1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARM DEPARTMENT OF CONSUM STATE OF CALIFO	IACY MER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFO	KNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4454
13	CIERRA P. REID	ACCUSATION
14	25465 Hyacinth Street Corona, CA 92883	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 111069	
16		
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this	Accusation solely in her official
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
23	2. On July 13, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy	issued Pharmacy Technician
24	Descintantian New Law TOU 111060 to Ciama D. Boid (Boy	spondent). Respondent has also been
25	Registration Number TCH 111069 to Cierra P. Reid (Res	1 , 1
	known as Cierra Pashawn Reid. The Pharmacy Technicia	· / -
26		in Registration was in full force and
	known as Cierra Pashawn Reid. The Pharmacy Technicia	in Registration was in full force and
26	known as Cierra Pashawn Reid. The Pharmacy Technicia effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein a	in Registration was in full force and
26 27	known as Cierra Pashawn Reid. The Pharmacy Technicia effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein a has not been renewed.	in Registration was in full force and

1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that every license issued by the	
6	Board may be suspended or revoked.	
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued	
9	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a	
10 11	license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the	
12	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
13	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
14	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to	
15	evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
16	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
17 18	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
19	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
20	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially	
21	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the	
22	license was issued.	
23	8. Section 493 of the Code states:	
24	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any	
25	diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section	
26	23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this	
27	code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary	
28	action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record	
	2	
	CSBP Accusation Case Number 4454	

pertaining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . . .

. . . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time. for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
3	
4	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a
5	personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
6	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
7	(2) Total criminal record.
8	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
10	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
11	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
12	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
13	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or
14 15	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a
16	substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
17	COST RECOVERY
18	
19	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request
20	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
21	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
22	and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not
23	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs
24	may be included in a stipulated settlement.
25	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
26	(August 28, 2012 Conviction for Grand Theft on July 1, 2011)
27	13. Respondent subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301,
28	subdivision (1) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially
	4
ſ	CSBP Accusation Case Number 4454

i.

related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. The
 circumstances are as follows:

a. On August 28, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Cierra Pashawn Reid*, in Orange County Superior Court, Case Number
12HF0900, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Penal Code (PC) section
487, subdivision (a), grand theft by embezzlement, a felony.

b. As a result of the conviction, on August 28, 2012, Respondent was
sentenced to three years formal probation, and ordered to serve 180 days in the Orange County
Jail with credit for one day actually served, and pay fines, fees, restitution, and ten percent
interest on the restitution from date of loss until paid in full.

c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on June 9, 2011, Respondent
was hired as a sales associate and assigned to the fine jewelry section of Macy's South Coast
Plaza in Costa Mesa, California. About a month after her date of hire, Respondent started taking
merchandise from the jewelry counter, on an average of one item every other work shift.
Respondent either concealed the item on her person, in her purse, or simply carried it out in her
hand.

d. 17 On September 21, 2011, Respondent made fictitious purchases of two 18 items at sale prices before a scheduled sale and indicated that the items were to be picked-up on 19 September 28, 2011. On September 27, 2011, Respondent cleaned out a jewelry case by removing the merchandise and replacing it back into the case when clean. Respondent marked 20 the fine jewelry status log indicating that she took two diamond rings out of the case and placed 21 22 them in the hold area. On September 28, 2011, Respondent attempted to return one of the fictitiously sold items with no success. Respondent voided all the transactions related to the first 23 item. On the same date, Respondent attempted to return the second item without success through 24 three different registers. At a fourth register, Respondent successfully returned the second item in 25 the amount of \$3,585.37 and obtained four different gift cards, three of which were for \$1,000.00 26 each and the fourth one for \$585.37. On September 29, 2011, Respondent used one of the gift 27 28 ///

5

1	cards to purchase a watch from the store. On the same date, the fine jewelry section manager
2	reported two missing diamond rings that were supposed to be in the hold area.
3	e. On September 30, 2011, during a routine review of overages and shortages
4	for the registers, Respondent's September 28, 2011 return transactions were detected as
5	suspicious. An investigation focused on Respondent's transactions and the 24-hour surveillance
6	video was reviewed, which revealed her activities in the store. On October 1, 2011, Respondent
7	admitted to creating fraudulent purchases to meet her daily sales expectations, to stealing
8	\$62,492.05 worth of merchandise, and to attempting to sell the stolen goods through the internet.
9	Twenty-one pieces of merchandise with a total value of \$63,824.00 were recovered from
10	Respondent's home. The store asked for court-ordered restitution of \$31,500.00, the total amount
11	of the twenty-three price tickets also found in Respondent's home corresponding to merchandise
12	items that she had stolen and could no longer be located.
13	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Unprofessional Conduct - Commission of Any Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty,
15	Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)
16	14. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under Code section 4301,
17	subdivision (f), in that she committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit,
18	and corruption when she stole several pieces of merchandise from her employer and sold some
19	of it on the internet, as described in paragraph 13, above.
20	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Jan. 18, 2013 Conviction for Driving With a BAC of .08% or More on Sep. 3, 2012)
22	15. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under Code sections 490 and
23	4301, subdivision (l), in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the
24	qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as
25	follows:
26	a. On January 18, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>The People of the</i>
27	State of California vs. Cierra Pashawn Reid, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case
28	Number 2MP10622, Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere to violating
	6

CSBP Accusation Case Number 4454

Vehicle Code (VC) 23152, subdivisions (a), driving under the influence of alcohol (DUI) and
 (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, misdemeanors.

b. As a result of the conviction, on January 31, 2013, Respondent was
granted 36 months summary probation and sentenced to serve 13 days in the Los Angeles
County Jail with credit for two days actually served, or pay a fine of \$390.00 in lieu of jail
service, which was suspended. Respondent was also ordered to pay an additional fine or render
11 days of community service in lieu of the additional fine; pay restitution, costs, assessments,
and fees; and attend and satisfactorily complete a first offender alcohol and other drug education
and counseling program.

The facts that led to the conviction are that on September 3, 2012, c. 10 Respondent was driving over the speed limit along interstate 405 in Culver City, California and 11 passed the patrol vehicle of two California Highway Patrol officers. The officers positioned their 12 patrol vehicle behind Respondent's while moving at about 80 miles per hour. The distance 13 between their patrol vehicle and Respondent's car increased. The officers then activated their 14 forward red lights to initiate an enforcement stop. Respondent yielded and during the initial 15 contact the officers observed Respondent's eyes to be red and watery. While Respondent was 16 17 looking for her driver's license, the officers detected the odor of alcohol emitting from Respondent's car, Respondent admitted to one drink during the previous 24 hours, but also 18 19 emitted alcohol from her breath and person. Respondent almost fell upon exiting her vehicle and failed the series of field sobriety tests. Respondent provided two breath samples, which both 20 tested 0.10 percent BAC. 21

22

23

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

16. Respondent subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on September 3, 2012, she used alcohol to the extent
and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to herself and to the public, as detailed in
paragraph 15, above.

28 ///

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 (February 5, 2013 Conviction for Prostitution on July 25, 2012) 2 17. Respondent subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, 3 subdivision (1) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially 4 related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. The 5 circumstances are as follows: 6 On February 5, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the 7 a. State of California vs. Cierra Pashawn Reid, in Riverside County Superior Court, Case Number 8 RIM1212889, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating PC section 647, 9 subdivision (b), disorderly conduct (prostitution), a misdemeanor. 10 As a result of the conviction, on February 5, 2013, Respondent was 11 b. granted 36 months summary probation, and sentenced to eight days in the custody of the 12 13 Riverside County Sheriff to be served in the work release program, and ordered to pay fines and a penalty assessment. Respondent was also ordered to enroll in and complete an Acquired 14 15 Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) education program and not to have any direct or indirect contact with www.backpage.com. 16 c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on July 25, 2012, Respondent 17 placed in the adult services section of a website an advertisement for a female escort in the 18 Inland Empire area. Using the pseudonym, Brook, Respondent offered incalls within Riverside, 19 California. An undercover officer of the Riverside Police Department corresponded with 20 Respondent through mobile short message service. Respondent agreed to provide sex for pay and 21 arranged for a rendezvous at a motel along Magnolia Avenue. The undercover officer knocked at 2.2. Respondent's motel room and as soon as she identified herself as, Brook, other officers detained 23 her for soliciting prostitution. Respondent admitted to being the person corresponding with the 24 undercover officer through mobile short message service. 25 111 26 27 111 28 |||8

1	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Unprofessional Conduct - Commission of Any Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty,	
3	Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)	
4	18. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under Code section 4301,	
5	subdivision (f), in that she committed acts involving moral turpitude and corruption when she	
6	solicited for prostitution, as described in paragraph 17, above.	
7	PRAYER	
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein	
9	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
10	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH	
11	111069, issued to Cierra P. Reid;	
12	2. Ordering Cierra P. Reid to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the	
13	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
14	125.3;	
15	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
16		
17		
18	DATED: 8/14/13 Jugina Judy	
19	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
20	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
21	Complainant	
22	SD2012704288 70672245.doc	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	9 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4454	