| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General ALVARO MEJIA Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 216956 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-0083 | |
|----|--|---------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY | |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | STATE OF CALI | TORNIA 1 |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 4315 |
| 12 | ALEXANDER MONDRAGON OGATA 11525 McDonald Street | |
| 13 | Culver City, CA 90230 | ACCUSATION |
| 14 | Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 110767 | |
| 15 | Respondent. | |
| 16 | | <u>.</u> |
| 17 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 18 | <u>PARTIES</u> | |
| 19 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity | |
| 20 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board). | |
| 21 | 2. On or about March 18, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. | |
| 22 | TCH 110767 to Alexander Mondragon Ogata (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician | |
| 23 | Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and | |
| 24 | will expire on September 30, 2014, unless renewed. | |
| 25 | JURISDICTION | |
| 26 | 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of | |
| 27 | Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the | |
| 28 | Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated. | |
| | 1 | |

- 4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

7. Section 4001.1 states:

"Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the California State Board of Pharmacy in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount."

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

///

7 || ///

8 || //

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE/DANGEROUS DRUG

- 17. Section 4021 of the Code states:
- "'Controlled substance' means any substance listed in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1153) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code."
 - 18. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "'Dangerous drug' or 'dangerous device' means any drug or device unsafe for self use, except veterinary drugs that are labeled as such, and including the following:
- "(a) Any drug that bears the legend: 'Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription,' 'Rx only,' or words of similar import.
- "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
- Safety Code section 11019, subdivision (e). It is a Schedule I controlled substance, as designated in Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (f)(1), and a Schedule II controlled substance, as designated in Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(6). It is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.

COST RECOVERY

20. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

21. On or about April 21, 2011, officers from the Culver City Police Department responded to a report of a suspicious subject in the area of Stevens Avenue, in Culver City, California. Upon arrival, the officers contacted Respondent and he was positively identified by a homeowner as the suspicious individual that ran from her back yard. Officer Victor was speaking

with Respondent, when he spontaneously stated, "I was just walking through the bushes because I was trying to find my bike." Respondent went on to state that he could not recall where he left his bicycle and was trying to find any bicycle that he could use to go back home.

- 22. Upon further questioning by the officers, they noticed that Respondent was displaying objective signs of being under the influence of a controlled substance. In observing Respondent's behavior, the officers noted that: 1). Respondent's speech was rapid and he constantly licked his lips as if his mouth was dry; 2). Respondent was continuously shifting his body weight from side to side as he stood talking to the officers; 3). Respondent had beads of sweat pouring down his forehead despite the cool weather; 4). Respondent's pulse measured approximately 125 beats per minute; and 5). During the administration of the Rhomberg stand test, Respondent's eyelids fluttered rapidly while closed, a symptom often referred to as "eyelid tremors." Respondent closed his eyes and estimated 30 seconds in what was actually 25 seconds. Officer Grant asked Respondent when was the last time he used drugs. Respondent stated, "I used cocaine about three hours ago." Based on the objective symptoms displayed by Respondent, coupled with his statements, Officer Victor formed the opinion that Respondent was under the influence of a controlled substance, to wit, a stimulant.
- 23. Upon further questioning of the reporting homeowners, the officers determined that Respondent stole a red 18-speed "Roadmaster" bicycle worth approximately \$200. Respondent stated that he had been drinking with a friend that lives on Stevens Avenue the night before the incident. Respondent explained that he could not remember where he left his bicycle the night before, so he decided to look in the backyards of nearby houses for his bicycle. Respondent went on to state that because he could not find his own bicycle, he took the victim's red bicycle in order for him to get home. Respondent was placed under private person's arrest for violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a).
- 24. Upon arrival at the Culver City Police Department, Officer Victor conducted a secondary examination of Respondent under controlled lighting to determine if he was under the influence of a controlled substance. Officer Victor applied the outer beam of his handheld flashlight to Respondent's eyes and noticed that they measured approximately 6.5 mm to 7.0 mm

and had little to no reaction to the application of light. Respondent closed his eyes and estimated 30 seconds to himself, in what was actually 20 seconds. Respondent's pulse measured approximately 140 beats per minute. Respondent submitted to a urine screening test to determine the presence of controlled substances. On May 5, 2011, the screen test results of Respondent's submitted urine sample indicated the presence of amphetamines, cannabinoids, and cocaine.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Self-Administration of Controlled Substance(s))

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) and/or Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and/or (o), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11170, in that Respondent administered controlled substance(s) to himself. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 21 through 24, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Furnishing of Controlled Substance(s))

26. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and/or (o), and/or Code section 4059, in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11170, in that Respondent furnished to himself or another without a valid prescription, and/or conspired to furnish, and/or assisted or abetted furnishing of, controlled substance(s). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 21 through 24, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Use/Influence of Controlled Substance(s))

27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and/or (o), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11550, in that Respondent used and/or was under the influence of, conspired to use/be under the influence of, and/or assisted in or abetted use/being under the influence of, controlled substance(s). Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 21 through 24, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 20 through 23, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 110767, issued to Alexander Mondragon Ogata;
- 2. Ordering Alexander Mondragon Ogata to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 21213

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

DOJ Matter ID: 1.A2012506949

51400666.docx