1	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM				
3	3 Supervising Deputy Attorney General ARMANDO ZAMBRANO				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 225325				
	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702				
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2542	,			
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant				
7		от теп			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10		1			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5262			
12	Y TROI INC. DBA ST. PAUL'S				
13	PHARMACY I; PERRY TAN NGUYEN OWNER	ACCUSATION			
	2459 Florence Avenue Huntington Park, CA 90255				
14	Original Permit No. PHY 42891,				
15	and				
16	PERRY TAN NGUYEN				
17	6621 Silent Harbor Drive				
18	Huntington Beach, CA 92648				
19	Pharmacist License No. RPH 42961				
20	Respondents.				
21					
22	Complete and all a				
ĺ	Complainant alleges:				
23	<u>PARTIES</u>				
24	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity				
25	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.				
26	2. On or about May 12, 1997, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Original Permit				
27	Number PHY 42891 to Perry Tan Nguyen to do business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I. From May 12,				
28	1997 to July 10, 2001, Perry Tan Nguyen was the individual licensed owner. On or about July 10,				
		1			

2001, the Board issued Original Permit Number PHY 42891 to Y Troi Inc. to do business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I ("Respondent Pharmacy") with Perry Tan Nguyen as the Chief Executive Officer. The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and was cancelled on November 1, 2014. Perry Tan Nguyen was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Pharmacy from May 12, 1997 until the permit was cancelled.

3. On or about August 25, 1989, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 42961 to Perry Tan Nguyen ("Respondent Nguyen"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code provides that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 6. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

7. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

• • •

"(d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code.

8

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

2728

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

• • •

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

• •

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable

federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

8. Section 4307(a) of the Code states that:

"Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:

- "(1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- "(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
 - 9. Section 4113, subdivision (c) of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy."
 - 10. Section 11153, subdivision (a) of the Health and Safety Code states:

"A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1)

an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use."

11. Health and Safety Code section 11164 states, in pertinent part:

"Except as provided in Section 11167, no person shall prescribe a controlled substance, nor shall any person fill, compound, or dispense a prescription for a controlled substance, unless it complies with the requirements of this section.

- "(a) Each prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule II, III, IV, or V, except as authorized by subdivision (b), shall be made on a controlled substance prescription form as specified in Section 11162.1 and shall meet the following requirements:
- "(1) The prescription shall be signed and dated by the prescriber in ink and shall contain the prescriber's address and telephone number; the name of the ultimate user or research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services; refill information, such as the number of refills ordered and whether the prescription is a first-time request or a refill; and the name, quantity, strength, and directions for use of the controlled substance prescribed."
 - 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, states:
- "(a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
- "(b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose."
 - 13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (e), states:

"(e) The pharmacy owner, the building owner or manager, or a family member of a pharmacist owner (but not more than one of the aforementioned) may possess a key to the pharmacy that is maintained in a tamper evident container for the purpose of 1) delivering the key to a pharmacist or 2) providing access in case of emergency. An emergency would include fire, flood or earthquake. The signature of the pharmacist-in-charge shall be present in such a way that the pharmacist may readily determine whether the key has been removed from the container."

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 15. Alprazolam, a generic name for Xanax, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.
- 16. Diazepam, a generic name for Valium, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(9) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.
- 17. Hydrocodone/acetaminophen ("hydrocodone/apap"), a generic name for Lortab, Norco, and Vicodin, amongst others, is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022. As of October 2014, hydrocodone/apap is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to United States Code, title 21, section 813.
- 18. Lorazepam, a generic name for Ativan, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(16) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.
- 19. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.

20. Promethazine with codeine, a generic name for Phenergan with codeine, is a Schedule V-controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11058, subdivision (c) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Filling Erroneous Prescriptions and Failure to Assume Co-Responsibility in Legitimacy of Prescriptions)

- 21. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Nguyen (collectively "Respondents") are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (d), and (j), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), and Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, in that in between 2011 and 2012, Respondents failed to assume their corresponding responsibility by dispensing controlled substances to habitual doctor and pharmacy shoppers, by failing to validate the legitimacy of prescriptions, by failing to review patients' drug history, and by dispensing erroneous and/or uncertain prescriptions. The circumstances, are as follows:
- 22. In October 2012, the Board received information that a federal Grand Jury had indicted Respondents on multiple charges, including structuring cash deposits. Prompted in part by this discovery, a Board Inspector began to investigate Respondent Pharmacy.
- 23. The Board Inspector requested a Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System ("CURES") report for Respondent Pharmacy from January 1, 2011 to December 5, 2012. Examination of these records revealed that Respondent Pharmacy had filled a total of 8,151 controlled substance prescriptions. Of these prescriptions, 1,524 (or 18.69%) were for oxycodone 30 mg.
- 24. The Board Inspector selected 20 patients from the CURES data to further investigate. Using CURES Patient Activity Reports ("PAR") from January 1, 2009 to June 14, 2013, the Board Inspector discovered that the same physicians or physician's assistants were consistently prescribing oxycodone 30 mg to the patients. These prescribers were: Billy Early, P.A. ("P.A. Early"), Robert Lifson, M.D. ("Dr. Lifson"), Ernest Casillas, M.D. ("Dr. Casillas"), Manuel Sison, M.D. ("Dr. Sison"), and Joseph Altamirano, M.D. ("Dr. Altamirano").

- 25. The Board Inspector determined whether or not the prescriptions Respondent Pharmacy dispensed were paid with cash, i.e., insurance was bypassed. Of the 8,151 controlled substance prescriptions, 2,759 (or 33.58%) were paid with cash. Of the 1,524 oxycodone 30 mg prescriptions, 1,521 (or 99.8%) were paid with cash. For the prescriptions written by P.A. Early, Dr. Lifson, Dr. Casillas, Dr. Sison, and Dr. Altamirano, all of the prescriptions were paid for with cash. Moreover, all 20 of the selected patients paid with cash.
- 26. The Board Inspector compared the dispensing practices of Respondent Pharmacy to four other pharmacies located less that one mile away. The CURES data showed that between January 1, 2011 and December 5, 2012, Respondent Pharmacy filled 1,524 prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg while the four neighboring pharmacies, including a Walgreens, a Rite-Aid, and two independent pharmacies, filled a total of 13 prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg during that same time period.
- 27. The Board Inspector reviewed data regarding the distance between the 20 patients' residences and the prescribers' offices and the distance between the patients' residences and Respondent Pharmacy. The data showed that the average combined distance between a patient's home to a prescriber's office, the distance between a prescriber's office to Respondent Pharmacy, and the distance from Respondent Pharmacy to a patient's home was 63 miles. The shortest distance was 15 miles and the longest distance was 106 miles. Due to the proliferation of pharmacies in the Southern California area, the common trading area is considered to be 5 miles. The data further showed that none of the 20 patients resided in Huntington Park where Respondent Pharmacy was located.
- 28. On or about February 7, 2013, the Board Inspector conducted an inspection of Respondent Pharmacy. When the Board Inspector arrived at approximately 10:00 a.m., there was no licensed pharmacist on the premises. A pharmacy technician had opened the doors to the pharmacy. When the Board Inspector inquired about the pharmacist's whereabouts, the Inspector was told that the pharmacist had gone to the bank.
- 29. As part of the February 7, 2013 inspection, the Board Inspector asked Respondent Nguyen to complete pharmacy patient questionnaires for the 20 selected patients in order to

determine how well Respondent Nguyen knew the patients. Respondent Nguyen indicated that 19 of the 20 patients had some type of back pain but Respondent Pharmacy did not keep any notes on the patients' drug therapy.

- 30. A review of the CURES PAR data, the completed pharmacy patient questionnaires, and other data revealed the following information about the 20 selected patients that the Board Inspector investigated:
- a. Patient K.B.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone and diazepam written by P.A. Early filled at Respondent Pharmacy, K.B. received prescriptions for hydrocodone/apap and diazepam simultaneously from two other doctors and had those prescriptions filled at two different pharmacies. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when K.B. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that K.B. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.
- b. Patient A.B.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg and alprazolam written by Dr. Casillas and Dr. Sison filled at Respondent Pharmacy, A.B. went to 4 different prescribers in Lynwood, Los Angeles, Panorama City, and West Covina and 5 different pharmacies in Los Angeles, Alhambra, Inglewood, Rancho Cucamonga, and Panorama City. While going to Respondent Pharmacy, A.B. continued to have prescriptions filled at multiple pharmacies and continued to see multiple prescribers.
- c. Patient D.C.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Lifson and Dr. Sison filled at Respondent Pharmacy, D.C. went to 5 prescribers in Downey, Monterey Park, Los Angeles, and Garden Grove and 8 pharmacies in Los Angeles, Alhambra, Hollywood, and Garden Grove to get prescriptions for hydrocodone/apap and alprazolam. While going to Respondent Pharmacy, D.C. continued to go to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies. On August 5, 2011, D.C. had a prescription for oxycodone 30 mg and Phenergan with codeine prescribed by Dr. Lifson. D.C. had the prescription for Phenergan with codeine dispensed at Kim Pharmacy, which is 55 miles from Dr. Lifson's office and had the oxycodone 30 mg dispensed at Respondent Pharmacy which was 27 miles from Kim Pharmacy.

Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK." However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that D.C. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.

- d. Patient F.C.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Paul Sucgang, D.O. ("Dr. Sucgang") filled at Respondent Pharmacy, F.C. went to 3 prescribers and 7 pharmacies in Los Angeles, Compton, Long Beach, Lynwood, and South Gate to get prescriptions for controlled substances. While going to Respondent Pharmacy, F.C. continued to go to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK." However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that F.C. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.
- e. <u>Patient D.D.</u>: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Sison, Dr. Casillas, and P.A. Early filled at Respondent Pharmacy, D.D. only went to one prescriber and one pharmacy and received prescriptions for lorazepam. He had no history of pain. Respondent Pharmacy should have questioned starting pain management with oxycodone 30 mg. While going to Respondent Pharmacy, D.D. saw 3 different prescribers and received prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg, a powerful pain medication.
- f. Patient M.E.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by P.A. Early filled at Respondent Pharmacy, M.E. went to 9 prescribers in Los Angeles, Northridge, Stockton, Panorama City, and Pasadena and 9 pharmacies in Riverside, Ontario, Santa Monica, Rancho Cucamonga, Gardena, Rancho Palos Verdes, Beverly Hills, and Los Angeles. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when M.E. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that M.E. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.
- g. Patient B.F.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Sison and Dr. Casillas filled at Respondent Pharmacy, M.E. went to multiple prescribers in Downey, Fountain Valley, Huntington Beach, and Los Angeles, and multiple

pharmacies in Los Angles, Hollywood, Hawthorne, Inglewood, and Alhambra.

- h. Patient A.H.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg and hydrocodone/apap 10-325 mg written by P.A. Early filled at Respondent Pharmacy, A.H. went to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies to get prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when A.H. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that A.H. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.
- i. Patient G.J.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by P.A. Early filled at Respondent Pharmacy, G.J. went to 22 prescribers in multiple cities and went to 21 pharmacies in multiple cities to obtain hydrocodone/apap and other controlled substance prescriptions. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when G.J. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that G.J. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.
- j. Patient Y.K.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg and promethazine with codeine written by Dr. Sucgang filled at Respondent Pharmacy, Y.K. had controlled substance prescriptions dispensed at three pharmacies. Y.K. received 4 drugs on a monthly basis from Dr. Sucgang: oxycodone 30 mg, promethazine with codeine, hydrocodone/apap 7.5-750 mg, and alprazolam. Y.K. only had the oxycodone 30 mg and promethazine with codeine prescriptions filled at Respondent Pharmacy and had the hydrocodone/apap 7.5-750 mg and alprazolam prescriptions filled at another pharmacy. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed promethazine with codeine, a cough syrup, to Y.K. eleven times.
- k. Patient F.L.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Casillas filled at Respondent Pharmacy, F.L. went to 10 different prescribers in Gardena, Huntington Park, Stockton, Northridge, Los Angeles, and Pamorama City and 14 different pharmacies in Huntington Beach, Fullerton, Norwalk, Los Angeles, Lakewood, Reseda, Ontario, Rancho Palos Verdes, Gardena, Oceanside, Santa Ana, and Van Nuys. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when F.L. first went to Respondent

Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that F.L. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.

- 1. Patient D.M.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Casillas filled at Respondent Pharmacy, D.M. went to 8 different prescribers in Los Angeles, Stockton, Santa Monica, and Northridge and 10 different pharmacies in San Marino, Long Beach, Northridge, Anaheim, Reseda, Ontario, Gardena, Oceanside, and Van Nuys. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when D.M. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that D.M. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.
- m. Patient E.M.: The PAR shows that E.M. had no pain history prior to October 21, 2011 when he first started receiving oxycodone 30 mg from Dr. Casillas. The PAR also shows that E.M. received no other pain medication beside oxycodone 30 mg. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when E.M. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have questioned the initial pain management therapy of oxycodone 30 mg.
- n. Patient E.P.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Casillas filled at Respondent Pharmacy, E.P. went to 5 prescribers for controlled substance prescriptions and 5 different pharmacies. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when E.P. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that E.P. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies. On April 4, 2012, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed oxycodone 30 mg prescribed by Dr. Casillas. However on March 21, 2012, another pharmacy had dispensed hydrocodone/apap 10-325 mg prescribed by another physician. If Respondent Pharmacy had consulted CURES PAR, it would have noticed the therapy duplication and multiple prescribers.
- o. Patient V.R.: The PAR shows that V.R. had no pain history prior to December 19, 2011 when she first started receiving oxycodone 30 mg from Dr. Casillas. The PAR also shows that V.R. received no other pain medication beside oxycodone 30 mg. Respondent Pharmacy

7 8

10 11

9

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22. 23

24

25 26

27

28

wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when V.R. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have questioned the initial pain management therapy of oxycodone 30 mg.

- Patient M.R.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 p. mg written by Dr. Sison, P.A. Early, and Sharmez Savoy, P.A. filled at Respondent Pharmacy, M.R. went to 6 different prescribers in Stockton, Los Angeles, Santa Monica, Northridge, and Panorama City and 6 different pharmacies in Encino, Whittier, Hawthorne, Bakersfield, Rancho Palos Verdes, Mission Viejo, and Encino. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when M.R. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have noticed that M.R. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies. Additionally, M.R. was a diabetic but did not receive any diabetic medication.
- q. Patient P.R.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Casillas filled at Respondent Pharmacy, P.R. had one prescription for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Sison filled at a pharmacy in San Luis Obispo. Dr. Sison's office was in Panorama City and P.R. lived in Los Angeles. Prior to March 29, 2012 when she received oxycodone 30 mg from Dr. Sison., P.R. had no pain history. The PAR also shows that V.R. received no other pain medication beside oxycodone 30 mg. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a prescription "CURES OK" when P.R. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have questioned the initial pain management therapy of oxycodone 30 mg.
- Patient W.R.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 r. mg written by Dr. Casillas filled at Respondent Pharmacy, W.R. went to 3 different doctors and 3 different pharmacies. The PAR also shows that W.R. had one prescription for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Sison filled at a pharmacy in San Luis Obispo. Dr. Sison's office was in Panorama City and W.R. lived in Los Angeles. Prior to February 14, 2012 when he received oxycodone 30 mg from Dr. Sison., W.R. had no pain history. The PAR also shows that W.R. received no other pain medication beside oxycodone 30 mg. Respondent Pharmacy wrote on the face of a

prescription "CURES OK" when W.R. first went to Respondent Pharmacy. However if Respondent Pharmacy had looked closely at CURES PAR, it would have questioned the initial pain management therapy of oxycodone 30 mg and it would have noticed that W.R. had gone to multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies.

- s. Patient E.W.: The PAR shows that prior to having prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Casillas filled at Respondent Pharmacy, E.W. went to a doctor in Stockton but had the prescriptions filled in Santa Ana and Murrieta. He also had a prescription for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Sison filled Duarte.
- t. Patient M.T.: Respondent Pharmacy's internal patient profile revealed that

 Respondent Pharmacy filled prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg written by Dr. Sucgang 7 times

 and filled prescriptions for promethazine with codeine written by Dr. Sucgang 10 times.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dispensing without Proper Prescription)

- 31. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Nguyen (collectively "Respondents") are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11164, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondents filled prescriptions for controlled substances that were not dated in the prescriber's handwriting. The circumstances are as follows:
- (a) On or about January 20, 2012, Respondents filled RX #1538834 oxycodone 30 mg for Patient D.M. prescribed by Dr. Casillas. The date written on the prescription was not in Dr. Casillas's handwriting.
- (b) On or about February 8, 2012, Respondents filled RX #1543932 oxycodone 30 mg for Patient F.L. prescribed by Dr. Casillas. The date written on the prescription was not in Dr. Casillas's handwriting.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Operational Standards and Security Violation)

32. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Nguyen are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations,

title 16, section 1714, subdivision (e), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that during a routine inspection of Respondent Pharmacy on February 7, 2013, a pharmacy technician possessed a key to the pharmacy that was not in a tamper evident container. The pharmacy technician had opened the door to the pharmacy, which was open to the public while the pharmacist was not present. Moreover, the pharmacist was not on a break or at lunch. Instead, he had gone to the bank.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest Acts)

- 33. Respondent Nguyen is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct for committing dishonest acts in that Respondent Nguyen structured,¹ assisted in structuring, and/or caused to be structured financial transactions with Bank of America, a domestic financial institution, for the purpose of evading the reporting requirements of United States Code, title 31, section 5313, subdivision (a), as part of a pattern of illegal activity involving more than \$100,000 in a 12 month period. The facts and circumstances are as follows:
- (a) On or about January 28, 2009, Respondent Nguyen made or caused to be made a \$10,000 cash deposit into Bank of America Account ending 21213 ("Account 1") and a \$10,000 cash deposit into Bank of America Account ending 41025 (Account 2").
- (b) On or about June 2, 2009, Respondent Nguyen made or caused to be made a \$10,000 cash deposit into Bank of America Account 1 and a \$9,000 cash deposit into Bank of America Account 2.
- (c) On or about June 3, 2009, Respondent Nguyen made or caused to be made a \$9,000 cash deposit and a \$10,000 cash deposit into Bank of America Account 1.

¹ Federal law requires domestic financial institutions to report transactions involving currency (i.e., cash) of more than \$10,000. A person structures a transaction if that person conducts one or more currency transactions in any amount, at one or more financial institutions, on one or more days, for the purpose of evading the reporting requirements.

- (d) On or about July 28, 2009, Respondent Nguyen made or caused by made a \$10,000 cash deposit, another \$10,000 cash deposit, and a \$4,550 cash deposit into Bank of America Account 1.
- (e) On or about June 19, 2009, Respondent Nguyen made or caused to be made a \$9,000 cash deposit and a \$10,000 cash deposit into Bank of America Account 1.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure To Ensure Pharmacy Complies With Laws and Regulations Pertaining To The Practice Of Pharmacy)

34. Respondent Nguyen is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4113, subdivision (c) in that while working as the pharmacist-in-charge of St. Paul's Pharmacy I, he failed to ensure the pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy as set forth above in paragraphs 21-33 and incorporated herein by this reference.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

35. Respondent Nguyen's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (I), in that Respondent Nguyen has been convicted of crimes substantially relating to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacist in that on or about May 18, 2015 in the criminal matter entitled *United States of America v. Mike Mikaelian, et al* (U.S. District - California Central, (Western Division - Los Angeles), No. CR 1100922, Respondent was convicted of a felony count of violating 31 U.S.C. § 5324(a)(3), (d)(2); (Structuring Financial Transactions; Aiding and Abetting and Causing An Act To Be Done). The court granted a 36-month probation period, including six (6) months in prison and twelve (12) months home detention. Respondent was also required to pay a fine in the sum of \$15,000 and special assessment of \$500. The circumstances are described in paragraph 32 above and incorporated herein by this reference.

OTHER MATTERS

34. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number

PHY 42891 issued to Y Troi Inc. doing business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 42891 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 42891 is reinstated if it is revoked.

35. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit
Number PHY 42891 issued to Y Troi Inc. doing business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I while Perry
Tan Nguyen have been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in
any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Perry Tan Nguyen shall be prohibited from
serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a
licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 42891 is placed on probation or until
Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 42891 is reinstated if it is revoked.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 42891, issued to Y Troi Inc. doing business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I with Perry Tan Nguyen as Chief Executive Officer;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 42961, issued to Perry Tan Nguyen;
- 3. Prohibiting Y Troi Inc. doing business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 42891 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 42891 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number 42891 issued to Y Troi Inc. doing business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I is revoked;
- 4. Prohibiting Perry Tan Nguyen from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 42891 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 428914 is reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number 42891 issued to Y Troi Inc. doing business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I is revoked;

	11			
1	4. Ordering Y Troi Inc. doing business as St. Paul's Pharmacy I and Perry Tan Nguyen t			i to
2	pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case.			
3	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and			
4	5.	Taking such other a	and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
5		7/11/16		
6	DATED: _	+/11/16	Oligina Herd	
7			VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
8			Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
9			Complainant	
10	LA20145126			
11	51650272.doc	J.	·	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19	·			
20				
21 22				
23				
23 24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			18	
- 11			A V	