,	Wasana B III
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General
3	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 101336 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3037
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
8	Timornoys for Compramara
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4278
13	OSVALDO J. SOLIZ
14	52-137 Nelson Ave. Coachella, CA 92236 A C C U S A T I O N
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 83815
16	Respondent.
17	Complainant alleges:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about June 12, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
22	Registration Number 83815 to Osvaldo J. Soliz (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
24	will expire on May 31, 2012, unless renewed.
25	JURISDICTION
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
l	

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code states that every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

7. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, (f) fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

111

26

27

28

(1)The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or

registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(December 2, 2011 Conviction for Recklessly Causing Fire to Property on June 29, 2011)

- 12. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about December 2, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Osvaldo James Soliz*, in Riverside County Superior Court, Case Number INM1105932, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code (PC) sections 452 subdivision (d), unlawfully causing a fire of property, a misdemeanor.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about December 2, 2011, Respondent was sentenced to three years summary probation and ordered committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 20 days with credit for two days served and another two days pursuant to PC section 4019. Respondent was also ordered to pay \$1,204.45 in fines, fees, and restitution.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about June 29, 2011, Respondent was reported as lighting a bush on fire in Coachella, California. A responding officer from the Riverside County Sheriff's Department saw Respondent kicking dirt into the fire. When asked what he was doing in the area, Respondent admitted to burning satanic books from his past in order to cleanse himself. Respondent also admitted to choosing the bush area because that was

where he and his friends used to gather in the 1980's. Officers from the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection arrived and extinguished the fire.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Commission of Any Act Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

13. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on or about June 29, 2011, he recklessly burned books in an open field that started a bush fire within seconds, in utter disregard for his safety and that of the community and of other possible consequences, which constitutes an act involving moral turpitude and corruption, as detailed in paragraph 12, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 83815, issued to Osvaldo J. Soliz;
- 2. Ordering Osvaldo J. Soliz to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: _///19//2

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2012703153 70549877.doc