1	I	1	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	120000000000000000000000000000000000000		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5162	
13	MICHELLE CARRILLO 82431 Deborah Drive	ACCUSATION	
14	Indio, CA 92201		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 102602		
16	Respondent.		
17	24 Mills (2007) = 2 m -		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about June 17, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
24	Registration Number TCH 102602 to Michelle Carrillo (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and		
26	will expire on May 31, 2014, unless renewed.		
27			
28		1	
- 1		1	

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
  - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order

28

to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

## 9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . . .

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . .

- (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.
- (q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the board.

. . . .

TWV1000348, Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 or more, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted, and the court found true the special allegation that she had been previously convicted of the same offense on March 25, 2008, as described in paragraph 21, below. A second count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of alcohol/drugs, was dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement.

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about April 13, 2010, Respondent was ordered to serve 67 days in the county jail, with credit for two days, and was granted 36 months summary probation and ordered to pay fees and fines, and comply with DUI probation terms. Due to a BAC of .20 percent or higher, Respondent was ordered to complete a nine-month alcohol program.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (January 27, 2014 Criminal Conviction for DUI on June 14, 2013)

- 14. Respondent has subjected her registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 27, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Michelle Carrillo*, in San Bernardino County Superior Court, case number TSB1301841, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 or more, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted, and the court found true the special allegation that she had been previously convicted of the same offense on March 25, 2008, as described in paragraph 20, below, and on April 13, 2010, as described in paragraph 13, above. A second count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) was dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about January 27, 2014, Respondent was ordered to serve 120 days in the county jail, with credit for twenty days, and granted 36 months summary probation on the condition she comply with DUI probation terms.

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that shortly after two o'clock in the morning, on or about June 14, 2013, officers with the California Highway Patrol (CHP) observed a vehicle driven by Respondent weaving in its lane. After conducting a traffic stop, the officers made contact with Respondent. The officers immediately noted the odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from inside the vehicle. Respondent was described as having red, watery eyes, an unsteady gait, and slow, slurred speech. After two field sobriety tests, Respondent refused to participate further. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. During booking, Respondent provided two breath samples which were analyzed with a BAC of .19 and .21 percent, respectively.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

15. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about December 28, 2009, and June 14, 2013, Respondent operated a motor vehicle while substantially impaired by alcoholic beverages, as described in paragraphs 13 and 14, above.

## **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Multiple Alcohol-Related Convictions)

16. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about April 13, 2010 and January 27, 2014, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), (driving with a BAC of .08 percent or more), as described in paragraphs 13 and 14, above.

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Conduct That Would Have Warranted Denial of a Registration & Subversion or Attempted Subversion of an Investigation by the Board)

17. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (p) and (q) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about April 13, 2010, the Board received Respondent's application for licensure that was signed and dated by

Respondent on January 12, 2010. In a letter that accompanied her application, Respondent declared the three convictions described in paragraph 18, below. On the day the Board received her application, Respondent was convicted of driving under the influence, as described in paragraph 13, above. Respondent did not reveal the fact that she had a criminal matter pending in San Bernardino County Superior Court for a DUI arrest that occurred prior to the date she signed her application. In that she was convicted of a second DUI in a two-year period, said conduct would have warranted the denial of her registration as a pharmacy technician, and was an attempt to subvert an investigation by the Board into Respondent's conviction history.

## DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 18. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges:
- 19. On or about September 8, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Michelle Carrillo*, in San Bernardino County Superior Court, case number MSB095699, Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 242, battery, a misdemeanor. Respondent was granted conditional release for 36 months, and ordered to complete an Anger Management Diversion Progarm, and to stay away from the victim.
- 20. On or about January 4, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Michelle Carrillo*, in San Bernardino County Superior Court, case number MWV109230, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f), public intoxication, a misdemeanor. Respondent was granted 12 months conditional release, ordered to serve one day in jail, and pay fees and fines.
- 21. On or about March 25, 2008, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Michelle Carrillo*, in San Bernardino County Superior Court, case number TWV800615, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), a misdemeanor. Respondent was granted 36 months conditional release, and ordered to serve 21 days in jail. Respondent was further ordered to complete a nine-month First Offender Alcohol Program, and pay fees and fines. As a result of the DUI conviction on

1	April 13, 2010, described in paragraph 13, above, Respondent's probation was revoked and	
2	reinstated.	
3	PRAYER	
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
5	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
6	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 102602,	
7	issued to Michelle Carrillo;	
8	2. Ordering Michelle Carrillo to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the	
9	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
10	125.3;	
11	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
12		
13	DATED: 6/14/14 ( ) mains Decde	
14	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
15	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
16	State of California  Complainant	
17	Compiumum	
18	SD2014706960	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		