1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-0378	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant	•
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9		
10	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3913
12	ROBERT ANTHONY JUARROS	ACCUSATION
13	P.O. Box 1733 Elk Grove, California 95757	
14	Pharmacy Technician License TCH 39870	X.
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about October 9, 2001, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
22	License No. TCH 39870 to Robert Anthony Juarros (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
23	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
24	expire on August 31, 2011, unless renewed.	
25	111	
26		
27	1.11	
27	1.11	
28		

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
 - 5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

•

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

26

27

28

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- 6. Section 4059 of the Code, subdivision (a) states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturo-pathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7"
- 7. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Criminal Convictions)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (I) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent suffered the criminal convictions substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician. Respondent's criminal convictions and their circumstances described below.
- (a) On or about August 12, 2010, Respondent was convicted by his plea of no contest to violation of Penal Code section 148(A)(1) (obstruction of a peace officer), a misdemeanor, and Penal Code section 594(A) (vandalism), a misdemeanor, in *People v. Robert Anthony Juarros*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 10M04985.

The circumstances were that on or about July 22, 2010, Elk Grove Police Department officers were dispatched to a bar in response to reports that Respondent was assaulting customers at a bar. At that time, Respondent was intoxicated, failed to comply with the lawful commands of a peace officer in the performance of his duties, assaulted police and after being taken into custody, kicked out the window of the patrol vehicle.

(b) On or about August 12, 2010, Respondent was convicted by his plea of no contest to violation of Vehicle Code 23152(B) (driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs with a blood alcohol exceeding .08 percent), a misdemeanor, and Penal Code section 148(A)(1) (obstruction of a peace officer), a misdemeanor, in *People v. Robert Anthony Juarros*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 10M02492.

The circumstances were that on or about April 4, 2010, Elk Grove Police Department officers responded to motorist reports of a reckless driver running red lights and stop signs. The reporting motorist followed the green Mazda 626 that Respondent was driving to an AM/PM market parking lot in Elk Grove. When police confronted Respondent inside the AM/PM market, Respondent was in an intoxicated condition. Thereafter, Respondent disobeyed the lawful commands of a peace officer and attempted to flee on foot. A physical altercation with police

ensued, with Respondent being taken into custody after use of a Taser and physical restraint of Respondent by two peace officers.

(c) On or about February 5, 2002, Respondent was convicted by his plea of no contest to violation of Vehicle Code 23152(A) (driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs), a misdemeanor, in *People v. Robert Anthony Juarros*, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 01T04808.

The circumstances were that on or about October 9, 2001, Respondent was arrested by California Highway Patrol officers for driving a motor vehicle under the influence of alcohol or drugs. Respondent's blood alcohol level at that time was .22 percent.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Violation of State Law Regulating Controlled Substances and Dangerous Drugs)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) for unprofessional conduct in that on or about January 26, 2010, Respondent violated Business & Professions Code section 4059, subdivision (a), by furnishing tablets of Zeprexa, a dangerous drug, to himself without a prescription.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Use of Alcoholic Beverages)

10. Paragraph 8 above is incorporated by reference. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) for unprofessional conduct in that as alleged in Paragraph 8 above, Respondent used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs Respondent's ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his pharmacy technician license.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

///