1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2455 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804								
7	Attorneys for Complainant								
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4989							
12	NATHASJA HEIDI SANTOS	ACCUSATION							
13	329 S. San Gabriel Blvd Pasadena, CA 91107								
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64134								
15									
16	Respondent.								
17									
18	Complainant alleges:								
19	<u>PAR'</u>	TIES							
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity							
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.								
22	2. On or about August 10, 2005, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration								
23	No. TCH 64134 to Nathasja Heidi Santos (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration								
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. On February 17,								
25	2015, the Board automatically suspended Technician Registration No. TCH 64134, until at least								
26	July 25, 2017, pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 4311, subdivision (a),								
27	following Respondent's incarceration after conviction of several felonies, on or about July 25,								
28	2014, as set forth more fully below in paragraph 11, subdivisions (a) through (c).								
	1								

 JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 5. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Boards is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 6. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

-///

9

12

13

14

151617

19 20

18

21 22

2324

25 26

27

28

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

•••

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United

States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . .

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE / DANGEROUS DRUG

10. "Methamphetamine," is a schedule II controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (c)(14), and is categorized a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

///

28 | ///

ampiations of Substantially Dalate

was arrested.

///

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (I), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially
- related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about October 22, 2014, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 459 [second degree: commercial burglary], one felony count of violating Penal Code section 530.5(a) [identity theft], and one felony count of violating Penal Code section 484e(d) [theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Nathasja Heidi Santos* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. KA104379.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 8 months in Los Angeles County Jail for the Penal Code 459 conviction, and an additional 2 years for the remaining convictions, jail time to run concurrent with her 3 year term in criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Nathasja Heidi Santos* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. NA097841). The circumstances surrounding the convictions are that on or about October 23, 2013, Respondent used a credit card not belonging to her to check into Pacific Palms Hotel in the City of Industry. Respondent was in possession of several credit cards not belonging to her when she
- b. On or about July 25, 2014, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 459 [second degree: commercial burglary] and four felony counts of violating Penal Code section 475, subdivision (a) [forgery] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Nathasja Heidi Santos* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. NA097841.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 3 years in Los Angeles County Jail and denied probation. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 12, 2013, Respondent used an identification card and a credit card not belonging to her to check into the Westin Hotel in Long Beach, CA.

1		
2		,
3		1
4		1
5		,
6		(
7		į
8		1
9		j
10]
11		١
12]
13		(
14]
15		1
16		,
17		,
18		1
19]
20		1
21]
22)
23		5
24		(
25		8
26		ł
	ш	

On or about September 18, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was c. convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (a) [identity theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Nathasja Heidi Santos (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2013, No. GA090399.) On or about July 25, 2014, Respondent was remanded to custody and was sentenced to serve 3 years in Los Angeles County Jail. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about May 31, 2013, during an investigation by the Pasadena Police Department of criminal threats against Respondent by her boyfriend, J.C., an officer attempted to reach Respondent to warn her but was unable to contact her. The officer received information that J.C. could possibly be staying at the Budget Inn located at 15412 Francisquito Ave, La Puente, CA. The officers became aware that the only person registered at the hotel was not a regular customer and used the name Nicole Lopez. However, Respondent's car was listed under the registration card for Nicole Lopez. As the officers were heading to Respondent's room, Respondent was seen crossing the street. Respondent told officers that J.C. was in her hotel room, room 120. When the officers entered the room they found, in plain sight, a photocopier machine, several checks belonging to Andrew Tran, a check book belonging to Feliz Chavez, a blue paper with personal profiles on it, and a white card reader. There was also a large Methamphetamine smoking pipe, with white residue and burn marks on it, on the table. The officers also found a small ziploc bag containing Methamphetamine inside a white Chanel gift bag. When asked if she had anything illegal in her possession, Respondent became nervous and avoided the question. During a search of Respondent's purse, one of the officers found an ID in the name of Nicole Lopez. The ID had Respondent's picture but false information. The officer also found a counterfeit \$100.00 bill, a stolen checkbook, a Visa card in the name of Nicole Lopez and two additional credit cards. A camcorder containing a video of Respondent and her boyfriend smoking methamphetamine was also found on the table in the room. Additional identity theft profiles were found in a cargo bag belonging to Respondent and additional items of stolen mail belonging to different people were found in Respondent's vehicle.

27

1 4

21	
22	

1//

///

///

///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption with the intent to substantially benefit herself, or substantially injure another, as follows:
- a. On or about November 12, 2013 and May 31, 2013 Respondent was in possession of false documents. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (b) and (c), inclusive, as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about September 15, 2013, the Anaheim Police Department responded to a call of suspicious circumstances at the Marriott Hotel located at 700 W. Convention Way, Anaheim, California. When they arrived at the location the security officer directed them to a group of four subjects sitting in the lobby that refused to pay a \$500.00 bill. After the security officers had gone to their room they tried to pay the balance with several credit cards. During a search of the room the police officers found a wallet with a California identification that belonged to Respondent. A second California identification was found in her wallet that appeared to be counterfeit with Respondent's picture. Officers found additional fraudulent credit cards and checks in the room with Respondent's information. Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Penal Code section 530.5 [identity theft]. Subsequently, on or about September 18, 2013, criminal charges were filed in the matter entitled *The People of the State of California v. Nathasja Heidi Santos* (Super. Ct. Orange County, 2013, No. 13NF3209.) On or about July 16, 2014, Respondent failed to appear at the North Justice Center for a preliminary hearing. The Court issued a bench warrant in the amount of \$50,000.

17

20 21

2223

24

25

26

27

On or about April 12, 2013, the Los Angeles Police Department received a radio call c. of a citizen following a possible kidnap suspect. When the officers located the vehicle, they conducted a traffic stop. Respondent was the driver of the vehicle. When asked, if someone had tried to kidnap her or if she got into a physical altercation with someone, she replied, "no." Respondent indicated that the reporting party was her mother because she had not come home in a couple of days and believed that her boyfriend was forcing her into using narcotics. During a consensual search of her vehicle, the officer found a long clear plastic pipe containing an off white crystalline substance resembling Methamphetamine residue. Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11364 [possession of paraphernalia]. Officers also recovered a red box containing various credit cards, counterfeit bills and ID's from a plastic bag behind the passenger seat. A black laptop bag containing various credit cards, checks, opened mail from different addresses, a credit card reader, a laptop, and a credit card embossing machine in a white luggage bag were recovered form the trunk. Respondent was arrested on additional charges of violating Penal Code section 496, subdivision (a) [receiving stolen property. When asked why there was a picture of her on a California identification with a different name, Respondent stated that her ex-boyfriend made the identification a while ago to book hotels without using her real name.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Possession of Drug Paraphernalia)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about April 12, 2013, Respondent was in possession of a glass pipe. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (c), as though set forth fully.

|||| ||||

///

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct / Violation of Licensing Chapter)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent committed acts of unprofessional conduct and / or violated provisions of the licensing chapter. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11-13, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64134, issued to Nathasja Heidi Santos;
- 2. Ordering Nathasja Heidi Santos to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and

^	00 1 '	•	. 1	1	C 41	, •				. 1		
4	Laking	cuch	Other (ดทศ	nimher	2011011	90	deemed	nececcary	าดเกล	nrai	ner
3.	Takille	JUUII	Ouioi i	ai u	iui uivi	actions	as	UVVIIIVU	necessary	and	PLVI	vu.

ATED: 2/18/15 Juginia VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

51595861_4.doc Mc cf

LA2014511258