1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	ALFREDO TERRAZAS	•	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4218	
12	GILBERT BARRON		
13	315 E. 30th Street, #G National City, CA 91950	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration	INCOUNTION.	
15	No. TCH 10422		
16	Respondent.	•	
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about November 5, 1993, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
23	Registration Number TCH 10422 to Gilbert Barron (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
24	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and		
25	will expire on July 31, 2013, unless renewed.		
26	111		
27	111		
28	111		

## **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the e Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

## STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

23 /// 24 /// 25 ///

21

22

26 | ///

27 ///

28 | ///

#### 8. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

#### 9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

#### 10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

11. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1769, states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

- (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (2) Total criminal record.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

12. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

### COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(April 22, 2003 Criminal Conviction for Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol With BAC of 0.15% or More on December 21, 2002)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivisions (I) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 15. On or about April 22, 2003, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Gilbert Barron*, in the San Diego Superior Court, in case number SCS174337, Respondent pled guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving under the influence of alcohol), a misdemeanor.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 5, 2011 Criminal Conviction for Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol [BAC 0.24%] on April 11, 2011)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivisions (I) of the Code in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

28 ///

- 17. On or about July 5, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Gilbert Barron*, in the San Diego Superior Court, Central Division, in case number CD134165, Respondent pled guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving under the influence of alcohol), a misdemeanor.
- 18. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about April 11, 2011, at approximately 2335 hours, California Highway Patrol officers, while on routine patrol, received a radio call from a dispatcher who reported a wrong-way driver on SR-94 eastbound in the westbound lanes at about SR-15. The reporting party observed the vehicle (a white Ford Mustang) pass I-805 traveling the wrong way and eventually pulling into the center divider. The suspect vehicle was observed by the reporting party making a U-turn on the freeway and exiting SR-94 westbound to 30th Street.
- 19. At approximately 2341 hours, officers located the vehicle at 30th Street and activated the red emergency lights. The suspect vehicle yielded to the right shoulder on southbound 30th Street at SR-94.
- 20. When officers made contact with the driver of the suspect vehicle (Respondent), they detected a distinct odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from within the vehicle and noticed the driver's eyes were red and watery and the driver could not form a coherent statement. When asked for his driver's license, Respondent reached into his pocket and pulled out his cell phone and handed it to the officers. Respondent was asked to step out of the vehicle and had to be assisted by officers as he stumbled. Respondent was asked to perform a series of field sobriety tests, which he failed. Respondent did not have his driver's license on his person and gave officers his name and date of birth, which came back with a description matching Respondent. Respondent was asked by officers to blow into a PAS machine, which readings came back at .239%, .156% and .237%. Respondent was placed under arrest and transported to the San Diego County Jail where he provided a blood sample at 0031 hours which, when analyzed, indicated Respondent's blood alcohol was 0.24%.

# **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 10422, issued to Gilbert Barron;
- 2. Ordering Gilbert Barron to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: _	7/10	12	_ (

VIRGINIA HEROLD
Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2011801871