1	Kamala D. Harris			
2	Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GLORIA A. BARRIOS, State Bar No. 94811 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
4				
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2540			
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant			
7	BEFORE THE			
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3716			
11	FIRST AMENDED			
12	KEITH CHUNG			
13	4060 Glencoe Ave., #231 Los Angeles, CA 90066 ACCUSATION			
14	Pharmacist License No. 50486			
15	Respondent.			
16				
17	Complainant alleges:			
18	PARTIES			
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in			
20	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer			
21	Affairs.			
22	2. On or about September 1, 1998, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued			
23	Pharmacist License Number 50486 to Keith Chung (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was			
24	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on			
25	April 30, 2012, unless renewed. On October 1, 2007, Respondent's Pharmacist license was			
26	suspended by the Board pursuant to court order. (The People of the State of California v. Keith			
27	Chung) (Super.Ct. Los Angeles County, 2008, No. SA064964) On February 9, 2011, the			
28	Superior Court terminated the suspension order allowing Respondent to practice pharmacy.			
1				

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- 6. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 7. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor"
- 8. Section 4300 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline including suspension or revocation.

9. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(a) Gross immorality.

. . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . . .

"(h) The administration to oneself, of any controlled substances or the use of dangerous drugs or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

..

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

• • • •

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. . . . A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not

guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY SECTION

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

DANGEROUS DRUGS / CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 11. Methamphetamine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 12. Hydrocodone is a trade name for Vicodin which is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 13. Niravam and Xanax are trade names for Alprazolam which is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 14. Phendimetrazine Tartrate is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (b)(6), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 15. Propoxyphene Napsylate is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

2.7

- 16. Endodan and Endocet are trade names for Oxycodone and Acetaminopen which is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 17. Lomotil is a trade name for Diphenoxylate/Atropine which is a Schedule V controlled substance and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 18. Restoril is a trade name for Temazapam which is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 19. Diazepam (Valium) is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 20. Propoxyphene Hydrochloride is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 22. Ritalin is a trade name for Methylphenidate Hydrochloride, a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 23. Klonopin is a trade name for Clonazepam which is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 24. Codeine is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 25. Librax is a trade name for Chlordiazepoxide, a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

26. Phentermine Hydrochloride is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

COST RECOVERY

27. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially-Related Crime)

- 28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), as a result of Respondent's conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacist. On or about August 21, 2008, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 597, subdivision (a) [animal cruelty], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Keith Chung* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2008, No. SA064964). Respondent was sentenced to 16 months in state prison.
- 29. The underlying factual circumstances occurred on or about July 13, 2007, when Respondent was arrested after two Los Angeles Police Department officers responded to a radio call of a male beating a dog. In searching the premises, the officers found an injured dog on the balcony, which was barely alive. As a result of Respondent's mistreatment, the dog had to be put down. In a bathroom, they found a knife, bloodied walls, and a feces-covered floor. In the bathroom, the officers found a additional knife and animal fur. In other rooms of the apartment, the arresting officers found a methamphetamine pipe with residue, prescription drugs, and a dead dog in the freezer. The prescription drugs included the following:
 - a. Vicodin;
 - b. Alprazolam;
 - c. Hydrocodone;

i			
1	d.	Phendimetrazine Tartrate;	
2	e.	Propoxyphene Napsylate;	
3	f.	Endocet;	
4	g.	Lomotil;	
5	h.	Temazapam;	
6	i.	Diazepam (Valium);	
7	j.	Propoxyphene Hydrochloride;	
8	k.	Alprazolam;	
9	1.	Oxycodone;	
10	m.	Methylphenidate Hydrochloride;	
11	n.	Phentermine Hydrochloride;	
12	o.	Clonazepam;	
13	p.	Ritalin;	
14	q.	Codeine;	
15	r.	Valium;	
16	s.	Librax;	
17	t.	Endodan; and	
18	u.	Niravam;	
19	30.	On or about December 26, 2006, Respondent purchased a brown and silver	
20	schnauzer puppy from a private party. Later that same day, Respondent called and said he		
21	wanted to return the puppy because he felt it was too "big" for his daughter. Respondent was told		
22	to bring the puppy back. When Respondent returned the puppy, it appeared dead. In fact the		
23	puppy died while being transported to a veterinary hospital. A necropsy was performed and it		
24	was determined the puppy died as a result of trauma to its chest.		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
		7	

ACCUSATION

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
2	(Gross Immorality)		
3	31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,		
4	subdivision (a), as a result of Respondent's gross immorality. Complainant now refers to and		
5	incorporates all the allegations in paragraphs 28, 29, 30, and 34, as though set forth fully.		
6			
7	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
8	(Moral Turpitude)		
9	32. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,		
10	subdivision (f), as a result of Respondent's moral turpitude. Complainant now refers to and		
11	incorporates all the allegations in paragraphs 28, 29, 30, and 34, as though set forth fully.		
12	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
13	(Under the Influence of Drugs)		
14	33. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,		
15	subdivision (h), as a result of Respondent's being under the influence of drugs.		
16	34. On or about May 9, 2010, Respondent was stopped at a DUI checkpoint in		
17	Norco, CA, by Riverside County Sheriff. Respondent, driver of the vehicle, had in his possession		
18	Methamphetamine. Respondent also appeared to be under the influence of drugs. He had rapid		
19	speech, red and watery eyes, rancid breath and muscle rigidity. Respondent could not stand still		
20	and had eyelid tremors. His pulse was 118 BPM. He admitted that he used illegal drugs a couple		
21	of hours earlier.		
22	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
23	(Possession of a Controlled Substance)		
24	35. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,		
25	subdivision (j), in conjunction with section 4060, as a result of Respondent's possession of		
26	controlled substances.		
27	36. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations in paragraphs		
28	29 and 34 as though set forth fully.		

2

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conduct Warranting the Denial of a License)

37. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(1), and section 4301, subdivision (p), in that Respondent committed acts which would have warranted a denial of licensure as a Registered Pharmacist. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations in paragraphs 28, 29, 30, and 34, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number 50486, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/29///

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2007601286