1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	STEPHANIE ALAMO-LATIF Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 283580 1300 I Street, Suite 125
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
6	Telephone: (916) 327-6819 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	<u></u>
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5414
12	RANJINA DEVI SINGH 1219 Malio Drive, Apartment 101
13	Clovis, California 93612 A C C U S A T I O N
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 108199
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive
20	Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2.000 On or about March 8, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration000
22	Number TCH 108199 to Ranjina Devi Singh ("Respondent"). The pharmacy technician
23	registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
24	expire on December 31, 2016, unless renewed.
25	
26	
27	///
28	
	. 1
	Accusation

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4300 states, in pertinent part:
3	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
4	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board,
5	whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
6	(1) Suspending judgment.
7	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
8	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
9	(4) Revoking his or her license.
10 11	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper
12	4. Code section 4300.1 states:
13	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license
14	by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a license shall not deprive the board of invitation to compare or present with any
15	licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
16 17	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
18	5. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:
10	
20	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is
20	not limited to, any of the following:
22	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter
23	or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal
2À	regulatory agency
25	6. Civil Code section 56.10(d) states:
26	Except to the extent expressly authorized by a patient, enrollee, or subscriber,
27	or as provided by subdivisions (b) and (c), a provider of health care, health care service plan, contractor, or corporation and its subsidiaries and affiliates shall not
28	intentionally share, sell, use for marketing, or otherwise use medical information for purpose not necessary to provide health care services to the patient.
	2

1	7. Title 42 of the United States Code, section 1320d-6 states, in pertinent part:
2	(a) Offense. A person who knowingly and in violation of this part [42 USCS §§ 1320d et seq.]—
3	(1) uses or causes to be used a unique health identifier;
4	(2) obtains individually identifiable health information relating to an
5	individual; or
6	(3) discloses individually identifiable health information to another person, shall be punished as provided in subsection (b). For purposes of the previous
7	sentence, a person (including an employee or other individual) shall be considered to have obtained or disclosed individually identifiable health information in violation of
8	this part if the information is maintained by a covered entity (as defined in the HIPAA privacy regulation described in section 1180(b)(3) [42 USCS § 1320d-
9	9(b)(3)]) and the individual obtained or disclosed such information without authorization.
10	8. Title 42 of the United States Code, section 1320d, defines, in pertinent part,
.11	as follows for purposes of 42 USCS §§ 1320d et seq.:
12	(6) Individually identifiable health information. The term "individually identifiable health information" means any information, including demographic
13	information collected from an individual, that—
14	(A) is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, employer, or
15	health care clearinghouse; and
16 17	(B) relates to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual, the provision of health care to an individual, or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual, and(i) identifies the individual; or
18 19	(ii) with respect to which there is a reasonable basis to believe that the information can be used to identify the individual.
20	COST RECOVERY
21	9. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
22	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
23	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
24	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being
25	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be
26	included in a stipulated settlement.
27	///
28	
	3
	Accusation

1	BACKGROUND
2	10. At all times relevant to the events set forth below, Respondent was employed as a
3	registered pharmacy technician at Clovis Community Hospital Pharmacy/Community Medical
4	Center ("CMC"), located at 2755 East Herndon Avenue in Clovis, California.
5	11. On or about March 1, 2013, Respondent acknowledged: ¹
6	I will access patient information only when needed in order to do my job, and
7	understand that retrieving/viewing/printing information (computerized or paper), on other patients such as friends, relatives, neighbors, celebrities, co-workers, or myself
8	is a breach of confidentiality and may subject me to immediate termination of employment or association with Community Medical Centers, as well as civil
9	sanctions and/or criminal penalties.
10	12. On or about March 11, 2013, Respondent attended CMC's orientation, which
11	included training for compliance with state and federal requirements in regards to protected health
12	information ("PHI"), including, but not limited, to, the Health Insurance Portability and
13	Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), and CMC's policies and procedures. CMC's policies and
14	procedures state, in pertinent part:
15	The paper and electronic records of CMC, which contain PHI, are created and
16	maintained for the purpose of providing patient care and for facilitating CMC business processes. \dots^2
17	
18	Protecting the privacy of PHI means that PHI is used or disclosed only for
19	authorized purposes
20	Destacted health information is only to be accorded in relationship to an
21	Protected health information is only to be accessed in relationship to an employee's or the health care provider's assigned job duties, on a business need to know have a careful and information including but not limited to your own
22	know basis. Accessing any patient information including but not limited to your own, your family members, or any other individual(s) without a business need to know,
23	without authorization, for unauthorized purposes, or not within your "scope of assigned duties" is a breach of confidentiality. Access to protected health information is based on the business need to know the information in order to perform your
24	is based on the business need to know the information in order to perform your assigned job duties. CMC may only use or disclose protected health information
25	
26	¹ Acknowledgement of Confidentiality of Information Agreement, dated March 1, 2013, and executed by Respondent. ² HIBAA Concerned Bules for the Use and Disclosure of PHU Policy & Proceedure No. 12126
27	² HIPAA General Rules for the Use and Disclosure of PHI, Policy & Procedure No. 12136, Section III.A.2, effective April 18, 2012. ³ Id. Section III.C.1
28	³ Id., Section III.C.1.
	4
	Accusation

when the patient has given authorization unless the information is used or disclosed for treatment, payment, healthcare operations or required by law.⁴

1

2 3 On or about June 3, 2013, an officer with the Clovis Police Department received 13. 4 report of a disturbance. In the course of investigation, the officer contacted Respondent, who 5 explained that she was engaged to be married to "J.G." Respondent told the officer that she saw 6 "hickies" on J.G's neck after he was with D.G., and Respondent became angry. Respondent stated that she "keyed" the word "bitch" on D.G.'s vehicle.⁵ The officer observed that the word "bitch". 7 8 as well as random lines, were scratched into the paint on D.G.'s vehicle. 9 14. CMC subsequently determined that on or about July 12, 2013, and July 14, 2013. 10 Respondent accessed the personal health information of J.G. and D.G. for a purpose not necessary to provide health care services and without a business need to know. CMC terminated 11 12 Respondent's employment on or about September 12, 2013. 13 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 14 (Violation of Laws Governing Pharmacies) 15 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301(o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about July 12, 2013, and July 14, 2013, while on 16 17 duty as a registered pharmacy technician at CMC, she violated federal and state laws, as follows: 18 Title 42 of the United States Code, section 1320d-6(a)(1): Respondent knowingly a. 19 used, or caused to be used, unique health identifiers for J.G. and/or D.G. The facts and 20 circumstances are more fully set forth above in paragraphs 10 through 14. 21 b, Title 42 of the United States Code, section 1320d-6)(a)(2):Respondent obtained 22 individually identifiable health information pertaining to J.G. and/or D.G. The facts and 23 circumstances are more fully set forth above in paragraphs 10 through 14. 24 ⁴ Confidentiality/Breach of Information, Policy & Procedure No. 10001, Section II.A., 25 effective August 17, 2010. A statement Respondent later retracted. The incident resulted in the case entitled *People v*. 26 Ranjina Devi Singh, Superior Court of the State of California, Fresno County, Case No. M14914679, wherein on or about September 22, 2014, Respondent pled nolo contendere to 27 Penal Code section 594(a)(2) (malicious damage to real or personal property), a misdemeanor. On or about January 26, 2015, the case was dismissed. 28 5

1	c. <u>Civil Code section 56.10(d)</u> : Respondent used the medical information of J.G. and/or
2	D.G. without authorization for a purpose not necessary to provide health care services to the
3	patient. The facts and circumstances are more fully set forth above in paragraphs 10 through 14.
4	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Unprofessional Conduct)
6	16. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 10 through 15 above are incorporated herein
7	by reference. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, on the
8	grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that while working as a pharmacy technician at CMC on or
9	about July 12, 2013, and July 14, 2013, Respondent accessed the personal health information of
10	J.G. and D.G. for a purpose not necessary to provide health care services and without a business
11	need to know, in disregard of HIPAA regulations, state law, and/or CMC policies.
12	PRAYER
13	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
14	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
15	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 108199,
16	issued to Ranjina Devi Singh;
17	2. Ordering Ranjina Devi Singh to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
18	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
19	125.3; and,
20	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
21	
22	DATED: 721/15 Merchand
23	Executive Qfficer Board of Pharmacy
24	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
25	Complainant
26	SA2015101818 11867892.doc
27	
28	
	6 Accusation