1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC
. 4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3154 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
12	CHRISTINA IVY MORELAND  Case No. 3900
13	2190 Ellington Drive Corona, CA 92880
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH  A C C U S A T I O N
15	86174
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about September 24, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration Number TCH 86174 to Christina Ivy Moreland (Respondent). The Pharmacy
24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
25	herein and will expire on July 31, 2012, unless renewed.
26	///
27	111.
28	
	1

- This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
  - (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and
    - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
  - (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board
  - (e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
- Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued

# STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

#### 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

### 9. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

#### 10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

### **DRUGS**

13. <u>Marijuana</u> is a Schedule I controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11054(d)(13) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022

### **COST RECOVERY**

14. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Knowingly Making or Signing Document That Falsely Represents the Facts)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (g), for knowingly making or signing a document that falsely represents the facts or nonexistence of facts in that Respondent's application for licensure as a Pharmacy Technician falsely represented the nature of criminal proceeding against her. The circumstances are as follows:
- 16. On or about August 15, 2008, Respondent signed her application for licensure as a Pharmacy Technician under penalty of perjury. In response to the question whether Respondent had ever been convicted or pled no contest to a violation of any law, Respondent said "Yes". If her response was "Yes", the application required her to attach an explanation including the type of violation, the date, circumstances, location and the complete penalty received. In her explanation to the Board, Respondent stated:

I received a misdemeanor charge for D.U.I. on October 4, 2002. I completed all the required classes and paid all associated fines. My [driver's] license was not suspended because the judge ruled in my favor against the police. I have never been in trouble before or after this incident. My life is on track and going great. My biggest concern has now become my career. Please don't let this six year old

mistake get in the way of my future.

- 17. Respondent's application for licensure was not formally investigated and the details of the DUI charge of October 4, 2002 were not known by the Board. Those details follow.
- 18. On or about February 23, 2003, in *The People of the State of California v. Christina Ivy Moreland*, Riverside Superior Court, Case No. RIM424692, Respondent was convicted on her guilty plea of violation of Vehicle Code Section 23152(b), driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol content of 0.08% or greater. Breath tests at the incident scene indicated Respondent's blood alcohol content was 0.17%. Contrary to Respondent's statement to the Board, as a result of the conviction Respondent was sentenced to 36 months probation, ordered to pay fines and fees of \$1552.00, attend a First Offender DUI program, and her license was restricted for a period of 3 months, except travel to/from work, within the scope of her employment and to/from an alcohol treatment program.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (April 22, 2010 Conviction of Possession of a Controlled Substance on January 23, 2010)

- 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 482, 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), for conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows.
- 20. On or about April 22, 2010, in *The People of the State of California v. Christina Ivy Moreland, Riverside Superior Court*, Case No. RIM10003717, Respondent was convicted on her guilty plea to violation of Health and Safety Code 11357(b), possession of less than 1 ounce of marijuana, a misdemeanor.
  - 21. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was ordered to pay a \$160 fine.
- 22. The circumstances that led to the conviction are as follows. On January 23, 2010, at about 0430 hours, an officer of the Corona Police Department observed a vehicle traveling at a speed of 50 mph in a 40 mph zone. Because of the fog in the area, the officer conducted a traffic stop. Upon contacting Respondent, the officer smelled a strong odor of perfume coming from the interior of the vehicle. With the smell of perfume, the officer could also smell what the officer believed to be marijuana. When asked whether she had anything illegal in the car, Respondent

stated that she did not. Respondent consented to a search of her vehicle. During the search of the vehicle, the officer found a green plastic bottle underneath the driver's seat. Inside the bottle was a green, leafy substance believed to be marijuana. Respondent stated the bottle contained "weed". She denied having a prescription for marijuana. Respondent was cited for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11357(b). At the police station, the officer weighed the marijuana. It weighed 4 grams. The officer tested it with the NARK test kit, which returned a positive result for marijuana.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Unprofessional Conduct-Violation of Law on January 23, 2010)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in conjunction with section 4060, for unprofessional conduct in violating the laws of this state in that Respondent violated the laws of the state of California when she possessed a controlled substance, marijuana, without a prescription, as set forth more fully in paragraphs 19-22, above, and incorporated by this reference as though set forth in full herein.

### **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

### (Self-Administration of Controlled Substance on September 16, 2009)

- 24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) for unprofessional conduct in administering a controlled substance to herself to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or to any other person or to the public. The circumstances are as follows.
- 25. On or about September 16, 2009, at 0332 hours, an officer of the California Highway Patrol made a traffic stop after observing that Respondent's vehicle was traveling at a speed of 80 mph on SR-91. When the officer made contact with Respondent through an open window, the officer smelled the strong odor of marijuana coming from the vehicle. The officer asked Respondent how long it had been since she smoked last and Respondent replied, "Way, way earlier today." The officer observed that Respondent's eyes were red. Respondent told the officer that she just got off of work at a pharmacy. Respondent denied having any marijuana in her car.

- 26. The officer asked Respondent where and when she last smoked marijuana. Respondent stated she last smoked marijuana at her friend's house and then went to work. She admitted that she did not have a medical marijuana card. When asked why she smoked marijuana, Respondent stated it was to "have a good day at work." Respondent explained that she had a stressful job and marijuana makes her relax. She claims that she did not smoke marijuana very often, just every other day.
- 27. The officer then asked Respondent to perform field sobriety tests, which Respondent failed. Respondent then admitted that she had smoke some marijuana during her lunch break at 2200 hours. The officer determined that Respondent was under the influence of marijuana and was unable to safely operate a motor vehicle based upon his observations of her driving, her admissions regarding smoking marijuana, her display of objective symptoms of drug impairment, her rapid pulse, her unsteady gait, her yellow coated tongue, her poor performance of the field sobriety tests and his training and experience.
- 28. A search of Respondent's vehicle also revealed three empty, orange plastic prescription bottles in the glove compartment with the labels removed, a glass pipe with burnt marijuana residue and a white metal marijuana pipe with burnt marijuana residue, both in the glove compartment. A glass pipe containing burnt marijuana residue was found in the center console. Under the driver's seat, loose marijuana lying on the floorboard next to a glass pipe filled with unburnt marijuana and burnt marijuana residue were found.
- 29. A Drug Influence Evaluation was performed of Respondent at the CHP office. It was determined that Respondent was under the influence of a combination of a central nervous system depressant and Cannabis and was unable to safely operate a vehicle.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 86174, issued to Christina Ivy Moreland;