1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LANGSTON M. EDWARDS		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237926		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 620-6343 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4913		
12	PHARMACY CENTRAL; MIKE AVEDISSIAN (President and		
13	Pharmacist-in-Charge) ZHOZEF GADIMYAN (Secretary)		
14	3009 S. Vermont Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90007		
15	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47521		
16	MIKE AVEDISSIAN 2223 Scott Road		
17	Burbank, CA 91504		
18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 43996		
19	Respondent.		
20			
21	Complainant alleges:		
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
24	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
25	2. On or about August 15, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy		
26	Permit Number PHY 47521 to Pharmacy Central with Mike Avedissian as the President and		
27	Pharmacist in Charge and Zhozef Gadimyan as the Secretary ("Respondent Pharmacy"). The		
28	Pharmacy Permit expired on August 1, 2012, and has not been renewed. The board received		
	1		

notification on July 12, 2013 that Respondent Pharmacy discontinued business effective June 29, 2012. Records were transferred to The Pharmacy Depot and the inventory was transferred to Remedy Pharmacy.

3. On or about February 27, 1991, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 43996 to Mike Avedissian ("Respondent Avedissian"). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part that every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
 - 6. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

...

(d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a)

- (i) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a ," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on
 - Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), states:

"A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use."

REGULATORY PROVISION

- 10. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, states:
- "(a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
- (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG CLASSIFICATIONS

- 12. Norco, an acetaminophen (apap) and hydrocodone combination narcotic, is a controlled substance as defined under Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 13. Xanax, the brand name for alprazolam, is a controlled substance as defined under Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

-//

BOARD INVESTIGATION

- 14. On or about February 6, 2013, pursuant to a request from the Drug Enforcement Administration, Board inspectors began an investigation of Respondent Pharmacy. Respondent Pharmacy was closed and Board inspectors traveled to T.P.D., where Respondent Pharmacy's records were kept. Investigators were told by personnel from T.P.D. that they would need permission from Respondent Avedissian before records could be released.
- 15. A review of the Controlled Substance Utilization Review (CURES) data between January 1, 2010, and November 20, 2012, for Respondent Pharmacy revealed the following:
- a. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 26,813 controlled substance prescriptions. In comparison, neighboring pharmacy Vi.P. dispensed 2,130 controlled substance prescriptions, neighboring pharmacy Ve.P. dispensed 7,600 controlled substance prescriptions and a neighboring CVS Pharmacy dispensed 7,178 controlled substance prescriptions.
- b. Dr. T.R. wrote 9,088 prescriptions that were dispensed at Respondent Pharmacy, accounting for 33.89% of Respondent Pharmacy's total controlled substance prescriptions. Dr. T.R. wrote one (1) prescription that was dispensed at Vi.P. and no prescriptions that were dispensed at either Ve.P. or the neighboring CVS Pharmacy.
- c. Dr. D.G. wrote 638 prescriptions that were dispensed at Respondent Pharmacy, accounting for 2.38% of Respondent Pharmacy's total controlled substance prescriptions. Dr. D.G. wrote no prescriptions that were dispensed at either Vi.P., Ve.P., or the neighboring CVS Pharmacy. Dr. D.G.'s address of record with the California Medical Board is in Ponte Vedra Beach Florida, approximately 2,437 miles away from Respondent Pharmacy. No other Pharmacy in California dispensed a controlled substance written by Dr. D.G. during this time period.
- d. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 14,264 prescriptions of apap/hydrocodone bitartrate 325 mg-10mg tablets, accounting for 53.46% of Respondent Pharmacy's total controlled substance prescriptions. That same controlled substance made up 3.66% of Vi.P.'s total prescriptions, 0.28% of Ve.P.'s total prescriptions and 3.47% of the neighboring CVS Pharmacy's total prescriptions.

- e. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 4,477 prescriptions of apap/hydrocodone bitartrate 500 mg-10mg tablets, accounting for 16.78% of Respondent Pharmacy's total controlled substance prescriptions. That same controlled substance was not dispensed at any of the neighboring pharmacies.
- f. Respondent Pharmacy dispensed 3,665 prescriptions of apap/hydrocodone bitartrate 650 mg-10mg tablets, accounting for 13.74% of Respondent Pharmacy's total controlled substance prescriptions. That same controlled substance made up 0.14% of Vi.P.'s total prescriptions, 0.79% of Ve.P.'s total prescriptions and 0.07% of the neighboring CVS Pharmacy's total prescriptions.
- 16. A review of the Patient Activity Reports ("PARS") data between January 1, 2010, and November 20, 2012, for Respondent Pharmacy revealed the following:
- a. Patient C.W. Between March 2, 2010, and July 13, 2011, Respondents dispensed 300 tablets of apap/ hydrocodone bitartrate 10/325, 100 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/500, 700 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/650, and 100 tablets of Alprazolam 2 mg to Patient C.W. All of these controlled substances were prescribed by Dr. T.R. and the Alprazolam was dispensed on July 13, 2011, which is after Dr. T.R. surrendered his DEA registration on July 8, 2011.
- b. <u>Patient L.W.</u> On or about February 29, 2012, Respondents dispensed 100 tablets of Alprazolam 2 mg to Patient L.W. The prescription was written by Dr. D.G. at the highest dose of Alprazolam, a lower dose of the controlled substance was not prescribed and no follow-up was performed.
- c. <u>Patient R.S.</u> On or about February 26, 2012, Respondents dispensed 100 tablets of Alprazolam 2 mg to Patient R.S. The prescription was written by Dr. D.G. at the highest dose of Alprazolam. The pharmacist failed to inquire regarding the high dose, failed to obtain patient history regarding the medication and failed to follow up with the patient's physician.
- d. <u>Patient R.N.</u> Between May 17, 2010, and June 14, 2011, Respondents dispensed 700 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/500, 300 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 10/650 and 300 tablets of Alpazolam 2 mg to Patient R.N. All of these controlled substances were prescribed by Dr. T.R.

- Patient S.K. On or about February 28, 2012, Respondents dispensed 100 tablets of Alprazolam 2 mg to Patient S.K. The prescription was written by Dr. D.G. at the highest dose of Alprazolam, a lower dose of the controlled substance was not prescribed and no follow-up was required.
- f. Patient K.J. Between February 5, 2010, and June 15, 2011, Respondents dispensed 600 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/325, 400 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/500, and 100 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 7.5/750 to Patient K.J. All of these controlled substances were prescribed by Dr. T.R. Patient K.J. then had no dispensing of controlled substances for approximately five months for before seeing Dr. O. and Dr. A. between November 7, 2011, and July 17, 2012; however, Patient K.J. did not receive a prescription for pain medications from those doctors.
- g. Patient H.D. Between May 3, 2010, and July 13, 2011, Respondents dispensed 100 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/325, 100 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/500, 600 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/650 and 100 tablets of Alpazolam 2 mg to Patient H.D. All of these controlled substances were prescribed by Dr. T.R. and the Alprazolam was dispensed on July 13, 2011, which is after Dr. T.R. surrendered his DEA registration on July 8, 2011.
- h. Patient D.D. On or about February 29, 2012, Respondents dispensed 100 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/325 to Patient D.D. The prescription was written by Dr. D.G. for only this one occasion.
- i. Patient A.C. Between April 15, 2010, and June 16, 2011, Respondents dispensed 800 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/325, 100 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/500, and 100 tablets of Alpazolam 2 mg to Patient A.C. All of these controlled substances were prescribed by Dr. T.R.

1	
2	
3	
4	
,5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14 15	
16	
17 18	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

j. Patient M.A. On or about February 29, 2012, Respondents dispensed 100 tablets of apap / hydrocodone bitartrate 10/325 to Patient M.A. The prescription was written by Dr. D.G. for only this one occasion.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Assume Corresponding Responsibility)

17. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Avedissian (collectively, "Respondents") are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (d) and (j), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), and section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1761, in that between January 1, 2010, and November 20, 2012, Respondents failed to comply with their corresponding responsibility by failing to validate the legitimacy of prescriptions and/or reviewing the patients' drug therapy, by dispensing prescriptions without regard to objective factors¹, by dispensing irregular/uncertain prescriptions, and/or by excessively furnishing controlled substances. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 14 through 16, and all subparagraphs inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, 18. Complainant alleges the following:

Prior Discipline Against Respondent Avedissian

On or about March 14, 1997, the Board of Pharmacy brought a disciplinary action a. against Respondent Avedissian entitled In the Matter of the Accusation Against Mike Avedissian and ABM Pharmacy, Board case number 1836. On or about February 7, 1998, Respondent Avedissian's license was suspended for fourteen (14) days and placed on probation for three (3)

26 27

28

23

24

25

Objective factors include, but are not limited to checking the prescription drug monitoring program, verifying physician's DEA license, verifying the status of physician's medical license, determining the geographic distance between physician and patient, verifying patient identification, etc.

years for violating Business and Professions Code sections 4350.5, 4351 and 4080 by improperly storing controlled substances and billing Medi-Cal for prescriptions that were never dispensed. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

Prior Citations Against Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Avedissian

- b. On or about January 19, 2011, in Citation Number CI 2007 34672, the Board issued a fine in the amount of \$5,000.00 to Respondent Pharmacy for violating section 4081 by filling erroneous or uncertain prescriptions and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), by dispensing prescriptions that contained errors, omissions, irregularities, uncertainties, ambiguities, or alterations. The fine has been paid.
- c. On or about January 19, 2011, in Citation Number CI 2009 43944, the Board issued a fine in the amount of \$5,000.00 to Respondent Avedissian for violating section 4081 by filling erroneous or uncertain prescriptions and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), by dispensing prescriptions that contained errors, omissions, irregularities, uncertainties, ambiguities, or alterations. The fine has been paid.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 47521, issued to Pharmacy Central with Mike Avedissian as the President and Pharmacist in Charge and Zhozef Gadimyan as the Secretary;
- 2. Ordering Pharmacy Central to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 43996, issued to Mike Avedissian;

1	4. Ordering Mike Avedissian to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the		
2	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section		
3	125.3; and		
4	5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
5	1/17/15		
6	DATED: //7/15 /we will de virginja HEROLD		
7	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy		
8	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
9	Complainant . LA2013510120		
10	51498839.doc		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
26			
20 27			
28			