1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANAHITA S. CRAWFORD
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 209545
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-8311
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant
8	
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3775
12	ANMARIE SCHEEPERS A C C U S A T I O N 19307 Titleist Way
13	Redding, CA 96003
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 90857
15	Respondent.
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	<u>PARTIES</u>
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about May 6, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
23	License Number TCH 90857 to Anmarie Scheepers (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
24	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
25	expire on January 31, 2011, unless renewed.
26	
27	//
28	

9 10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of

guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

- 6. Health and Safety Code section 11350 states, in part:
- (a) Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possesses (1) any controlled substance specified in . . . subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, . . . shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AT ISSUE

7. **OxyContin**, a brand of Oxycodone, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(N).

COST RECOVERY

8. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subsection (l) in that on or about March 23, 2010, in the case entitled *People vs. Anmarie Scheepers*, (Shasta County Superior Court, case number 09F7751), Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating penal code section 487(a) (grand theft of personal property), a felony. Such criminal conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of this licensee. The circumstances are as follows:

On or about July 9, 2009, Redding Police Department was dispatched to Rite Aid Pharmacy in Redding on a report of theft of prescription medication. In May 2009, the Rite Aid Pharmacy District Manager (who is also Respondent's father) notified the Rite Aid Loss Prevention Manager of 111 missing OxyContin pills. In June 2009, the Rite Aid Pharmacy District Manager notified the Rite Aid Loss Prevention Manager of another 117 missing OxyContin pills from a different Rite Aid Pharmacy site. Respondent was the only individual who worked at both sites and who had access to the OxyContin. On or about July 2, 2009, Respondent admitted stealing

the OxyContin to the Loss Prevention Manager and wrote a statement apologizing for her theft.
Respondent was subsequently terminated, and arrested.
SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
(Dishonest Acts)
10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subsection (f) in that
on or about March 23, 2010, Respondent was convicted of grand theft, an act involving moral
turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, as more fully set forth in paragraph 9, above.
THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
(Possession of Controlled Substances)
11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subsection (j) for
violating Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a) (unlawfully possessing a
controlled substance), as more fully set forth in paragraph 9, above.
PRAYER
WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 90857, issued
to Anmarie Scheepers
2. Ordering Anmarie Scheepers to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
125.3;
3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
DATED: 1/2/10 Quarie Heros
VIRGINIA HEROLD
Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
Complainant
SA2010102007
10623769.doc