1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General LINDA L. SUN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207108 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-6375 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Case No. 4149 Probation Against,					
12	NARINE ARUTUNYAN					
13	10842 Keswick Street       PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION         Sun Valley, CA 91352       FORMATION					
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 86550					
15	Respondent.					
16						
17	Complainant alleges:					
18	PARTIES					
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her					
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of					
21	Consumer Affairs.					
22	2. On or about October 17, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration					
23	Number TCH 86550 to Narine Arutunyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration					
24	was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31,					
25	2012, unless renewed.					
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
	1					
	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION					

.

1	3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against In the Matter of
2	the Accusation Against Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies; Hakop Demirchyan, owner;
3	Trinidad M. Bagoyo, PIC, and Narine Arutunyan," Case No. 3353, the Board issued a decision,
4	effective October 21, 2009, in which Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration was
5	revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
6	Registration was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and
7	conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.
8	JURISDICTION
9	4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board under the authority of
10	the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless
11	otherwise indicated.
12	5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
13	"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
14	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION
15	(Failure to File Quarterly Reports)
15 16	<ul><li>(Failure to File Quarterly Reports)</li><li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li>Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and</li> </ul>
16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li><b>Reporting to the Board</b>. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury</li> </ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li>Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li><b>Reporting to the Board</b>. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li><b>Reporting to the Board</b>. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.</li> <li>7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li>Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.</li> <li>7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 2, referenced above. Respondent failed to submit any quarterly reports to</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li><b>Reporting to the Board</b>. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.</li> <li>7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 2, referenced above. Respondent failed to submit any quarterly reports to the Board.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li>Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.</li> <li>Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 2, referenced above. Respondent failed to submit any quarterly reports to the Board.</li> </ol> SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:</li> <li>Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.</li> <li>7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 2, referenced above. Respondent failed to submit any quarterly reports to the Board.</li> <li>SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION (Failure to Interview with Board)</li> </ul>

П

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

1 2 3	Re ur	<b>Aterview with the Board</b> . Upon receipt of reasonable notice, espondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board oon request at various intervals at a location to be determined by e Board. Failure to appear for a scheduled interview without for notification to Board staff shall be considered a violation of
4	1	obation.
5	9. Resp	ondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with
6	Probation Condit	tion 3, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation
7	are as follows:	
	A. On o	r about August 10, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and
8	Certified Mail of	her requirement to appear in person at a Board probation office conference.
9	Respondent cont	acted the Board to postpone the conference. The request was granted.
10	B. On o	r about October 5, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and
11	Certified Mail of	her requirement to appear in person at a Board probation office conference.
12	Respondent did r	not appear at the conference and did not notify the Board of her non-appearance.
13	C. Resp	ondent failed to appear at the Board conferences scheduled on August 24, 2010,
14	and October 19, 2	2010.
15		THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION
16		(Failure to Submit Costs Recovery)
17	10. At al	i times after the effective date of Respondent's probation. Condition 6 stated:
17 18		l times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 6 stated:
	R B	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of
18	R Bo fiv	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to
18 19	R Bo fiv m pa	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.
18 19 20	R B fiv m pa TI R	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve espondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of
18 19 20 21	R B fiv m pa TI R	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve
18 19 20 21 22	R B fiv m pa Tl R t	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve espondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	R Bd fiv m pa TI Rd in 11. Resp	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of we thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve espondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of vestigation and prosecution.
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	R Bd fiv m pa TI Rd in 11. Resp	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve espondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of vestigation and prosecution.
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	R B B fiv m pa TI R f in 11. Resp Probation Condit are as follows:	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve espondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of vestigation and prosecution.
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	R B fiv m pa TI R d in 11. Resp Probation Condit	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve espondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of vestigation and prosecution.
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	R B B fiv m pa TI R f in 11. Resp Probation Condit are as follows:	eimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the oard its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of ve thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to ake installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to ay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. he filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve espondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of vestigation and prosecution.

1		
1	A. On or about December 3, 2009, the Board notified Respondent via First Class mail of	
2	her requirement to reimburse the Board of its costs of investigation and prosecution. Respondent	
3	was instructed to submit monthly payments beginning January 10, 2010. Respondent failed to	
4	submit any payments to the Board.	
5	FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
6	(Failure to Submit Probation Monitoring Costs)	
7	12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 7 stated:	
8	Probation Monitoring Costs. Respondent shall pay the costs	
9 10	associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board at the end of each year of probation. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.	
11	13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with	
12	Probation Condition 7, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation	
13	are as follows:	
14	A. On or about December 8, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class Mail of	
15	her requirement to pay the probation monitoring costs. The letter was returned marked "Moved	
16	Left No Address – Unable to Forward."	
17	B. On or about January 14, 2011, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and	
18	Certified Mail of her failure to pay the probation monitoring costs. The letter was returned	
19	marked "Moved Left No Address - Unable to Forward".	
20	C. To date, Respondent has made no payment to the Board for the probation monitoring	
21	costs.	
22	FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
23	(Failure to Notify Board of Address Change)	
24	14. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 10 stated:	
25	Notification of Employment/Mailing Address Change.	
26	Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons	
27	for leaving and/or the address of the new employer, supervisor or owner and work schedule if known. Respondent shall notify the	
28	4	
	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	ī

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

l	
1	Board in writing within 10 days of a change in name, mailing address or phone number.
2	15. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with
3	Probation Condition 10, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation
4	are as follows:
5	A. On or about October 5, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and
6	Certified Mail of her requirement to appear in person at a Board probation office conference.
7	That letter was returned marked "Return to Sender, Unclaimed – Unable to Forward."
8	B. On or about November 4, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and
9	Certified Mail of her failure to file quarterly report and to appear in person. The certified letter
10	was returned marked "Moved Left No Address – Unable to Forward."
11	C. On or about December 8, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class Mail of
12	her requirement to pay the probation monitoring costs. The letter was returned marked "Moved
13	Left No Address – Unable to Forward."
14	D. On or about January 14, 2011, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and
15	Certified Mail of her failure to pay the probation monitoring costs. The certified letter was
16	returned marked "Moved Left No Address - Unable to Forward".
17	PRAYER
18	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
19	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
20	1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board of Pharmacy in Case No. 3353
21	and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Pharmacy Technician
22	Registration No. TCH 86550 issued to Narine Arutunyan;
23	2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 86550, issued
24	to Narine Arutunyan;
25	///
26	
27	
28	
	5
	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant LA2011601184 60665345.doc PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

# Exhibit A

## Decision and Order

Board of Pharmacy Case No. 4149

Note: The caption and order language of this Decision page was amended on April 18, 2011 to correct an error in the identifying information of the document.

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE (disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606

7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605 Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,

**TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO** 30572 Sparrow Hawk Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293

NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352

323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,

Respondents.

Case No. 3353

OAH No. L-2009051007

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCLIPLINARY ORDER As to:

NARINE ARUTUNYAN Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the

Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 21, 2009.

It is so ORDERED September 21, 2009.

muth A. Scheel

KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3353

OAH No. L-2009051007

LICENSE AND ORDER

NARINE ARUTUNYAN

Disciplinary

As to:

No. 86550

STIPULATED RETIREMENT OF

Pharmacy Technician Registration

Orde

Settlement and

VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMA CIST-IN-CHARGE (disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606

7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605 Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,

TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO 30572 Sparrow Hawk Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293

NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352

323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,

Respondents.

### DECISION AND ORDER Settlement

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 21, 2009.

It is so ORDERED September 21, 2009.

Bennich H. Scheel

KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHAR MACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

### VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE (disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606

7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605 Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,

**TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO** 30572 Sparrow Hawk Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293

NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352

323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,

Respondents.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 21, 2009.

It is so ORDERED September 21, 2009.

Benneth H. Scheel

KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Case No. 3353

OAH No. L-2009051007

### STIPULATED RETIREMENT OF LICENSE AND ORDER

<u>As to:</u>

NARINE ARUTUNYAN Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ternet internet
-		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LINDA L. SUN	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207108	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-6375 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RE THE PHARMACY
9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3353
12	VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN,	OAH No. L-2009051007
13	OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	(disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd.	
15	North Hollywood, CA 91606	As to:
16	7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605	NARINE ARUTUNYAN
17	Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,	Pharmacy Technician Registration
18	<b>TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO</b> 30572 Sparrow Hawk	No. 86550
19	Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20	NARINE ARUTUNYAN	
21	10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352	
22	323 W. Jackson St., #207	
23	Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,	
24		
25	Derrordente	
26	Respondents.	
-27		
28		
		1
		STIPULATED SETTLEME

 $\equiv$ 

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

### PARTIES

 Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Linda L. Sun, Deputy Attorney General.

On or about October 17, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration
 Number 86550 to Narine Arutunyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was
 in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
 January 31, 2010, unless renewed. Respondent is represented in this proceeding by attorney
 Herbert L. Weinberg, whose address is McGuire Woods LLP, 1800 Century Park East, 8th Floor,
 Los Angeles, CA 90067.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

### **JURISDICTION**

Accusation No. 3353 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against
 Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served
 on Respondent on May 12, 2009. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the
 Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3353 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by
 reference.

20

### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

4. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the
 charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3353. Respondent has also carefully read, fully
 discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
 Order.

5. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at
its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to
present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to

compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration 1 and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California 2 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. 3 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and 4 5 every right set forth above. **CULPABILITY** 6 Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation 7. 7 No. 3353. 8 8. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacy Technician Registration is subject to discipline 9 and she agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary 10 Order below. 11 CONTINGENCY 12 9. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent 13 understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may 14 communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to 15 or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent 16 understands and agrees that she may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation 17 prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation 18 as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or 19 effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, 20 and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter. 21 The parties understand and agree that electronic or facsimile copies of this Stipulated 10. 22 Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including electronic or facsimile signatures thereto, shall have 23 the same force and effect as the originals. 24 In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that 25 11. the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following 26 Disciplinary Order: 27 ///

3

28

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (3353)

### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 86550 issued to Respondent Narine Arutunyan is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. **Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations substantially related to or governing the practice of pharmacy.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within 72 hours of such occurrence:

9 • an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
10 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances
11 laws

a plea of guilty or nolo contendere in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any
criminal complaint, information or indictment

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

• a conviction of any crime

• discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state and federal agency
which involves Respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the
manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distribution or billing or charging for any drug, device or
controlled substance.

Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report
 shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of
 perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the
 final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until
 such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.

3. Interview with the Board. Upon receipt of reasonable notice, Respondent shall
appear in person for interviews with the Board upon request at various intervals at a location to be
determined by the Board. Failure to appear for a scheduled interview without prior notification to
Board staff shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. **Cooperation with Board Staff.** Respondent shall cooperate with the Board's inspectional program and in the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to comply shall be considered a violation of probation.

Notice to Employers. Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers 5. 5 of the decision in Accusation No. 3353 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on 6 Respondent by the decision. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 7 days of Respondent undertaking new employment, Respondent shall cause her direct supervisor, 8 pharmacist-in-charge and/or owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer 9 has read the decision in Accusation No. 3353. If Respondent works for or is employed by or 10 through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent must notify the direct supervisor, 11 pharmacist-in-charge, and/or owner at every pharmacy of the terms conditions of the decision in 12 Accusation No. 3353 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each pharmacy. 13

14 "Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time,
15 temporary, relief or other service as a pharmacy technician or pharmacy employee, whether the
16 Respondent is considered an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

6. Reimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of
investigation and prosecution in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall
be permitted to make installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to pay such costs
shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of her responsibility
to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

7. Probation Monitoring Costs. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with
probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs
shall be payable to the Board at the end of each year of probation. Failure to pay such costs shall
be considered a violation of probation.

5

27 ///

1

2

3

4

28 ///

8. **Status of License**. Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active current license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled.

1

2

3

4

5

6

If Respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication, Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

9. License Surrender while on Probation/Suspension. Following the effective date of
this decision, should Respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise
unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender her license to the
Board for surrender. The Board shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for
surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance
of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions
of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish her pocket license to the
Board within 10 days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may
not reapply for any license from the Board for three years from the effective date of the surrender.
Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the
application for that license is submitted to the Board.

10. Notification of Employment/Mailing Address Change. Respondent shall notify the
 Board in writing within 10 days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the
 reasons for leaving and/or the address of the new employer, supervisor or owner and work
 schedule if known. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of a change in
 name, mailing address or phone number.

11. Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason
cease working as a pharmacy technician for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month in
California, Respondent must notify the Board in writing within 10 days of cessation of working
as a pharmacy technician or the resumption of working as a pharmacy technician. Such periods
of time shall not apply to the reduction of the probation period. It is a violation of probation for

Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a period exceeding three years.

"Cessation of practice" means any period of time exceeding 30 days in which Respondent is not working as a pharmacy technician as defined in Section 4115 of the Business and Professions Code.

6 12. Violation of Probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board,
7 after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry
8 out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is
9 filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the
10 period of probation shall be extended, until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard
11 and decided.

12 If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall 13 have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended until 14 all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed 15 appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and 16 to impose the penalty which was stayed.

17 13. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's
18 license will be fully restored.

19 14. Board Approval of Employment. Respondent shall obtain prior approval from the
20 Board before starting any position in any Board-licensed facility in which she intends to work,
21 whether paid or unpaid.

15. No Ownership of Premises. Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial
interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of
any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board.
Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the
Board within 90 days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately
thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board.

28

///

1

2

3

4

5

# 20-10:(22-mm) NOITARUD \* : CISD \* \$287:2100 \* 8XATTHOIS:RVE \* [9mit tripited material material were sold to construct a dvor \* no address in the sold material materi

ACCEPTANCE ł I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully 2 discussed it with my attorney, Herbert L. Welnberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it 3 will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration License. I enter into this Stipulated 4 Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be 5 bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. 6 7 DATED: 1.02/09 8 VARINE ARUTUNYAN 9 Respondent I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Narine Arutunyan the terms and 10 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. 11 12 I approve its form and content. DATED: 13 HERBERT WEINBERG 14 Attorney for Respondent 15 ENDORSEMENT 16 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully 17 submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 18 19 Respectfully \$ubmitted, Dated: -23-09 20 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California 21 GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General 22 23 LINDA L. SUN 24 Deputy Attorney General Autorneys for Complainant 25 26 LA2009602725 27 60427526.doc 28 6 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (3353)

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3353

 $\bigcirc$ 

		•
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	
2	of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
. 3	LINDA L. SUN, State Bar No. 207108 Deputy Attorney General	
. 4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
5	Telephone: (213) 897-6375	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE TH BOARD OF PHAI	
9°	DEPARTMENT OF CONS	UMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALII	FORMA
11		
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3353
12	VERMONT PHARMACY & MEDICAL	OAH No. L-2009040779
13	SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, Owner TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, Pharmacist-in-Charge	
14	1012 N. Vermont Ave.	
15	Los Angeles, CA 90029	
16	6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606	ACCUSATION
	Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,	
17	TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO	
18	30572 Sparrow Hawk Canyon Lake, CA 92587	
19	Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293,	
20	NARINE ARUTUNYAN	
21	10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352	
22		
	323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206	
23	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,	
24		
25		
26	Respondents.	
 27		
×		Y Y
28		

Complainant alleges:

### PARTIES

1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about October 30, 2006, the Board issued Retail Pharmacy License б 7 Number 48275 to Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies ("Respondent Vermont Pharmacy"), 8 with Hakop Demirchyan as owner, and Trinidad M. Bagoyo ("Respondent Bagoyo") as 9 Pharmacist-in-Charge. The Retail Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times 10 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 1, 2009, unless renewed. On or about May 11, 2009, an Interim Suspension Order was issued against Respondent Vermont 11 Pharmacy, suspending it from operating as a pharmacy pending a full administrative 12 13 determination of the charges alleged herein. (Exhibit 1.)

On or about November 6, 1961, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist
 License Number 22293 to Respondent Bagoyo. The Registered Pharmacist License was in full
 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31,
 2010, unless renewed. On or about May 5, 2009, Respondent Bagoyo signed a "Stipulated
 Interim Suspension of License", to which she agreed that her Registered Pharmacist License was
 temporarily suspended pending a full administrative determination of the charges alleged herein.
 (Exhibit 2.)

4. On or about October 17, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician
 Registration Number 86550 to Narine Arutunyan ("Respondent Arutunyan"). The Pharmacy
 Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
 herein and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless renewed. On or about May 11, 2009, an
 Interim Suspension Order was issued against Respondent Arutunyan, suspending her from
 practice pending a full administrative determination of the charges alleged herein. (Exhibit 1.)
 ///

28

1

2

3

4

5

1	JURISDICTION
2	5. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the
3	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless
4	otherwise indicated.
5	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
6	6. Code section 4300, subdivision (a) states:
7	"Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
8	7. Code section 4110, subdivision (a) states:
9	"No person shall conduct a pharmacy in the State of California unless he or she
10	has obtained a license from the board. A license shall be required for each pharmacy owned or
11	operated by a specific person. A separate license shall be required for each of the premises of
12	any person operating a pharmacy in more than one location. The license shall be renewed
13	annually. The board may, by regulation, determine the circumstances under which a license may
14	be transferred."
15	8. Code section 4105, subdivision (a) states:
16	"All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of
17	dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on
18	the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form."
19	9. Code section 4201, subdivision (f) states:
20	"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the pharmacy license shall authorize
21	the holder to conduct a pharmacy. The license shall be renewed annually and shall not be
22	transferrable."
23	10. Code section 4301 states:
24	"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of
25	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or
26	issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the
27	following:
28	

Accusation

"(c)	Gross	negligence
	01099	nogingonoo

2	
3	"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or
4	abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the
5	applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations
6	established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."
7	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>
8	11. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:
9	"Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a
10	disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department the board may request the
11	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations
12	of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
13	enforcement of the case."
14	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Unlicensed Activities)
16	12. Respondents Vermont Pharmacy, Bagoyo and Arutunyan are subject to
17	disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating Code sections 4110,
18	subdivision (a) and 4201, subdivision (f), in that Respondents operated Respondent Vermont
19	Pharmacy without a valid permit, and relocated the pharmacy without Board approval. The
20	circumstances are as follows:
21	a. On or about November 7, 2008, the Board received a Community
22	Pharmacy Permit Application ("Application") and related documents for change of ownership of
23	Respondent Vermont Pharmacy. The proposed new owner/buyer/president is Armen Grigorian
24	("Applicant Grigorian"), with Respondent Bagoyo as the Pharmacist-in-Charge, and Respondent
25	Arutunyan as the secretary and co-owner. Pending issuance of a new permit, from about
26	September, 2008 to February, 2009, Applicant Grigorian, Respondent Bagoyo and/or Respondent
27	Arutunyan ordered and dispensed drugs under the former owner's permit. The corporate and
. 28	
	Accusation

financial documents Applicant Grigorian submitted show that the sale of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy had already occurred in October, 2008, and Respondents had been operating Respondent Vermont Pharmacy without Board approval.

b. On or about March 4, 2009, the Board received additional documents from
Applicant Grigorian, including a new Community Pharmacy Permit Application and related
documents, all signed on February 18, 2009, requesting a change of location of Respondent
Vermont Pharmacy from 1012 N. Vermont Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90029 to 6320 Laurel
Canyon Blvd., North Hollywood, CA 91606. Pending Board approval of the change of location,
Respondents had already relocated Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to North Hollywood as of
about January, 2009.

c. On or about March 26, 2009, the Board conducted an inspection of
 Respondent Vermont Pharmacy at its new location, 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd., North
 Hollywood, CA 91606. Applicant Grigorian informed the inspectors that he purchased the
 pharmacy in September, 2008 and took over the business on October 1, 2008.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Pharmacy Records on Licensed Premise)

17 13. Respondents Vermont Pharmacy, Bagoyo and Arutunyan are subject to
18 disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o) for violating Code section 4105,
19 subdivision (a), in that during the Board inspection on March 26, 2009, pharmacy records were
20 found on the unlicensed premise in North Hollywood.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Gross Negligence)

14. Respondent Bagoyo is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
4301, subdivision (c) for gross negligence, the circumstances are as follows:

a. On March 26, 2009, during the inspection of the unlicensed premise in
North Hollywood, Respondent Bagoyo informed Board inspectors that she knew the pharmacy
moved, that she inventoried and packed the drugs but she did not know where the drugs or

28

1

2

3

15

16

21

22

-	
1	pharmacy records were.
2	b. From about September, 2008 to February, 2009, Respondent Bagoyo as
3	Pharmacist-in-Charge, dispensed, ordered drugs and/or otherwise operated or allowed
4	Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to operate without a valid permit.
5	c. In about January, 2009, Respondent Bagoyo as Pharmacist-in-Charge,
6	assisted and/or allowed Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to be relocated without Board approval.
7	PRAYER
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters
9	herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
10	1. Revoking or suspending Retail Pharmacy License Number 48275, issued
11	to Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies; Trinidad M. Bagoyo, Pharmacist-in-Charge;
12	2. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacy License Number 22293,
13	issued to Trinidad M. Bagoyo;
14	3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration umber 86550,
15	issued to Narine Arutunyan;
16	4. Order Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies, Trinidad M. Bagoyo and
17	Narinen Arutunyan to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and
18	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
19	5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
20	
21	DATED: 5-11-09
22	WITCH WE ALL TEN
23	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
24	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
25	State of California Complainant
26	Attachments: Exhibit 1 (Order Granting Interim Suspension)
27	Exhibit 2 (Stipulated Interim Suspension of License) LA2009602725
28	60409026.wpd
	Accusation

# **EXHIBIT** 1

Case No. 3353

OAH No. 2009040779

In the Matter of the Petition for Order of Interim Suspension Against:

VERMONT PHARMACY & MEDICAL SUPPLIES; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, Pharmacist-in-Charge

Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,

TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO

Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293,

NARINE ARUTUNYAN

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,

Respondent.

### ORDER GRANTING INTERIM SUSPENSION

On May 8, 2009, at Los Angeles, California, the Petition of Virginia Herold (Petitioner), Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board) for issuance of an Interim Order of Suspension, came on for hearing before H. Stuart Waxman, Administrative Law Judge with the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Linda L. Sun, Deputy Attorney General, represented Petitioner.

111

Respondents, Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies and Narine Arutunyan. (Respondents) were represented by Herbert L. Weinberg, Attorney at Law. The corporate entity Mr. Weinberg represented was the Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies presently seeking licensure under the new ownership of Armen Grigorian and Narine Arutunyan.

Mr. Weinberg did not represent the presently licensed Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies owned by Hakop Demirchian. No appearance was made by or on behalf of that entity.

Respondent, Trinidad M. Bagoyo, did not appear at the hearing. However, on May 5, 2009, she signed a "Stipulated Interim Suspension of License" agreement, according to which she agreed that her Registered Pharmacist License Number 22293 was temporarily suspended pending the resolution of the administrative proceeding. Ms. Bagoyo having agreed to an interim suspension of her registered pharmacist license, the matter proceeded against Respondents Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies and Narine Arutunyan only.

The written evidence and legal argument submitted by Petitioner<sup>1</sup> having been read, heard and considered, and after oral argument, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following Order:

### FACTUAL FINDINGS

The Administrative Law Judge makes the following Factual Findings:

Respondents do not dispute the factual allegations set forth in the Petition for Interim Suspension Order. Those allegations are set forth verbatim below, and are incorporated herein as factual findings.

On or about November 7, 2008, the Board received a Community Pharmacy Permit Application ("Application") for change of ownership of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy from Hakop Demirchian to Armen Grigorian ("Applicant Grigorian") and Respondent Arutunyan. Pending issuance of a new permit, new owners Applicant Grigorian and Respondent Arutunyan, along with Pharmacist-in-Charge Respondent Bagoyo have been operating Respondent Vermont Pharmacy by dispensing and ordering dangerous drugs and controlled substances without a permit, and ha[ve] relocated Respondent Vermont Pharmacy from Los Angeles to North Hollywood without prior Board approval.

[¶] . . . [¶]

111 -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No opposition papers were filed.

1. Petitioner is duly appointed and serving as Executive Officer of the Board, and files this Petition in her official capacity.

2. On or about October 30, 2006, the Board issued Retail Pharmacy License Number 48275 to Respondent Vermont Pharmacy, with Halcop Demirch[y]an as owner and Respondent Bagoyo as Pharmacist-In-Charge. The Retail Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 1, 2009, unless renewed....

3. On or about November 6, 1961, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License Number 22293 to Respondent Bagoyo. The Registered Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2010, unless renewed...

4. On or about October 17, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 86550 to Respondent Arutunyan. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless renewed....

[¶] . . . [¶]

11. On or about November 7, 2008, the Board received the Application and related documents from Applicant Grigorian for change of ownership for Respondent Vermont Pharmacy. The proposed new owner/buyer/president is Applicant Grigorian, with Respondent Bagoyo as the pharmacist-in-charge, and Respondent Arutunyan as the secretary and co-owner. The seller/former owner is Hakop Demirch[y]an. The Application is still pending before the Board.

12. On the Certification of Personnel submitted along with the Application, Applicant Grigorian signed under penalty of perjury on November 6, 2008 and checked "No" to the following question:

"Have you ever been convicted of, or pled no contest to, a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States, any state or local jurisdiction? You must include all misdemeanor and felony convictions, regardless of the age of the conviction, including those which have been set aside and/or dismissed under Penal Code section 1000 or 1203.4. (Traffic violations of \$500 or less need not be reported.) If 'yes', please attach an explanation which must include the type of violation, the date, circumstances and location, and the complete penalty received."

13. On or about November 20, 2008, the Board notified Applicant Grigorian that a permit was ready to be issued upon receipt of additional documents from Applicant Grigorian showing that the sale of the pharmacy had occurred.

14. On or about November 24, 2008, the Board received a notification of subsequent arrests and convictions on Applicant Grigorian and referred the case to the Board's enforcement unit for investigation. Subsequent investigation revealed that Applicant Grigorian was convicted of the following crimes but failed to disclose them on the Certification of Personnel. This constitutes a violation of [Business and Professions] Code<sup>[2</sup>]</sup> section 4301, subdivision (g):

a. On or about August 24, 1990, in the Newport Beach Municipal Court, Applicant Grigorian was convicted of a violation of Penal Code section 12020, subdivision (a) – possess/manufacture/sell dangerous weapon, a misdemeanor;

b. On or about April 26, 1993, in the East Los Angeles Municipal Court, Applicant Grigorian was convicted of a violation of Penal Code section 12025, subdivision (a) – carry concealed weapon in vehicle, a misdemeanor;

c. On or about January 17, 2008, in the Redwood City Municipal Court, Applicant Grigorian was convicted of a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) – driving under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor.

15. From about September, 2008 to February, 2009, Applicant Grigorian, Respondent Bagoyo and/or Respondent Arutunyan ordered and dispensed drugs without having received a permit from the Board. This is [a] violation of Code sections 4110, subdivision (a) and 4201, subdivision (f).

111

111

111

||| |||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated,

16. On or about March 4, 2009, the Board received additional documents from Applicant Grigorian, including a new Community Pharmacy Permit application and Certification of Personnel, both signed on February 18, 2009, requesting a change of location. The corporate and financial documents Applicant Grigorian submitted show that the sale of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy had already occurred in October, 2008, and the new owners ha[d] been operating Respondent Vermont Pharmacy without Board approval, a violation of Code sections 4110, subdivision (a) and 4201, subdivision (f). The documents also indicate that Respondent Vermont Pharmacy had already been relocated to 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd., North Hollywood, CA 91606 as of about January, 2009 without Board approval. This constitutes an impermissible transfer of permit, a violation of Code section 4201, subdivision (f).

17. On the new Certification of Personnel, Applicant Grigorian signed under penalty of perjury on February 18, 2009 and checked "No" to the question:

"Have you ever been convicted of, or pled no contest to, a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States, any state or local jurisdiction? You must include all misdemeanor and felony convictions, regardless of the age of the conviction, including those which have been set aside and/or dismissed under Penal Code section 1000 or 1203.4. (Traffic violations of \$500 or less need not be reported.) If 'yes', please attach an explanation which must include the type of violation, the date, circumstances and location, and the complete penalty received."

As referenced above, subsequent investigation revealed that Applicant Grigorian suffered three (3) convictions but failed to disclose them on the new Certification of Personnel. This constitutes a violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (g).

18. On or about March 26, 2009, the Board conducted an inspection of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy at its new location, 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd., North Hollywood, CA 91606. Applicant Grigorian informed the inspectors that he purchased the pharmacy in September, 2008 and took over the business on October 1, 2008. This is [a] violation of Code section 4110, subdivision (a) and 4201, subdivision (f). Pharmacy records were found on the unlicensed premise[s] in North Hollywood, a violation of Code section 4105, subdivision (a).

5

111<sub>.</sub> 111 19. During a telephonic interview with Board inspectors on March 26, 2009. Respondent Bagoyo confirmed that she knew the pharmacy moved, and she inventoried and packed the drugs but did not know where the drugs or pharmacy records were. This constitutes gross negligence and a violation of Code section 4301, subdivision (c). Respondent Bagoyo also violated Code section 4201, subdivision (f) by allowing former owner Hakop Demirchyan to transfer ownership to Applicant Grigorian without Board approval, and by allowing Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to operate without a permit.

20. During the inspection, Pharmacist and Technician Marine Khachatryan<sup>3</sup> (TCH-27156), speaking on behalf of owner Respondent Arutunyan, informed the Board inspectors that the pharmacy was properly licensed and showed the inspectors a renewal permit which belonged to Respondent Vermont Pharmacy under the former ownership.

21. Board inspectors issued Applicant Grigorian a cease-and-desist order until such time as Respondent Vermont Pharmacy was properly licensed, and ordered the records and computers be moved to a Board-licensed premise.

[¶] · · · [¶]

22. Applicant Grigorian, Respondent Bagoyo and Respondent Arutunyan have been ordering and dispensing controlled substances and dangerous drugs without a valid permit since September, 2008, and had relocated Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to an unlicensed premise[s] without prior Board approval. The Applications for change of ownership and location are currently under investigation because Applicant Grigorian failed to disclose three (3) convictions in the Application.

### LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

1. Respondents have engaged in acts or omissions constituting violations of the California Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.).

2. Permitting Respondents to continue to engage in the licensed activity would endanger the public health, safety and/or welfare.

111

///

///

<sup>3</sup> Pharmacist Technician Marine Khachatryan is not charged in this Petition.

3. As indicated above, Respondent Bagoyo stipulated to an interim suspension of her pharmacist's license. Respondents Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies and Narine Arutunyan made the following arguments in opposition to the Petition for Interim Suspension Order:

a. The violations that occurred were inadvertent and were due to poor understanding of the English language by Respondent Arutunyan and Applicant Grigorian. The application was filled out by a consultant and was signed without reading it.

b. Respondent Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies is not open for business and is not yet licensed. Therefore, its license cannot be suspended by an interim suspension order.

c. Respondent Arutunyan is the sole owner of the shares of the corporate entity seeking licensure. Respondent Arutunyan is not presently working.

d. Approximately 1.5 years ago, the Board changed its policy by declining to issue a permit for change of ownership of a pharmacy until after the pharmacy, under the prior ownership, has closed. Therefore, new owners operating under the old permit does not constitute a violation of the pharmacy law.

e. Respondents concede that Respondent Bagoyo was not on-site performing her duties as pharmacist-in-charge while Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies was operating under new ownership. They argue, however, that under California law, a pharmacy may operate without a pharmacist-in-charge for 120 days.

f. Although the new owners moved the pharmacy without a permit to do so, they did not sell drugs at the new location, and no drugs were ever present in the new location. In fact, at the time of the March 26, 2009 inspection, no sign was posted to indicate that a pharmacy was present at that location.

g. Respondents' counsel offered to present testimony to support the above arguments.

4. Respondents' arguments were not persuasive for the following reasons:

a. Respondents were responsible for the accuracy of all documents submitted to the Board. The facts that the consultant provided inaccurate information and that Respondents failed to read the documents, or translated for them, before signing, inures to their detriment in that they are vicariously liable for the wrongdoing of their agent. (*Rob-Mac, Inc. v. Department of Motor Vehicles* (1983) 148 Cal.App.3d 793, 797; *Camacho v. Youde* (1979) 95 Cal.App.3d 161, 165.)

7

b. Respondents are correct that the Board cannot suspend the pharmacy's license held by the new owners because the application for that license is still pending, and the license has not been issued. However, the Board does not seek to suspend that unissued license. It seeks to suspend the presently existing license held by Hakop Demirch[y]an.

c. Respondents concede that, although Respondent Arutunyan is not presently working, she is not precluded from doing so at any time.

d (1). Respondents offered no evidence to support their claim that the Board has changed its policy regarding pharmacy closure prior to the issuance of a change of ownership permit. However, regardless of whether the Board requires closure of a pharmacy before permitting a change of ownership, the clear language of the statute controls. Code section 4110, states in relevant part:

(a) No person shall conduct a pharmacy in the State of California unless he or she has obtained a license from the board. A license shall be required for each pharmacy owned or operated by a specific person. A separate license shall be required for each of the premises of any person operating a pharmacy in more than one location. The license shall be renewed annually. The board may, by regulation, determine the circumstances under which a license may be transferred.

(b) The board may, at its discretion, issue a temporary permit, when the ownership of a pharmacy is transferred from one person to another, upon the conditions and for any periods of time as the board determines to be in the public interest.

d (2). The statute prohibits an entity from operating a pharmacy until it has been licensed to do so by the Board, except when the Board issues a temporary permit allowing the entity to operate pending license application approval. The issuance of a temporary permit is within the Board's discretion. Respondents were prohibited from operating or moving Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies because they were neither licensed nor permitted to do so.

d (3). The fact that Respondent Arutunyan and Applicant Grigorian held themselves out as the owners of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies, and ordered and dispensed controlled substances and dangerous drugs through that pharmacy while their license application was pending, reinforces the importance of suspending the license of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies in order to protect the public pending the final disposition of this case,

111

e. Respondents are correct that a pharmacy may operate without a designated pharmacist-in-charge for a period not to exceed 120 days. However, during the period that the pharmacy is so operating, an interim pharmacist-in-charge must be designated. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 1709.1, subd. (e).) That was not done in this case. Further, the designation and presence of a pharmacist-in-charge presupposes proper licensure or a temporary permit to operate. In this case, Respondents held neither a pharmacy license nor a temporary permit. They were therefore not authorized to operate a pharmacy whether or not a designated pharmacist-in-charge was on site.

f. The facts in the Petition for Interim Suspension Order to which Respondents stipulated as true belie their argument that drugs were not sold from the Laurel Canyon location. Paragraph 16 of the Petition alleges that the pharmacy's location was moved from the Los Angeles address to the North Hollywood address "as of about January, 2009..." and that Applicant Grigorian, Respondent Bagoyo and/or Respondent Arutunyan ordered and dispensed drugs, without a permit issued by the Board, between approximately September 2008 and February 2009. Further, even had Respondents been correct that no drugs were ordered or dispensed from the North Hollywood location, that fact could serve only as a factor in mitigation to the numerous and serious violations of the pharmacy law that occurred in this case.<sup>4</sup>

111	-			•			•	
<u> </u> ]]]			•					
- 1//							•	
111			•	۰ ۰		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	
	÷.		·			4		
		• • •	•					
- 111						•		
///		• •					· .	
///			• •			·		
111					·			

<sup>4</sup> Respondents are correct that no drugs were located on the premises in North Hollywood at the time of the March 26, 2009 inspection, and no sign was posted indicating the presence of a pharmacy. Those facts also constitute factors in mitigation. However, the facts offered in mitigation are insufficient to overcome the evidence favoring interim license suspensions in this case.

g. Although Respondent's counsel stated in his argument that he could offer witnesses to testify in support of his argument, no attempt to do so was made either by way of live testimony or by way of declaration or affidavit. Therefore, any factual claims made in Respondents' argument were unsupported by the evidence. Further, unlike Government Code section 11529<sup>5</sup>, which provides the Administrative Law Judge discretion to allow oral testimony during the hearing, Business and Professions Code section 494, under which the present action has been brought, allows no such discretion<sup>6</sup>.

### ORDER

### WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

1. The Petition for Interim Order of Suspension is granted.

2. Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275, issued to Respondent, Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies, and Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550, issued to Respondent Narine Arutunyan, and all licensing rights appurtenant thereto, are suspended pending a full administrative determination of Respondents' fitness to practice pharmacy.

111 111 111 111

///

<sup>5</sup> Government Code section 11529 addresses petitions for interim suspension orders brought against physicians and members of the allied health professions.

<sup>6</sup> Government Code section 11529, subdivision (c)(3), states in relevant part: "The discretion of the administrative law judge to permit testimony at the hearing conducted pursuant to this section shall be identical to the discretion of a superior court judge to permit testimony at a hearing conducted pursuant to Section 527 of the Code of Civil Procedure." Business and Professions Code section 494 does not contain a similar provision. Pursuant to subdivision (d) of that statute, a respondent's rights at the hearing on a petition for interim suspension order are limited to the following: "(d) At the hearing on the petition for an interim order, the licentiate may: (1) Be represented by counsel. (2) Have a record made of the proceedings, copies of which shall be available to the licentiate upon payment of costs computed in accordance with the provisions for transcript costs for judicial review contained in Section 11523 of the Government Code. (3) Present affidavits and other documentary evidence. (4) Present oral argument."

3. Respondents, and, in the case of Vermont Pharmacy & Medical Supplies, its owners, operators, officers and/or directors, shall not:

a. Practice or attempt to practice any aspect of pharmacy in the State of California until the decision of the Board following an administrative hearing;

b. Be present in any location which is maintained for the purpose of pharmacy, or at which pharmacy is practiced, for any purpose, except as a patient;

c. Advertise, by any means, or hold themselves out as practicing or available to practice pharmacy.

4. Respondents shall, within seven days of the date of this order, deliver to the Board, or its agent, for safekeeping pending a final administrative order of the Board in this matter, all indicia of licensure as a pharmacy and/or pharmacy technician, including, but not limited to, their wall certificates and wallet cards issued by the Board.

5. Petitioner shall, within 15 days of the issuance of this order, file and serve an Accusation in conformance with Government Code section 11505, against Respondents on the charges herein alleged.

DATED: May 11, 2009

2 mar

H. STUART WAXMAN Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

### DECLARATION OF SERVICE

### Case Name: VERMONT PIIARMACY & MEDICAL SUPPLIES: TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO; NARINE ARUTUNYAN

OAH No.: 2009040779

I. Rosario Magalit, declare as follows: I am over 18 years of age and am not a party to this action. I am employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. My business address is 320 W. Fourth Street, Suite 630. Los Angeles, California. On May 11, 2009, I served a copy of the following document(s) in the action entitled above:

### ORDER GRANTING INTERIM SUSPENSION

to each of the person(s) named below at the addresses listed after each name by the following method(s):

Fax No.: (213) 897-2804

Fax No.: (310) 315-8210

Linda L. Sun, Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013

Herbert L. Weinberg, Attorney at Law McGuireWoods LLP 1800 Century Park East, 8th Floor Los Angelos, CA 90067

United States Minil. I enclosed the document(s) in a scaled envelope or package addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) listed above, and placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, in accordance with the Office of Administrative Hearings' ordinary business practices, in Los Angeles, California, I um readily familiar with the Office of Administrative Hearings' practice for collecting and processing documents for multing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and motiling, it is deposed in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postul Service in a scaled envelope or package with postage fully prepaid [ ] by certified mall).

Overnight Delivery. I enclosed the above-described document(s) in a scaled envelope or package addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) listed above, and placed the envelope or package with overnight delivery tees noted at an office or a location regularly utilized for collection and overnight delivery by an authorized overnight delivery courier.

K Fax Transmission. I personally transmitted the above-described document(s) to the person(s) at the fax number(s) listed above, from fax muchine number (213) 576-7244, pursuant to Government Code section 11440.20 and California Code of Regulations, title 1, section 1008, subdivision (d). The fax transmission was reported as complete and without error. A copy of the transmission report showing the date and time of transmission, properly issued by the transmitting machine, is attached to this declaration of service.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was executed at Los Angeles, California on May 11, 2009:

Kalio Hagalit Rosario Magalit, Deplarant

# EXHIBIT 2

· ·

. . .

•

		. 1
1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
-	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
. 3	LINDA L. SUN, State Bar No. 207108 Deputy Attorney General	
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
5	Telephone: (213) 897-6375 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
、6	Attorneys for Petitioner	
• 7.		
8	BEFORE T BOARD OF PH	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CON	SUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Interim Suspension Order Against:	Case No. 3353
13	VERMONT PHARMACY & MEDICAL	STIPULATED INTERIM
14	SUPPLIES; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, Pharmacist-in-Charge	SUSPENSION OF LICENSE
15	1012 N. Vermont Ave.	
	Los Angeles, CA 90029	
16	6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606	As to:
17	Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,	
18	TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO 30572 Sparrow Hawk	TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, Respondent
19	Canyon Lake, CA 92587	
20	Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293,	
· · 21	NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St.	
22	Sun Valley, CA 91352	Date: May 8, 2009 Time: 1:30 P.M.
	323 W. Jackson St., #207	Place: Office of Administrative Hearings
23	Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630 Los Angeles, CA 90013
. 24 (		
25		
26	Respondents.	
27		
28		
	STIPULATED INTERIM SUSP	ENSION OF LICENSE
	ļ _	

•

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties
2	specified in this agreement that the following matters are true:
3	PARTIES
4	1. Virginia Herold ("Petitioner") is the Executive Officer of the Board of
5	Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board"), State of California. She brought this
б	action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr.,
7	Attorney General of the State of California, by Linda L. Sun, Deputy Attorney General.
8	2. On or about November 6, 1961, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist
9	License Number 22293 to Trinidad M. Bagoyo ("Respondent Bagoyo"). The Registered
10	Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein
11	and will expire on May 31, 2010, unless renewed.
12	3. Respondent Bagoyo is representing herself in this proceeding and has
13	chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.
14	JURISDICTION
15	4. In the Matter of the Petition for Interim Suspension Order Against
16	Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies et. al. ("Petition"), Case No. 3353 was filed before the
17	Board, and is currently pending against Respondent Bagoyo.
18.	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS
19	5. Respondent Bagoyo has carefully read, and understands the charges and
20	allegations in the Petition, Case No. 3353. Respondent Bagoyo has also carefully read, and
21	understands the effects of this Stipulated Interim Suspension of License.
22	6. Respondent Bagoyo is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter,
23	including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Petition; the right to be
24	represented by counsel at her own expense, the right to present affidavits, documentary evidence
25	and oral argument at the hearing; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative
26	Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
27	
28	STIPULATED INTERIM SUSPENSION OF LICENSE 2

Respondent Bagoyo voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and 7. 1 2 gives up each and every right set forth above. STIPULATION 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that Registered Pharmacist License Number 4 5 22293 issued to Trinidad M. Bagoyo is temporarily suspended pending resolution of the administrative proceedings. б 7 1. The interim suspension of Respondent Bagoyo's Registered Pharmacist License shall constitute imposition of discipline against Respondent Bagoyo. This stipulation 8 9 constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent Bagovo's license

10 history with the Board;

Respondent Bagoyo shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacist in
 California as of the date of this fully executed Stipulated Interim Suspension of License until the
 resolution of an accusation to be filed before the Board against Respondent Bagoyo's license;
 Respondent Bagoyo shall not be present in any location which is
 maintained for the purpose of pharmacy, or at which pharmacy is practiced, for any purpose,
 except as a patient;

Respondent Bagoyo shall not advertise, by any means, or hold herself out
 as practicing or available to practice pharmacy during the pendency of the administrative
 proceeding; and until any disciplinary action which will be filed by the Board becomes final;

5. Respondent Bagoyo shall, within 48 hours of executing this Stipulated
 Interim Surrender of License, deliver to the Board, or its agent, for safekeeping pending a final
 administrative order of the Board in this matter, all indicia of her licensure as a registered
 pharmacist, including, but not limited to, her wall certificate and wallet card issued by the Board.

6. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated
Suspension of License, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and
effect as the originals.

27 ///

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED	
2	I have carefully read and fully understand the stipulations set forth above. I	
3	understand that as a result of this Stipulated Interim Suspension of License, the Board of	
4	Pharmacy will issue a decision which includes findings that may subject my license to discipline.	
5	I enter into this Stipulated Interim Suspension of License voluntarily, knowingly, and	
б	intelligently, and agree to be bound by the conditions in this agreement.	
7	DATED: 5/5/09	
8	Srinidad M. Bagoyo TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO	
9	Respondent	
10		
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED	
12	DATED: 5/6/09	
13	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
14	GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
15	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
16		
17	NIL NI	
18	LINDA I. SUN Deputy Attorney General	
19	Attorneys for Complainant	
20		
21	6 4 1000 000 00 C	
22	LA2009602725 Brgoyc ISO Stip.wpi	
23		
. 24		
. 25		
26		
27		
28	STIPULATED INTERIM SUSPENSION OF LICENSE	
	4	