1	Kamala D. Harris				
2	Attorney General of California				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
4	Deputy Attorney General	·			
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125				
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550				
-7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643				
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY				
9	STATE OF CAL				
10					
11		se No. 4192			
12	aka MICHAEL R. KISTER	CCUSATION			
13	Clovis, CA 93611-3422	• • • •			
14					
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 30052				
16	Respondent.				
17					
18					
19					
20	Complainant alleges:				
21	PARTIE	2 <u>8</u>			
22	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings	this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
23	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, ("Board").				
24	2. On or about December 29, 1975, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH				
25	30052 to Michael Ronald Kister, also known as Michael R. Kister, ("Respondent"). The				
26	Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all	Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein			
27	and will expire on January 31, 2015, unless renewed				
28	111				
	1	· · · · ·			
		Accusation			

1	JURISDICTION				
2	3.	This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following			
3	laws. All	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise			
4	indicated.				
5	4.	Section 4300 of the Code states that every license issued by the Board may be			
6	suspended or revoked.				
7	.5.	Section 4300.1 of the Code states:			
8		The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued			
9		license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender			
10		of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary			
11		proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or			
12		revoking the license.			
13		STATUTORY PROVISIONS			
14	6.	Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:			
15		The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud			
16		or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:			
17		···			
18		(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of			
19		relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.			
20					
21		(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the			
22		use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a			
23		license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to			
24		the public the practice authorized by the license.			
25		•••			
26		(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.			
27					
28					
		2			

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence. irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

7. Code section 4022 states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.

(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a ______," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.

(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

- 8. Code
- Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7., or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either Section 4052.1 or 4052.2...

9. Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), provides, in pertinent part, it
is unlawful to possess Schedule II controlled substances as designated in Health and Safety Code
section 11055, subdivision (b), or any controlled substances designated as Schedule III, IV, or V
which are narcotic drugs, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist,
or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10. **DRUGS**

Brand Name	Generic Name	Dangerous Drug (BP Code §4022)	Controlled Substance (H&S Code)	Indications For Use
Vicodin, Norco	Hydrocodone / Acetaminophen	Yes	Schedule III – Health & Safety Code sec. 11056(e)(4)	Pain
Dilaudid	Hydromorphone	Yes	Schedule II – Health & Safety Code sec. 11055(b)(1)(J)	Pain
Alprazolam	Alprazolam	Yes	Schedule IV – Health& Safety Code sec. 11057(d)(1)	Nerves
Lorazepam	Lorazepam		Schedule IV – Health & Safety Code sec. 11057(d)(16)	Nerves
Codeine	Codeine	Yes	Schedule II – Health & Safety Code sec. 11055(b)(1)(G)	Pain
	Tramadol	Yes	No	Pain

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Crimes)

8 12. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
9 subdivision (*l*), in that Respondent has been convicted of crimes substantially relating to the
10 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacist as set forth below in paragraphs 13,
11 and 14, and all of their subparts, incorporated by reference.

12 13. On or about June 14, 2013, in the case titled *People v. Michael Ronald Kister, aka* Michael R. Kister, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. F12906250, Respondent was con-13 victed on his plea of guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a) 14 [unlawful possession of a controlled substance], a felony, and plea of nolo contendere to violating 15 Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [unlawful to drive a vehicle with a blood alcohol 16 level of .08% or more]¹, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted prior convictions as to his 17 Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), conviction. He also admitted all priors and 18 enhancements. 19

a. The underlying circumstances are: On or about September 6, 2011, Respondent
drove his vehicle in Fresno, California, while under the influence of drugs, resulting in a solo car
traffic collision. Prior to driving his vehicle, Respondent had ingested numerous medications.
According to the arrest report, at the time of his arrest, Respondent possessed Codeine, two
tablets of Hydromorphone 4mg, five tablets of Hydrocodone 500mg, thirteen tablets of Tramadol,
and two tablets of Lorazepam 2mg, without lawful prescriptions, and three tablets of Caffeine

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

¹ The original charge was violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs, or their combined effect].

200mg. Respondent's urine sample tested positive for Hydrocodone and Alprazolam, for which he had no lawful prescriptions.

14. On or about October 30, 2007, in the case titled *People v. Michael R. Kister*, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. M07002083, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23103, subdivision (a) [reckless driving], and 23103.5 [reckless driving substituted for violation of section 23152], a misdemeanor.

a. The underlying circumstances are: On or about July 15, 2007, Respondent
drove his vehicle in Clovis, California, while under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs, or their
combined influence. Respondent tested presumptively positive for Hydrocodone and his blood
alcohol level tested at .07%.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

12 13

19

20

21

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

(Convictions of Misdemeanors or Felony Involving Use, Consumption, or Self-Administration of Dangerous Drugs, Alcohol, or Combined)

14 15. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
15 subdivision (k), in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor or any felony
16 involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic
17 beverage, or any combination of those substances, as set forth above in paragraphs 12, 13, and 14,
18 and all of their subparts, incorporated by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Committed Acts of Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty,

Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

16. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,
subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts of
moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. The underlying circumstances are set
forth above in paragraphs 12, 13, and 14, and all of their all of their subparts, incorporated by
reference.

- 27 || ///
- 28 ///

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 2 (Self-Administration of Controlled Substance; Used Dangerous Drugs Dangerous or Injurious to Self or Public, and Unable to Practice Safely) 3 17. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, 4 subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent self-administered 5 and used controlled substances, dangerous drugs, and/or alcoholic beverages, to the extent or in a 6 manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or the public, or to the extent that the use 7 impaired his ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice of pharmacy, as set forth 8 9 above in paragraphs 12, 13, and 14, and all of their subparts, incorporated by reference. FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 10 (Violation of Laws Regulating Controlled Substances and/or Dangerous Drugs) 11 18. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, 12 subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent possessed the 13 controlled substances Codeine, Hydrocodone, Hydromorphone, Alprazolam, and Lorazepam 14 without a lawful prescription for each drug, in violation of Code section 4066 and Health and 15 Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), as set forth above in paragraphs 12, 13, and 14, and 16 all of their subparts, incorporated by reference. 17 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 18 (Violated or Attempted to Violate Pharmacy Laws) 19 19. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, 20 subdivision (o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent violated or 21 attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring 22 to violate any provision or term of pharmacy laws, as set forth in paragraphs 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 23 17, and 18, and all of their subparts, above, incorporated by reference. 24 DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS 25° 20. In order to determine the degree of discipline, Complainant requests the following to 26 be considered: 27 28

.

1	a. In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Michael Ronald Kister, Board Case
2	No. 1921, the Board disciplined Respondent, effective July 7, 1998, by revoking his pharmacist
3	license, immediately stayed, and placing him on probation for the period of five years subject to
4	certain terms and conditions, including his mandatory participation in the Pharmacist Recovery
5	Program, random fluid testing, and abstain from drug use. The license was fully reinstated on or
6	about July 7, 2003.

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

9 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 30052 issued to Michael
10 Ronald Kister, also known as Michael R. Kister;

Ordering Michael Ronald Kister, also known as Michael R. Kister, to pay the Board
 of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to
 Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

15 DATED: 16 7IRGIN 17 Executive Off cer Board of Pharmacy 18

Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California *Complainant*

SA2011102702 / 11205155.docx

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27