1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JONATHAN D. COOPER
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141461
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1404 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5022
12	SUZANNE M. DRISCOLL 921 E. Blithedale C.
13	Mill Valley, CA 94941 A C C U S A T I O N
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 26598
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about July 31, 1998, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
22	Registration Number TCH 26598 to Suzanne M. Driscoll (Respondent). The Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
24	herein and will expire on May 31, 2014, unless renewed.
25	JURISDICTION
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
	1 Accusation
ł	ł

4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both
 the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances
 Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].

5. Section 4300 of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be
suspended or revoked.

6

6. Section **4300.1** of the Code states:

(a) If a pharmacist possesses a license or is otherwise authorized to practice pharmacy in
any other state or by an agency of the federal government, and that license or authority is
suspended or revoked, the pharmacist's license shall be suspended automatically for the duration
of the suspension or revocation, unless terminated or rescinded as provided in subdivision (c).
The board shall notify the pharmacist of the license suspension and of his or her right to have the
issue of penalty heard as provided in this section.

(b) Upon its own motion or for good cause shown, the board may decline to impose or may
set aside the suspension when it appears to be in the interest of justice to do so, with due regard to
maintaining the integrity of and confidence in the pharmacy profession.

(c) The issue of penalty shall be heard by an administrative law judge sitting alone, by a 16 17 committee of the board sitting with an administrative law judge, or by the board sitting with an administrative law judge, at the board's discretion. A pharmacist may request a hearing on the 18 penalty and that hearing shall be held within 90 days from the date of the request. If the order 19 suspending or revoking the pharmacist's license or authority to practice pharmacy is overturned 20on appeal, any discipline ordered pursuant to this section shall automatically cease. Upon the 21 showing to the administrative law judge, board, or committee of the board by the pharmacist that 22 the out-of-state action is not a basis for discipline in California, the suspension shall be rescinded. 23

If an accusation for permanent discipline is not filed within 90 days of the suspension
imposed pursuant to this section, the suspension shall automatically terminate.

(d) The record of the proceedings that resulted in the suspension or revocation of the
pharmacist's license or authority to practice pharmacy, including a transcript of the testimony
therein, may be received in evidence.

2

Accusation

1	(e) If a summary suspension has been issued pursuant to this section, the pharmacist may
2	request that the hearing on the penalty conducted pursuant to subdivision (c) be held at the same
.3	time as a hearing on the accusation.
4	STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS
5	7. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action
6	against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but
7	not be limited to, any of the following:
8	
9	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
10	drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
11	oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
12	to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
13	practice authorized by the license.
14	
15	8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
16	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
17	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
18	crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
19	licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
20	licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
21	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
22	COST RECOVERY
23	9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the
24	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
25	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
26	enforcement of the case.
27	///
28	///
	<u> </u>

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

(Dangerous Use of Drugs and/or Alcohol)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subsection (h),
in that she administered to herself, or used, controlled substances and/or alcoholic beverages to
the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself, to a person holding a license
under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, and/or to the extent that the use
impaired the her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by her license,
as follows:

9 11. On or about June 3, 2013, in Petaluma, California, police officers went to
10 Respondent's home in response to a 911 call. Respondent's spouse/cohabitant had made the 911
11 call to report an argument or altercation between himself and Respondent. He reported that
12 Respondent was intoxicated by alcohol and prescription medications. The officers observed
13 objective indications that Respondent was intoxicated by alcohol and/or drugs, and noted that
14 Respondent had a difficult time answering simple questions. A chemical test of Respondent's
15 breath revealed a breath alcohol level of .218%.

On or about August 2, 2013, in Petaluma, California, police officers went to 12. 16 Respondent's home in response to a report of a verbal disturbance. The officers observed 17 Respondent in the office of her apartment building manager. Respondent was engaged in a loud 18 verbal argument with the apartment building manager. The officers observed objective 19 indications that Respondent was intoxicated by alcohol and/or drugs. Respondent's 20spouse/cohabitant informed the officers that Respondent had been drinking alcohol and had also 21 ingested prescription medications. The officers arrested Respondent for violation of Penal Code 22 section 647(f) (public intoxication). A subsequent test of Respondent's breath revealed a breath 23 alcohol level of .16%. 24

13. On or about August 31, 2013, in Petaluma, California, police officers went to
Respondent's home in response to a report of a domestic dispute. The officers observed objective
indications that Respondent was intoxicated by alcohol and/or drugs. Respondent's
spouse/cohabitant informed the officers that Respondent was intoxicated from drinking alcohol

Accusation

1	and taking "pills," and that Respondent had been threatening to him and to the couple's children.
2	The officers arrested Respondent for having committed battery on Respondent's
3	spouse/cohabitant.
4	<u>PRAYER</u>
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
6	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
7	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 26598,
8	issued to Suzanne M. Driscoll;
9	2. Ordering Suzanne M. Driscoll to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
10	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
11	125.3;
12	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
13	DATED: 4/8/14 ligina tridd
14	VIRGIN/AHEROLD Executive Officer
15	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
16	State of California Complainant
17	Comptimient
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 25	
26 27	
27	
28	
	5 Accusation