1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	KENT D. HARRIS
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4697
13	KEELY MARIE NEEDHAM 18008 Clouds Rest Rd.
14	Soulsbyville, CA 95372 A C C U S A T I O N
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 83252
16	Respondent.
17	
18	
19	Complainant alleges:
20	PARTIES
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
23	2. On or about May 1, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
24	Registration Number 83252 to Keely Marie Needham (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
25	Registration expired on October 31, 2011, and has not been renewed.
26	
27	
28	
	1

Accusation

### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. . . . "
  - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 475 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:

"...

- "(2) Conviction of a crime.
- "(3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a). . . ."

/// ///

///

. 

7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

## 8. Section 492 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

9. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self use in humans or animals. . . . "

10. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy,

pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer. . . ."

11. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(a) Gross immorality.

". , .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"...

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

". . .

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"· · · ·

- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
  - (p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license. . . ."

### REGULATION

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

1·1

23<sub>.</sub>

///

### COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct—Conviction of a Crime Substantially Related to Qualifications, Functions, and Duties of Licensee)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 475, 490, and 4301(l) of the Code in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime that is substantially related to her qualifications, functions, and duties as a pharmacy technician. The facts and circumstances of this conviction are as follows:
- 15. On or about May 27, 2011, in *People v. Keely Marie Needham*, Superior Court of California, County of Fresno, case no. F11902809, Respondent pled nolo contendere to a misdemeanor charge of second degree commercial burglary (a violation of Penal Code sections 459 and 560). The facts and circumstances of this conviction are as follows:
- a. On April 28, 2011, a Clovis Police Department officer received a report from a Target Loss Prevention Officer that Respondent and her fiancé were stealing merchandise from the store. Once the officer arrived at the store, he arrested Respondent and her fiancé as they exited the store. The officer recovered merchandise valued at approximately \$445.35 from Respondent. Respondent stated to the officer that while in the store, she selected items to steal, placed them in her purse, and then went into the women's restroom where she used her fiancé's pocket knife to cut open packages and remove security tags. After removing all of the security tags, Respondent concealed the items in her purse, and she and her fiancé exited the store. Respondent admitted that she and her fiancé intended to sell the stolen merchandise, and that she and her fiancé were willing participants in the crime.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct—Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) of the Code in that on April 28, 2011, Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, as set forth in more particularity in paragraph 15(a).

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct—Possession of a Controlled Substance)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4060 and 4301(j) of the Code in that on April 19, 2011, Respondent possessed a controlled substance (heroin) in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 11364. The facts and circumstances are as follows:
- 18. On or about May 6, 2011, in *People v. Keely Marie Needham*, Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne, case no. CRF35238, Respondent pled guilty to: (1) a felony charge of possession of a controlled substance (a violation of California Health and Safety Code section 11350(a)); (2) a misdemeanor charge of possession of a smoking device (a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11364); and (3) a misdemeanor charge of being under the influence of a controlled substance (a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a)). The court granted a deferred entry of judgment, and the case was dismissed upon completion of the deferred entry of judgment on December 17, 2012. The facts and circumstances are as follows:
- a. On April 19, 2011, two Tuolumne County Sherriff deputies conducted a traffic stop of a vehicle in which Respondent was a passenger. While interacting with the driver and Respondent, one of the deputies believed both were under the influence of a controlled substance based upon their slow speech and movements, and constricted pupils. After the driver gave the deputy permission to search the vehicle, the deputy discovered two pieces of foil with burn marks and residue on the passenger side floor board, and once piece of foil inside Respondent's purse. The deputy also discovered a plastic bag with what appeared to be a piece of tar heroin on it next to a toot straw with brown residue under the passenger front seat. One of the deputies placed the driver, Respondent, and the other two passengers in handcuffs. After the deputy placed