- 1		•		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California			
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General			
3	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100			
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	·		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	•		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE			
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
11	STATE OF CALIFO	IRNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5074		
13	SHERRY ANN RECKLAU	ACCUSATION		
14	12088 Short Street Lakeside, CA 92040			
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 121743			
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	Complainant alleges:			
19	PARTIES			
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this	Accusation solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
22	2. On October 3, 2012, the Board of Pharma	cy issued Pharmacy Technician		
23	Registration Number TCH 121743 to Sherry Ann Recklau (Respondent). The Pharmacy			
24	Technician Registration expired on February 28, 2014, a	nd has not been renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION	V		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Box	ard of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the			
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.			
	1			

///

- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be

•
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.

///

a. On June 25, 2008, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the</i>
State of California vs. Sherry Ann Recklau, in San Diego County Superior Court, East County
Regional Center, East County Division Case Number C280615, Respondent was convicted on
her plea of guilty to violating VC section 23152 subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol
concentration (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted and the
court found true the allegation that Respondent's BAC was .15 percent or more, a sentencing
enhancement pursuant to VC section 23578. A misdemeanor charge for violation of VC section
23152, subdivision (a), DUI, with a similar enhancement was dismissed pursuant to a plea
bargain.

- b. As a result of the conviction, on June 25, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 180 days custody, which was suspended, with credit for one day served, and granted five years summary probation subject to standard alcohol conditions. Respondent was ordered to serve 44 days under the public service program, with five days required to be rendered and the remaining 39 days may be served in lieu of fine. Respondent was also ordered to pay restitution, fines, and fees, and attend and satisfactorily complete a first conviction DUI program for three months and a Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) Victim's Impact Panel.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on May 10, 2008, Respondent was arrested for DUI and driving with a BAC of 0.08 percent or more.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dec. 9, 2008 Conviction for Driving with a BAC of .08 Percent or More on Oct. 25, 2008)

- 14. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On December 9, 2008, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Sherry Ann Recklau*, in San Diego County Superior Court, East County Regional Center, East County Division Case Number C285761, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating VC section 23152 subdivision (b), driving with a BAC of 0.08

- b. As a result of the conviction, on December 9, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 30 days custody, which was suspended, with credit for one day served, and granted five years summary probation subject to standard alcohol conditions. Respondent was ordered to serve five days under the public service program and pay restitution, fines, and fees. Respondent was also ordered to attend and satisfactorily complete a multiple conviction DUI program and a MADD Victim's Impact Panel.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on October 25, 2008,
 Respondent went to a party and had a few drinks. Due to a disturbance at the party, Respondent drove away with two intoxicated passengers. A deputy from the San Diego County Sheriff's Department recognized Respondent's vehicle relative to his investigation of the party disturbance and initiated an enforcement stop at Woodhaven Vista Avenue in Santee, California. As the deputy approached Respondent's vehicle, he immediately smelled alcohol coming from inside Respondent's vehicle and noticed Respondent's red, droopy, and watery eyes.

 Respondent's speech was thick and slow. Respondent admitted to having consumed vodka cranberry juice 45 minutes before the enforcement stop. Respondent failed the series of field sobriety tests. During the preliminary alcohol screen, Respondent provided breath samples, which upon testing indicated a BAC of .096 and .10 percent. Respondent was transported to the Las Colinas Detention Facility, where she consented to two blood draws, which upon testing both indicated a BAC of .09 percent.

///

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Knowingly Signing a Document That Falsely Represents the Nonexistence of Facts)

Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (g). The circumstances are that on January 20, 2012, in her Applicant Affidavit, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information she provided on her Pharmacy Technician Application was true and correct. On the same form that Respondent signed, she was provided a detailed explanation on reporting license discipline and convictions. Respondent answered "Yes" in response to the question, "Have you ever been convicted of any crime in any state, the USA and its territories, military court or foreign country?" Respondent disclosed only her criminal conviction in Case Number C285761, as detailed in paragraph 14, above. Respondent did not disclose her criminal conviction in Case Number C280615, as detailed in paragraph 13, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

16. Respondent subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on May 10, 2008 and October 25, 2008, she used alcohol to an extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to herself and to the public, as detailed in paragraphs 13 and 14, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of More Than One Alcohol Related Misdemeanor)

17. Respondent subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (k) in that on June 25, 2008 and December 9, 2008, she was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the use or consumption of alcohol, as detailed in paragraphs 13 and 14, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dangerous Use of Drugs)

18. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that she used controlled substances and dangerous drugs to

the extent and in a manner that was injurious to herself and to the public. The circumstances are that:

- a. On September 2, 2013, Respondent was driving her vehicle in the vicinity of Broadway Avenue and First Street in El Cajon, California. An officer from the El Cajon Police Department noticed that Respondent's vehicle's break lights were not maintained in good working condition. Upon contact, Respondent admitted that her driver's license was suspended for a prior conviction for driving under the influence (DUI). Respondent's passengers were three probationers and her unrestrained two-year old son. During the search that followed, the officer found a glass pipe with white residue and burn marks and a plastic baggie containing a white crystalline substance, with a net weight of 7.71 grams, that tested presumptive positive for methamphetamines. Respondent admitted to knowing about the presence of the methamphetamine pipe and methamphetamine in her car. Respondent also admitted to using methamphetamine in her home. Respondent further explained that she agreed to drive the three probationers in her car and rent a room at a hotel in her name in exchange for methamphetamine. Respondent was thereafter transported then booked into the Las Colinas Detention Facility.
- b. On November 6, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Sherry Ann Recklau*, in San Diego County Superior Court, East County Regional Center, East County Division Case Number C333678, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 415, subdivision (2), disturbing another person by loud and unreasonable noise, and Vehicle Code (VC) sections 27360, subdivision (a), failure as a parent, legal guardian, and driver to secure a child under eight years of age in a rear seat in a child passenger restraint system, and 12500, subdivision (a), driving without a license, infractions. Misdemeanor charges for violation of Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 11364.1, subdivision (a), possession of paraphernalia used for narcotics, and VC sections 14601.1, subdivision (a), 14601.2, subdivision (a), and 14601.5, subdivision (a), driving when privilege suspended, with an allegation that pursuant to VC section 14601.2, subdivision (b), within five years of violating VC sections 14601.1 (a), 14601.2 (a), and 14601.5 (a), on November 20, 2009, mentioned below, she committed a separate violation of VC section

1	2.	Ordering Sherry Ann Recklau to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs		
2	of the investig	gation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code		
3	section 125.3	5.3; and		
4	3.	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
5				
6				
7				
8	DATED:	7/11/14 Jugine Led		
9		VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy		
10 11		Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
12		Complainant		
13	SD2014706606			
14	70840736.doc	•		
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	:			
25				
26				
27				
28				