1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 101336 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	· · · ·	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
. 11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4758	
12 13	LYDIA DELIA REYES 6846 Farrier Avenue Riverside, CA 92507	ACCUSATION	
13	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72680		
	Respondent.		
15		•	
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	PARTIES		
18	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20	2. On October 27, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
21	Registration Number TCH 72680 to Lydia Delia Reyes (Respondent). Respondent has also been		
22	known as Lydia Delia Carillo Reyes. The Pharmacy Teo	chnician Registration was in full force	
23	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought he	rein and will expire on April 30, 2014,	
24	unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTIO	N	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Bo	oard of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following		
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise		

1	4.	Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that every license issued by the
2	Board may	be suspended or revoked.
3	5.	Section 4300.1 of the Code states:
4		The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued
5 6	the lice	inse by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a inse by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or ceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the
7		ensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
8		STATUTORY PROVISIONS
9	6.	Section 482 of the Code states:
10	eva	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to luate the rehabilitation of a person when:
11		(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
12		(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
13 14	fur	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation nished by the applicant or licensee.
15	7.	Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or
16	revoke a li	cense on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially
17	related to t	he qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the
18	license wa	s issued.
19	8.	Section 493 of the Code states:
20	الي.	Notwithstanding any other provision of law successful completion of any
21	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section	
22	232	249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit
23	cod	y agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this de, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary
24		tion against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, twithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record
25	per	rtaining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug version program operated by any agency established under Division 2
26	(cc	ommencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in
27	tha	at division.
28	111	
		2 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . . .

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

111

. . . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

3

CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758

1	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).		
2	(2) Total criminal record.		
3	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).		
4	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.		
5	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.		
6			
7	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:		
8	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the		
9	Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially		
10	related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a		
11	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.		
12			
13	COST RECOVERY		
14	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request		
15	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or		
16	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation		
17	and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not		
18	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs		
19	may be included in a stipulated settlement.		
20	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
21	(November 16, 2010 Criminal Conviction for DUI on January 12, 2010)		
22	13. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under		
23	Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1), in that she was convicted of a crime that is		
24	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy		
25	technician. The circumstances are as follows:		
26	a. On November 16, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the		
27	State of California v. Lydia Delia Carillo Reyes, in the Riverside County Superior Court,		
28	Moreno Valley Courthouse, case number RIM10009271, Respondent was convicted on her plea		
	4 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758		

of guilty to violating VC 23152, subdivisions (a), DUI and (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, misdemeanors.

1

2

9

10

b. As a result of the convictions, on November 16, 2010, Respondent was
granted 36 months summary probation and sentenced to be committed to the custody of the
Riverside County sheriff for 10 days, with nine days to be served under the work release
program. Respondent was also ordered to pay fines and penalty assessments, and attend and
satisfactorily complete a first offender alcohol and drug education and counseling program for
four months.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

11 14. Respondent subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
12 Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on January 12, 2010, detailed in paragraph 13, above,
13 and February 4, 2013, she used alcohol to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and
14 injurious to herself and to the public. The circumstances are as follows:

On February 4, 2013, Respondent drove at a speed of 83 miles per hour 15 a. 16 along interstate highway 5 while passing Valencia Boulevard in Santa Clarita, California. Officers of the Newhall Area Office of the California Highway Patrol Southern Division who 17 clocked Respondent's speed initiated an enforcement stop. The officers immediately observed 18 19 Respondent's red and watery eyes and detected alcohol from Respondent's breath and from inside her car. Three empty beer bottles were found in the rear seat of Respondent's vehicle but 20 she denied having anything to drink. Respondent failed the field sobriety tests, but refused to 21 submit to the preliminary alcohol screening. Respondent was thereafter transported to the Santa 22 Clarita Valley Sherrif's office to be booked. Respondent would not submit to a breath test or a 23 blood test in violation of VC section 23612, within the meaning of VC sections 23577, 23578, 24 and 23538, subdivision (b)(2). 25

b. On February 22, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Lydia Delia Reyes*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, North Valley
District, Santa Clarita Courthouse, Case Number 3NW00456, Respondent was charged with

5

CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758

	1	violation of Vehicle Code (VC) sections 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence	
	2	(DUI) of alcohol, and 14601.2, driving when privilege was suspended for a prior DUI conviction	
	3	misdemeanors. Respondent was also alleged to have a prior conviction within ten years of the	
	4	commission of the offense on November 16, 2010, for violation of VC section 23152, subdivision	
	5	(b) on January 12, 2010, in the Municipal Court of the Riverside Judicial District in case number	
	6	100092, a sentencing enhancement pursuant to VC sections 23626 and 23540. On March 28,	
	7	2013, Respondent failed to appear for arraignment, without sufficient excuse and when not	
	8		
	9	Respondent's arrest.	
	10	PRAYER	
	11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein	
	12	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
	13	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 72680,	
	14	issued to Lydia Delia Reyes also known as Lydia Delia Carillo Reyes;	
15 2. Orde		2. Ordering Lydia Delia Reyes to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of	
•	16	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code	
	17	section 125.3;	
	18	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22	DATED: 11/4/13 (heina bud	
	23	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
	24	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
	25	SD2012705510 SD2012705510	
	26	SD2013705519 70763702.doc	
	27		
	28		
		6 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758	