1	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHELLE MCCARRON					
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237031					
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2544 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10		LALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4398				
12	SHARNA JANAY THOMAS 512 West 64th Place					
13	Inglewood, CA 90302	ACCUSATION				
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104559					
15	Respondent.					
16						
17						
18	Complainant alleges:					
19	<u>PARTIES</u>					
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity					
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).					
22	2. On or about July 16, 2010, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.					
23	TCH 104559 to Sharna Janay Thomas (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was					
24	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on					
25	February 28, 2014, unless renewed.					
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>					
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following					
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.					
Ì		1				

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), states that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
 - 7. Section 492 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that

division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

"This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. ||

- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or

1//

dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

REGULATORY PROVISION

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

3 4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially-Related Crimes)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300 and 4301, subdivision (I), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by her registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- On or about July 07, 2011, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted a. of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in the blood]; and misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while having a suspended license], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1LT00583). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 2 days in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 3 years, and ordered her to pay a fine or serve 15 days in jail. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 28, 2011, a California Highway Patrol Officer, while on duty, during a routine traffic stop, stopped Respondent for failing to maintain a lane position. The officer made contact with the Respondent, and the Respondent provided the officer with a suspended driver's license. The officer smelled an odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath and person. The officer also observed Respondent to have slurred speech, red and watery eyes. The Respondent admitted to the officer that she had consumed, "Two Smirnoff's." The Respondent agreed to a series of Field Sobriety Test which she failed to complete satisfactorily.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

Respondent subsequently agreed to two breath samples with results of .19% BAC and .18% BAC.

- On or about November 28, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was b. convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415 (2) [disturbing the peace: loud/unreasonable noise], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. 5SB03612). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 2 days in jail. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 16, 2005, the Manhattan Beach Police Department set up a check point where Respondent was a passenger in the vehicle. The driver of the vehicle was arrested for driving while under the influence of alcohol. The Respondent was the owner of the vehicle and the officer advised Respondent that her vehicle was going to be impounded because the driver was driving her vehicle with a suspended license. The Respondent became belligerent and began to yell and cuss at the officer. The Respondent yelled at the officer, "You're not taking my F...ing car." The Respondent continued to yell profanity at the officer and at her friend being arrested. The officer smelled a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath and person. The officer observed Respondent to have poor balance, slurred speech, red and watery eyes. The Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f), after the officer determined that Respondent was intoxicated to the extent that she could no longer care for herself or the safety of others.
- c. On or about November 28, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. 0WL04021). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 02, 2000, Los Angeles Police Officers responded to a call to investigate a suspect in custody for attempting to cash a forged check at a Well's Fargo Bank Branch, in the city of Los Angeles, Ca. The bank manager verified that the check sequence presented by Respondent was off and that the business indicated on the check did not authorize the check to be cashed. The officers identified Respondent as the female suspect who attempted

to cash a forged check. The officers arrested the Respondent for violating Penal Code section 470 and a warrant check revealed that Respondent had an outstanding warrant.

d. On or about December 21, 1998, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 602 (j) [trespass: injure to property], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1998, No. 8PN06839-02). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 1 day in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 24 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 13, 1998, Respondent was observed by loss prevention officers at Nordstrom Department Store, via the store surveillance camera, entering the department store with a large purse and grabbing items quickly. The officer followed the Respondent into the dressing room and observed her placing items in her purse from an adjacent stall. The Respondent was observed exiting the store, passing numerous registers, without paying for the merchandise concealed in her purse.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent did use an alcoholic beverage to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself or others when she was found to be under the influence of alcohol on January 28, 2011 and April 16, 2005. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions Involving Alcohol)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (k), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor, involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances, when she was found to be under the influence of an alcoholic beverage on January 28, 2011 and April 16, 2005

and convicted for violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) and Penal Code section 1 415 (2). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above 2 in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully. 3 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 4 (Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit and / or Corruption) 5 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, 6 subdivision (f), on the grounds of the unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts 7 and was convicted of crimes involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and / or 8 corruption. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth 9 above in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (a) through (d), inclusive, as though set forth fully. 10 FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 11 (Violation of Pharmacy Act) 12 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), on 13 the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts and was convicted of 14 crimes that violated the Pharmacy Act. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, 15 the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11 through 14, inclusive, as though set forth fully. 16 /// 17 18 $/\!/\!/$ 19 /// $/\!/\!/$ 20 /// 21 22 /// /// 23 /// 24 25 /// /// 26 27 /// /// 28 8

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104559, issued to Sharna Janay Thomas;
- 2. Ordering Sharna Janay Thomas to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	8/14/14		ue ne	- Du	Ų
		VIR	GINIÁ NEROLD		,

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2012507681 51548311.doc