1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF O	RE THE PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4608		
12	RICARDO ORNELAS			
13	a.k.a., RICARDO ORNELAS BARAJAS 2907 Illinois Avenue  A C C U S A T I O N			
14	South Gate, CA 90280			
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 108852			
16	Respondent.			
17	Complainant alleges:			
18	<u>PARTIES</u>			
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
21	2. On or about July 13, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy			
22	Technician Registration No. TCH 108852 to Ricardo Ornelas, also known as Ricardo Ornelas			
23	Barajas (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all			
24	times relevant to the charges brought herein, which expired on May 31, 2013, and has not been			
25	renewed.			
26	JURISDICTION			
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following			
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.			
	1			
		Accusation		

# STATUTORY PROVISIONS

2

3

4.

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
  - 5. Section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

Section 490 states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nursemidwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either Section 4052.1 or 4052.2. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nursemidwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer."

6. Section 4300 states, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

#### 7. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. . . A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision.

. . . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

# **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

#### COST RECOVERY

- 10. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
  - 11. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE</u>

"Methamphetamine," is a Schedule II controlled substance, as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is categorized as a dangerous drug, pursuant to section 4022.

24

25

26

27

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that, Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about June 21, 2010, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 594, subdivision (a) [vandalism under \$400 damage/destroy] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ricardo Ornelas Barajas* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2010, No. 0WW00396). The Court placed Respondent on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 31, 2009, Respondent unlawfully and maliciously damaged and destroyed real and personal property; to wit: a 2001 Mitsubishi belonging to another person.
- b. On or about October 27, 2011, pursuant to a plea agreement, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance Methamphetamine] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ricardo Ornelas (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1DY05582).* The Court deferred pronouncement of sentence for 18 months pending Respondent's completion of a drug diversion program. On or about July 9, 2012, Respondent failed to appear for progress report. The Court revoked probation, terminated deferred entry of judgment, and reinstated criminal proceedings. The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 8 days in Los Angles County Jail and placed him on 36 months probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 25, 2011, during a traffic enforcement stop by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, Respondent, a passenger in the vehicle of another was contacted. A records check of Respondent revealed that he had an outstanding warrant, in the amount of \$30,000.00 out of Whittier Superior Court (Warrant #WHOWW0039601). Respondent was subsequently arrested

for the warrant. During the booking procedure, a search of Respondent's wallet revealed a small plastic baggie from one of the credit card slots of his wallet that contained a white crystalline substance resembling Methamphetamine. Respondent stated, "That's my meth." In addition, Respondent admitted that he has a drug problem. Respondent was subsequently charged with violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance – Methamphetamine].

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on or about October 31, 2009, Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (a), as though set forth fully.

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Possession of a Controlled Substance)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating section 4060, in that Respondent was found to be in possession of a controlled substance, as follows:
- a. On or about October 25, 2011, Respondent was found to be in possession of a controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about May 22, 2012, during an investigation of a suspicious person in South Gate, California, by the South Gate Police Department, Respondent was contacted. Respondent was observed walking down Stanford Ave., when the officer attempted to approach him. Upon seeing the officer, Respondent fled quickly to the rear of 9322 Stanford Ave. and was detained moments later for investigation. A search of the surrounding area revealed a clear glass pipe commonly used to smoke Methamphetamine approximately 5 feet away from Respondent with burnt marks down the side and a white residue inside of it. Based on Respondent being the only person present at that time of detaining and his initial response upon seeing the officer, the officer

was led to believe that Respondent was trying to evade the officer. Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11364, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance paraphernalia]. While in route to the police station, Respondent admitted that he smoked Methamphetamine a couple of days ago. In addition, Respondent admitted that he was stopped by law enforcement a couple of days ago and was caught with a Methamphetamine pipe on his person, similar to the one found near him today. After the booking process, an examination of the glass pipe revealed approximately .3 grams of a crystal-like substance, later identified as Methamphetamine. Respondent was subsequently charged for violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance].

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Use/Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (h) and (j), in that Respondent used and/or was under the influence of a controlled substance, as follows:
- a. On or about October 25, 2011, Respondent, by his own admission, admitted that he used a controlled substance and has a drug problem. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.
- b. On or about May 22, 2012, Respondent, by his own admission, admitted that he used a controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 14, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.

#### **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

16. In order to determine the degree of discipline, if any to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about October 25, 2001, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight of alcohol, in his blood] and one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 12500, subdivision (a) [driving without a valid driver's license] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ricardo Ornelas (*Super. Ct. Los

Angeles County, 2001, No. 1SG01903). The Court placed Respondent on probation and ordered him to pay fines and restitution. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 14, 2001, during an investigation of a traffic collision by the South Gate Police Department, Respondent was contacted. While speaking to Respondent, the officer detected an odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from within the vehicle and his person. Respondent was observed to have bloodshot watery eyes, slurred speech, and poor balance and coordination. In addition, the officer observed an empty "Bud Light" bottle on the front passenger floor board. When asked if he had been drinking, Respondent stated, "Yes, 4 or 5." During the booking procedure, Respondent submitted to a breath test that resulted in a breath alcohol content level of 0.12% on the first and second reading. PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged. and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 108852, 1. issued to Ricardo Ornelas, also known as Ricardo Ornelas Barajas; 2. Ordering Ricardo Ornelas to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 2/18/14

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2013509117 / 51429105.doc

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26