1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BRETT KINGSBURY						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 243744						
. 5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004						
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1192 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480						
7	Attorneys for Complainant						
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY						
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
10]					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4202					
12	SHEFALI HARIT RANA 43318 Debrum Common						
13	Fremont, CA 94539	ACCUSATION					
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 43308						
15	Respondent.						
16	Complainant alleges:						
17	<u>PARTIES</u>						
18	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity						
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.						
20	2. On or about March 22, 1990, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License						
21	Number RPH 43308 to Shefali Harit Rana (Respondent). The Pharmacist License expired on						
22	August 31, 2011, and has not been renewed.						
23	<u>JURISDICTION</u>						
24	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of						
25	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the						
26	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.						
27	4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both						
28	the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances						

Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].

5. Section **4300** of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section **4301** of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action against any holder of a license that is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:
- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made

Q

the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

11. California Health and Safety Code section 11170 states:

No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.

- 12. California Health and Safety Code section 11350(a) states:
- "(a) Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possesses . . . (1) any controlled substance specified in . . . subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055 . . . , unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code. . . . "
- 13. **Methylin** is a brand name for methylphenidate, a controlled substance pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 11055(d)(6) and a dangerous drug pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 14. **Morphine Sulfate** is a controlled substance pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(L) and a dangerous drug pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 4022.

///

COST RECOVERY

15. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subsection (l), in that she has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee. The circumstances are as follows:
- 17. On or about February 9, 2011, in Santa Clara Superior Court case number B1151686, Respondent was convicted of having violated California Penal Code section 484 (petty theft). The conviction was based on an incident in which Respondent stole a bottle of Methylin tablets from her employer, Pharmerica.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Act of Dishonesty)

- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subsection (f), in that she committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit or corruption, as follows:
- 19. In December of 2010, in Mountain View, California, Respondent stole a bottle of Methylin tablets from her employer, Pharmerica.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

- 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subsection (j), in that she violated statutes of this state regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as follows:
- 21. In December of 2010, in Mountain View, California, Respondent possessed Methylin, a controlled substance, without a prescription for said medication, in violation of California Penal Code section 11170 and California Business and Professions Code section 4060.

22. In December of 2010, in Mountain View, California, Respondent possessed morphine sulfate, a controlled substance, without a prescription for said medication, in violation of California Penal Code sections 11170 and 11350(a), and California Business and Professions Code section 4060.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 23. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about May 2, 2003, in a prior disciplinary action entitled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Shefali Harit Rana*, Case Number 1910, before the Board of Pharmacy, a public reprimand was issued against Respondent based on Respondent's convictions for violations of California Health and Safety Code sections 11350(a) (unlawful possession of controlled substances) and 11377(a) (unauthorized prescribing), and violation of Penal Code section 487(a) (grand theft). That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 24. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about June 13, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2008 40144 against Respondent based on Respondent's actions as a pharmacist, and ordered Respondent to pay \$2,000.00 in fines. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 43308, issued to Shefali Harit Rana;
- 2. Ordering Shefali Harit Rana to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

///

1	3.	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.				
2	TO A MINITO	3/2/1/12			- ~//.	11
3	DATED: _	0/24/12	 -	VIRGINIA HEROLD	e Xflid	Cof
4				Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	om A ffoim	
5				Board of Pharmacy Department of Consum State of California Complainant	er Allairs	
6				Сотранат		
7		\$				
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15 16				·		
17				•		
18						•
19						
20	-					•
21						
22				·		
23		·				
24			1			\$
25						
26						
27				,		
28						
	1			7		