1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DAVID E. HAUSFELD		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 110639		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2025		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	· ·	T THE	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Mettern of the Assessation Assista	G N 2060	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3860	
13	YIN TAT WILLIAM KHO 2033 Christie Street Errhanter CA 22822	EIDCE AMENDED ACCTICATION	
14	Fullerton, CA 92833	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacist License No. RPH 49713		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about August 27, 1997, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist		
23	License Number RPH 49713 to Yin Tat William Kho (Respondent). The Original Pharmacist		
24	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
25	expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed.		
26	111		
27	///		
28	111		
	1		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states:

(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:

(1) Suspending judgment.

(2) Placing him or her upon probation.

(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.

(4) Revoking his or her license.

(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.

• • • •

5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 7. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

8. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

• • •

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. . . . [T]he record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. · . . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

26

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 | ///

///

28 | ///

10.	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states, in pertinent part
	66

- "(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
 - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
- (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FACTS

- 12. Respondent was employed from 1997 until 2010 in a part time position as a pharmacist at the CVS Pharmacy on North Harbor Boulevard in Fullerton, California. In 2010, the Loss Prevention Department of the pharmacy surreptitiously observed the activities of Respondent while on duty at the pharmacy. These observations included the use of surveillance cameras, personal observation and review of inventory documents of the store.
- 13. It was determined that beginning in approximately March of 2009 until April of 2010, Respondent removed from the store without authorization and without paying for the items, 352 One Touch Ultra diabetic test kits. Each kit contained 100 test strips and had a value of approximately \$122.00. Respondent also took 6 bottles of Florastor, a non-prescription pro-biotic

product, from the store. The total value of the items taken by Respondent was in the amount of \$43,064.

- 14. On April 3, 2010, Respondent was arrested by the Fullerton Police Department for his unlawful acts while employed at the CVS Pharmacy.
- 15. On or about October 7, 2010, a felony criminal complaint was filed in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Yintat William Kho*, in the County of Orange, North Justice Center, Superior Court, case number 10NF3139. Respondent was charged with one count of violations of Penal Code sections 459-460 (b), second degree commercial burglary and one count of a violation of Penal Code section 487 (a), grand theft.
- 16. On or about July 13, 2011, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of one count of misdemeanor violations of Penal Code sections 459-460 (b), second degree commercial burglary and one count of a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 487 (a), grand theft.
- 17. As a result of the conviction, on or about July 13, 2011, Respondent was sentenced to three years informal probation, serve 30 days in the Orange County jail, payment of fees and fines and payment of restitution in the sum of \$43,000.00.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dishonesty)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), for the unlawful taking of 352 One Touch Ultra diabetic test kits and 6 bottles of Florastor, as more particularly described in paragraphs 12 through 17, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 13, 2011 Criminal Convictions for Burglary and Theft)

19. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacist, as more particularly described in paragraphs 12 through 17, above.

27 | ///

28 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 49713, issued to Yin Tat William Kho;
- 2. Ordering Yin Tat William Kho to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 1/24/12

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2010702935 70409867.doc