1 2 3 4 5	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA A. ROOM Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 214663 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-1299		
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8 9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4172		
12	JEFFREY BRUCE CENTENO P.O. Box 755		
13	Mountain View, CA 94042 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 57287		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	PARTIES		
18	1.e Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacitye		
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20	2. On or about July 13, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
21	License Number TCH 57287 to Jeffrey Bruce Centeno (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
22	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and		
23	will expire on June 30, 2012, unless renewed.		
24	JURISDICTION		
25	3.e This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of e		
26	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
27	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.		
28	///		
	. 1		
	Accusation		

4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].

5. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be
suspended or revoked.

6. Section 118(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, 6 surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a 7 disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued 8 9 or reinstated. Section 4402(a) of the Code provides that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated 10 and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period. Section 4402(e) of 11 the Code provides that any other license issued by the Board may be canceled by the Board if not 12 renewed within 60 days after its expiration, and any license canceled in this fashion may not be 13 14 reissued but will instead require a new application to seek reissuance.

15

1

2

3

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action
against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but
not be limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use,
consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any
combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties
of a licensee under this chapter.

2

1	8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or				
2	revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related				
3	to the qualifications, functions or duties of the license.				
4	9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:				
5	"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license				
6	pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a				
7	crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a				
8	licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a				
9	licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by her license or registration in a				
10	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."				
11	COST RECOVERY				
12	10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the				
13	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing				
14	act to pay a sum not to exceed its reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement.				
15					
16	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE				
17	(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime(s))				
18	11. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(l) and/or section 490 of the				
19	Code, by reference to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for the conviction of				
20	substantially related crime(s), in that on or about January 3, 2011, in the criminal case People v.				
21	Jeffrey Bruce Centeno, Case No. SM371275 in San Mateo County Superior Court, Respondent				
22	was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08%				
23	or Higher), a misdemeanor. The conviction was entered as follows:				
24	a. On or about June 21, 2010, San Mateo County Sheriff's Department officer(s)				
25	in the Mountain View, CA area observed an automobile traveling southbound on Highway 280				
26	swerving/weaving back and forth, and noted that the vehicle's driver's side tail light was broken.				
27	As the officer(s) observed, the vehicle attempted to exit on an off-ramp, entered the shoulder, and				
28	then swerved back into the lane. The officer(s) pulled the vehicle over.				
	3				

b. Respondent was the driver of the vehicle. The officer(s) could smell alcohol on
Respondent's breath and his eyes were watery. Respondent admitted to consuming two (2) beers
about an hour prior. The officer(s) administered Field Sobriety Tests (FSTs), which Respondent
was not able to perform successfully. Preliminary Alcohol Screen (PAS) testing administered at
the scene registered blood alcohol content (BAC) levels of 0.201 and 0.195. Respondent was
placed under arrest on suspicion of driving while under the influence of alcohol.

c. On or about August 11, 2010, Respondent was charged by criminal Complaint
in *People v. Jeffrey Bruce Centeno*, Case No. SM371275 in San Mateo County Superior Court,
with violating (1) Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol or
Drugs), a misdemeanor, and (2) Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of
0.08% or Higher), a misdemeanor, and with a special allegation that Respondent had a blood
alcohol content of 0.15 percent or higher within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578.

d. On or about January 3, 2011, Respondent pleaded *nolo contendere* to Count 2,
violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08% or Higher), a
misdemeanor, and admitted to driving with a blood alcohol content of 0.20% or higher. Count 1
was dismissed. Imposition of sentence was suspended in favor of a court probation of three (3)
years on terms and conditions including 15 days in county jail (2 days CTS), time to be served
consecutive to any other case of Respondent's, with a recommendation to the Sheriff's Work
Program, enrollment in a Multiple Offender Drinking Driver Program, and fines and fees.

20 21

22

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime(s))

12. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(l) and/or section 490 of the
Code, by reference to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for the conviction of
substantially related crime(s), in that on or about January 10, 2011, in the criminal case *People v*. *Bruce Jeffrey Centeno*, Case No. C1076196 in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent
was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08%
or Higher), a misdemeanor. The conviction was entered as follows:

4

a. On or about April 11, 2010, California Highway Patrol officer(s) responded to a 1 2 report of a traffic collision on Highway 880 southbound north of State Route 237, and found a car sitting in a nearby drainage ditch with Respondent, the driver, still sitting inside. Officers found 3 no damage or indications of a collision, so asked Respondent why he was in the ditch. He said he 4 thought he was on the shoulder and did not realize he had driven into the drainage ditch until he 5 tried to climb up to the road. The officer(s) observed that Respondent's eyes were red and watery 6 and his speech was slurred; he also had the odor of alcohol on his breath. Respondent admitted to 7 having drunk "two or three beers." The officer(s) administered Field Sobriety Tests (FSTs), and 8 9 Respondent was not able to perform successfully. Preliminary Alcohol Screen (PAS) testing administered at the scene registered blood alcohol content (BAC) levels of 0.238 and 0.243. 10 Respondent was placed under arrest on suspicion of driving while under the influence of alcohol. 11 On or about May 5, 2010, Respondent was charged by criminal Complaint in 12 c. People v. Bruce Jeffrey Centeno, Case No. C1076196 in Santa Clara County Superior Court, with 13 14 violating (1) Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol or Drugs), a misdemeanor, with a special allegation that Respondent had a BAC of 0.15 percent or higher 15 within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and (2) Vehicle Code section 23152(b) 16

(Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08% or Higher), a misdemeanor, with a special allegation that
Respondent had a BAC of 0.15 percent or higher within the meaning of section 23578.

d. On or about January 10, 2011, Respondent pleaded *nolo contendere* to Count 2,
violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08% or Higher), a
misdemeanor, and admitted to the special allegation. Count 1 was dismissed. Imposition of
sentence was suspended in favor of a court probation of three (3) years on terms and conditions
including 120 days in county jail (1 day CTS), concurrent to the time in Case No. B1048183 (see
below), enrollment in a Multiple Offender Drinking Driver Program, and fines and fees.

|||

25

26

27

28

|||

|||

|||

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime(s))

13. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(1) and/or section 490 of the Code, by reference to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for the conviction of substantially related crime(s), in that on or about January 10, 2011, in the criminal case *People v. Jeffrey Bruce Centeno*, Case No. B1048183 in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08% or Higher), a misdemeanor. The conviction was entered as follows:

a. On or about May 28, 2010, Mountain View Police Department officer(s) were
dispatched to a Safeway store parking lot on a report that there was an individual slumped over
the steering wheel of a car in that lot. The responding officer(s) discovered Respondent inside the
car, with the engine running and the vehicle in gear. The officer(s) smelled alcohol, and noticed
that Respondent had red, bloodshot eyes, slurred speech, and was slow to respond to questioning.
He admitted to having consumed "three shots about two hours ago."

b. The officer(s) administered Field Sobriety Tests (FSTs), which Respondent was
not able to perform successfully. Preliminary Alcohol Screen (PAS) testing administered at the
scene registered blood alcohol content (BAC) levels of 0.193 and 0.204. Respondent was placed
under arrest on suspicion of driving while under the influence of alcohol.

c. On or about June 17, 2010, Respondent was charged by criminal Complaint in
 People v. Jeffrey Bruce Centeno, Case No. B1048183 in Santa Clara County Superior Court, with
 violating (1) Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol or Drugs), a
 misdemeanor, with a special allegation that Respondent had a BAC of 0.15 percent or higher
 within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, and (2) Vehicle Code section 23152(b)
 (Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08% or Higher), a misdemeanor, with a special allegation that
 Respondent had a BAC of 0.15 percent or higher within the meaning of section 23578.

d. On or about January 10, 2011, Respondent pleaded *nolo contendere* to Count 2,
violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving with Blood Alcohol of 0.08% or Higher), a
misdemeanor, and admitted to the special allegation. Count 1 was dismissed. Imposition of

6

	I	
5		
	1	sentence was suspended in favor of a court probation of three (3) years on terms and conditions
	2	including 120 days in county jail (1 day CTS), concurrent to the time in Case No. C1076196 (see
	3	above), enrollment in a Multiple Offender Drinking Driver Program, and fines and fees.
	4	
	. 5	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	6	(Dangerous or Injurious Use of Alcohol)
	7	14. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(h) of the Code, in that as
	8	described in paragraphs 11 to 13, Respondent used alcohol in a dangerous or injurious manner.
	9	
	10	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	11	(Conviction of Crime(s) Involving Alcohol)
	12	15. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 (k) of the Code, in that as
	13	described in paragraphs 11 to 13, Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor or
	14	any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of alcohol
	15	
	16	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	17	(Unprofessional Conduct)
	18	16. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 of the Code in that, as
•	19	described in paragraphs 11 to 15, Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct.
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	PRAYER
	25	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
	26	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
	27	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 57287, issued
	28	to Jeffrey Bruce Centeno (Respondent);
		7
		Accusation

2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; 3. Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper. DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SF2011202884 20592454.doc