| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF | ORE THE F PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA |
|--|---|--|
| 10 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 4984 |
| 11 | SAYYED DHIAADDIN SAADI, AKA | |
| 12 | DHYAA ALDEEN M. AL-SAADI 21 Recodo Irvine, CA 92620-1869 | ACCUSATION |
| 14 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 53497 | |
| 15 | Respondent | |
| 16 | • | |
| 10 | | |
| 17 | Complainant alleges: | |
| | <u> </u> | RTIES |
| 17 | <u>PA</u> | RTIES ags this Accusation solely in her official capacity |
| 17 18 | <u>PA</u> | ngs this Accusation solely in her official capacity |
| 17 18 19 | PA 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm | ngs this Accusation solely in her official capacity |
| 17 18 19 20 | PA 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about April 20, 2002, the Bo | ngs this Accusation solely in her official capacity acy, Department of Consumer Affairs. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | PA 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about April 20, 2002, the Bo | acy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License li, aka Dhyaa Aldeen M. Al-Saadi (Respondent). |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | PA 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about April 20, 2002, the Bo Number RPH 53497 to Sayyed Dhiaaddin Saac | acy, Department of Consumer Affairs, and of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License li, aka Dhyaa Aldeen M. Al-Saadi (Respondent). |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about April 20, 2002, the Bo Number RPH 53497 to Sayyed Dhiaaddin Saac The Pharmacist License was in full force and e herein and will expire on July 31, 2015, unless | acy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License li, aka Dhyaa Aldeen M. Al-Saadi (Respondent). affect at all times relevant to the charges brought |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about April 20, 2002, the Bo Number RPH 53497 to Sayyed Dhiaaddin Saac The Pharmacist License was in full force and e herein and will expire on July 31, 2015, unless JURIS | acy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License li, aka Dhyaa Aldeen M. Al-Saadi (Respondent). ffect at all times relevant to the charges brought renewed. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brin as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about April 20, 2002, the Bo Number RPH 53497 to Sayyed Dhiaaddin Saac The Pharmacist License was in full force and e herein and will expire on July 31, 2015, unless JURIS | acy, Department of Consumer Affairs. ard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License li, aka Dhyaa Aldeen M. Al-Saadi (Respondent). Affect at all times relevant to the charges brought renewed. DICTION he Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of |

9. Section 4101 of the Code states, in pertinent part:(a) A pharmacist may take charge of and acts as the pharmacist-in-charge of a pharmacy upon application by the pharmacy and approval by the board. Any

10. Section 4105 of the Code states, in relevant part, as follows:

board in writing within 30 days of the date of that change in status.

(a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form.

pharmacist-in-charge who ceases to act as the pharmacist-in-charge shall notify the

11. Section 4113 of the Code states, in pertinent part, as follows:

- (d) Every pharmacy shall notify the board in writing, on a form designed by the board, within 30 days of the date when a pharmacist-in-charge ceases to act as the pharmacist-in-charge, and shall on the same form propose another pharmacist to take over as the pharmacist-in-charge. The proposed replacement pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board . . .
- (e) If a pharmacy is unable, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, to identify within 30 days a permanent replacement pharmacist-in-charge to propose to the board on the notification form, the pharmacy may instead provide on that form the name of any pharmacist who is an employee, officer, or administrator of the pharmacy or the entity that owns the pharmacy and who is actively involved in the management of the pharmacy on a daily basis, to act as the interim pharmacistin-charge for a period not to exceed 120 days. The pharmacy, or the entity that owns the pharmacy, shall be prepared during normal business hours to provide a representative of the board with the name of the interim pharmacist-in-charge with documentation of the active involvement of the interim pharmacist-in-charge in the daily management of the pharmacy, and with documentation of the pharmacy's good faith efforts prior to naming the interim pharmacist-in-charge to obtain a permanent pharmacist-in-charge. By no later than 120 days following the identification of the interim pharmacist-in-charge, the pharmacy shall propose to the board the name of a pharmacist to serve as the permanent pharmacist-in-charge. The proposed permanent pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board. If disapproved, the pharmacy shall propose another replacement within 15 days of the date of disapproval, and shall continue to name proposed replacements until a pharmacist-in-charge is approved by the board.

12. Section 4305 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

- (a) Failure by any pharmacist to notify the board in writing that he or she has ceased to act as the pharmacist-in-charge of a pharmacy, or by any pharmacy to notify the board in writing that a pharmacist-in-charge is no longer acting in that capacity, within the 30-day period specified in Sections 4101 and 4113 shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.
- (b) Operation of a pharmacy for more than 30 days without supervision or management by a pharmacist-in-charge shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.

3

 $/\!/\!/$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(c) Any person who has obtained a license to conduct pharmacy, who willfully fails to timely notify the board that the pharmacist-in-charge of the pharmacy has ceased to act in that capacity, and who continues to permit the compounding or dispensing of prescriptions, or the furnishing of drugs or poisons, in his or her pharmacy, except by a pharmacist subject to the supervision and management of a responsible pharmacist-in-charge, shall be subject to summary suspension or revocation of his or her license to conduct a pharmacy.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1708.2, "Discontinuance of Business" states, in pertinent part:

Any permit holder shall contact the board prior to transferring or selling any dangerous drugs, devices or hypodermics inventory as a result of termination of business or bankruptcy proceedings and shall follow official instructions given by the board applicable to the transaction.

14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1709, "Names of Owners and Pharmacist in Charge" provides that:

- (a) Each permit to operate a pharmacy shall show the name and address of the pharmacy, the form of ownership (individual, partnership or corporation) and the pharmacist-in-charge. Each pharmacy shall, in its initial application on the annual renewal form, report the name of the pharmacist-in-charge, the names of all owners and the names of the corporate officers (if a corporation). Any changes in the pharmacist-in-charge, or the owners, or corporate officers shall be reported to the Board within 30 days.
- (b) Any transfer, in a single transaction or in a series of transactions, of 10 percent or more of the beneficial interest in a business entity licensed by the board to a person or entity who did not hold a beneficial interest at the time the original permit was issued, shall require written notification to the board within 30 days.
- (c) The following shall constitute a transfer of permit and require application for a change of ownership: any transfer of a beneficial interest in a business entity licensed by the board, in a single transaction or in a series of transactions, to any person or entity, which transfer results in the transferee's holding 50% or more of the beneficial interest in that license.

COST RECOVERY

15. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 16. Respondent was the Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) and Owner of Dean Healthcare, Inc. doing business as Value Plus Pharmacy (Value Plus and/or the pharmacy), License No. PHY 46801, which license expired on September 1, 2006. Respondent contends that the other corporate owners of Value Plus were M.K. "Kathum" Tajsar and J. "Jehan" Sedghian. Value Plus was/is a retail pharmacy located inside of a grocery store, at 4700 Cherry Avenue, Long Beach, CA 90807.
- 17. Escrow documents show that Respondent sold Value Plus to Steven Arthur Boyer, RPH License No. 29367, on or about August 25, 2005. Respondent failed to file a discontinuance or disassociation of business as PIC and owner of Value Plus, after the sale of Value Plus on August 25, 2005. According to the Board's records, no pending change of ownership of the sale of Value Plus to Boyer was ever sent to the Board. The buyer of Value Plus, Boyer, also did not notify the Board of the change in ownership of Value Plus.
- 18. On or about June 3, 2013, Respondent indicated to the Board's inspector that he returned all of the pharmacy's medications to the wholesaler, whom he believes was HD Smith, and sold Value Plus with its computer equipment only. Escrow check No. 43540 dated August 25, 2005 shows the owners of Value Plus (Respondent, Tajsar and Sedghian) as the sellers of the business and corporate stock to the buyer, Steve Arthur Boyer. The "Closing Statement" indicates a closing date of August 25, 2005 for the sale of Value Plus, and was signed by Respondent, Sedghian, Tajsar and Boyer. Respondent contends that he sent the Board a letter of disassociation as the PIC after he sold the pharmacy.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure To Notify Board of Pharmacy Ownership Change)

19. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 4300 and 4301, subdivisions (j) and/or (o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent failed to notify the Board of the change in the PIC, owners and corporate owners of Value Plus, and the transfer of 10% or more of the beneficial interest in Value Plus, within 30 days, in violation of Sections 4101, 4305, subdivisions (a) and (b), and California Code of Regulation, title 16, section

| 2 |
|----|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |

1709, as set forth in Paragraphs 16 through 18 above, which are incorporated fully herein by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Contact Board Prior to Transferring or Selling Dangerous Drugs)

20. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 4300 and 4301, subdivisions (j) and/or (o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent violated California Code of Regulation, title 16, section 1708.2, by failing to notify the Board prior to the transfer and/or sale of Value Plus's dangerous drugs, devices and/or hypodermics inventory, as a result of discontinuing business after selling Value Plus on or about August 25, 2005, as alleged in Paragraphs 16 through 19 above, which are incorporated fully herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 53497, issued to Sayyed Dhiaaddin Saadi, aka Dhyaa Aldeen M. Al-Saadi;
- 2. Ordering Sayyed Dhiaaddin Saadi to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 1/17/15

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2013510622 51482719.doc

|| "