harmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
	2. On or about July 3, 2007, the I	Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
	Registration Number TCH 77061 to Tony	M. Van (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
	Registration expired on January 31, 2015,	and has not been renewed.	
	л	IRISDICTION	
	3. This Accusation is brought be	fore the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of t	he following laws. All section references are to the	
	Business and Professions Code (Code) unl	less otherwise indicated.	
		1	
		Accusation	

1	4.	Section 4300(a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be suspended or		
2	revoked."			
3	5.	Section 4300.1 of the Code states:		
4		The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by		
5				
6	actic	ive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or on or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending		
7	evoking the license.			
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS			
9	6.	Section 482 of the Code states:		
10	the r	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate ehabilitation of a person when:		
		(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or		
12		(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.		
13 14	furni	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation ished by the applicant or licensee.		
15	7.	Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or		
16	revoke a li	cense on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially		
17	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the			
18	license was issued.			
19	8.	Section 493 of the Code states:		
20		Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board		
21	license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crin substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee			
22				
23	question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fa that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the			
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
25	Tuny	tions, and duties of the licensee in question. As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and		
26	"reg	istration."		
27	111			
28	111 -			
		2		

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

25 26 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27 ///

28 ///

	·
1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
3	
4	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal
5	License on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
6	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
7	(2) Total criminal record.
8	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
9	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation,
10	restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
11	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
12	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
13	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions
14	Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences
15 16	present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
17	12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1775.1(d) states:
18	· · · · ·
19	Failure of a person or entity cited to pay a fine within 30 days of the date of
20	assessment, unless the citation is being appealed, may result in disciplinary action by the board. When a citation is not contested and a fine is not paid, the full amount of the fine
21	shall be added to the fee for renewal of the license and the license shall not be renewed without payment of the renewal fee and fine.
22	COSTS
23	13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
24	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
25	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
26	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not
27	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs
28	may be included in a stipulated settlement.
	. 4
	Accusation

DRUGS

14. Soma, sold generically as carisoprodol, is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

Diazepan, sold commercially as Valium, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as 15. designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(9), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

Suboxone, sold generically as buprenorphine, is a Schedule III controlled substance 16. as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056(e), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

Clonazepam, sold generically as klonopin, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as 17. designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(7), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

14

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 23, 2013 Criminal Conviction for DUI on January 7, 2013)

18. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

On May 23, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of* a. California v. Tony Tung Minh Van, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 13WM01414. Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a). 20 driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs, a misdemeanor. Pursuant to a plea 21 agreement, the court dismissed an additional charge of possession of a controlled substance 22 without a prescription (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4060). 23

As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted informal probation for b. 24 three years. He was ordered to complete a three-month First Offender Alcohol Program and a 25 MADD Victim Impact Panel session, perform 10 days of community service, pay fees, fines, and 26 restitution, and comply with the terms of probation, including abstention from the use of drugs 27 and submission to chemical tests upon demand by law enforcement. 28

The facts that led to the conviction are that on the evening of January 7, 2013, 1 c. the Westminster Police Department was dispatched to a collision involving Respondent. 2 Respondent told the responding officer that as he approached an intersection, he was unable to 3 stop in time and rear-ended the vehicle in front of him. The officer observed that Respondent's 4 speech was slurred, and he was unstable on his feet. Respondent denied consuming alcohol. 5 Respondent submitted a breath sample that was negative for alcohol. While searching 6 Respondent, the officer found a "vaporizer" (a smokeless smoking device) containing marijuana 7 residue in Respondent's pants pocket. Respondent admitted taking a muscle relaxant. 8 Respondent was arrested and transported for booking. As Respondent stepped out of the back of 9 the officer's vehicle at the police department, the officer saw several white pills drop to the 10 ground. With assistance from another officer, Respondent was searched again. Inside his jacket. 11 they located a total of 27 pills, which Respondent identified as Soma and diazepam, and that they 12 were legally prescribed to him. 13

14

15

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 19, 2015 Criminal Conviction for DUI on December 21, 2013)

Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301(1) 19. 16 of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, 17 duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows: 18

On March 13, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of 19 a. California v. Tony Tung Minh Van, in Orange County Superior Court, case number 14WM01238, 20 Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a), 21 driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs, a misdemeanor. He was also convicted of 22 driving when his privilege was suspended or revoked (Veh. Code, § 14601.2(a)), and driving with 23 a BAC of .01 percent or more while on probation for DUI (Veh. Code, § 23154(a)). 24

As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted summary probation for b. 25 five years, and ordered to serve 60 days in jail. He was further ordered to complete an 18-month 26 Multiple Offender Alcohol Program, pay fees, fines, and restitution, and comply with the terms of 27 probation. 28

The facts that led to the conviction are that at approximately 2:30 in the c. 1 morning, on December 21, 2013, the Westminster Police Department responded to a report of a 2 vehicle collision. The first officer to arrive saw Respondent standing on a raised cement 3 walkway in front of an office building. Respondent's vehicle had been rammed into the glass 4 front entrance doors of the building. A witness stated that he had been sitting in his vehicle a 5 short distance away when he heard a loud bump and the sound of breaking glass. When he 6 looked up, he saw that Respondent had driven into the front of the building. The witness gave the 7 8 officer the keys he had taken out the ignition of Respondent's vehicle, and identified Respondent as its sole occupant and driver. A second officer arrived on scene and conducted an interview of 9 Respondent. The officer observed that Respondent's eyes were watery, his speech was slow and 10 slurred, his voice was raspy, his mouth was dry and chapped, and he was "on the nod," street 11 slang for the alternately wakeful and drowsy states induced by opiates. In a search of 12 Respondent, the officer found two empty packets for Suboxone sublingual film strips. 13 Respondent admitted he had consumed Suboxone and Soma that evening, and that he uses the 14 Suboxone to take the edge off his opiate addiction. Respondent submitted to a series of field 15 16 sobriety tests, which had to be discontinued because Respondent was unable to stand upright without assistance. Respondent submitted a breath sample that was negative for alcohol. 17 Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of a controlled substance. During 18 booking, he provided a sample of blood that subsequently tested positive for Clonazepam and 19 buprenorphine (Suboxone). 20

21 22

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Controlled Substances)

23 20. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301(h) of the 24 Code for unprofessional conduct in that on January 7, 2013 and December 21, 2013, Respondent 25 administered to himself controlled substances in a manner that was dangerous or injurious to 26 himself, and to the public, when he operated a motor vehicle while significantly impaired and 27 caused two separate collisions, as described in paragraphs 18 and 19, above. 28 ///

23

4

5

6

7

8

1

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions Involving the Use of Controlled Substances)

21. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301(k) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that he was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the use, consumption, or self administration of dangerous drugs, as described in paragraphs 18 and 19, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Regulation Established by the Board)

9 22. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301(o) of the
10 Code, in that he failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1775.1(d),
11 which required that he pay a fine within 30 days of the date of assessment. The circumstances are
12 as follows:

23. On September 11, 2013, the Board issued Citation No. CI 2011 52513 to Respondent.
The Citation alleged that on September 26, 2011, and January 7, 2013, Respondent was under
the influence of prescribed controlled substances, a violations of Business and Professions Code
section 4301, subdivisions (o), (j) and (h), and Health and Safety Code section 11550(a). The
Citation assessed an administrative fine of \$5,000, which Respondent was required to pay on or
before October 11, 2013. Respondent did not appeal the citation, and has not paid the fine.

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

PRAYER

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 77061,
 issued to Tony M. Van;

24 2. Ordering Tony M. Van to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
25 investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
26 125.3;

27 ///

19

20

21

28 || ///

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 6/13/15 DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2015700549 71059176.doc Accusation