1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. COOK	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		RE THE PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3632
13	MORGAN LEIGH DIAZ	
14	409 Requeza Street, D1 Encinitas, CA 92024	ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
16		
17	. Respondent.	
18		
19	Complement alleges	
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	PARTIES	
22	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about October 4, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
25	Registration Number TCH 72220 to Morgan Leigh Diaz (Respondent). The Pharmacy	
	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
26	herein and will expire on July 31, 2010, unless renewed.	
27		
28		
		1 Accusation

 \bigcirc

[[
1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,	
6	surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a	
7	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued	
8	or reinstated.	
9	5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be	
10	suspended or revoked."	
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
12	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
13	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to	
14	evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
15	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
16	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
17	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation	
18	furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
19	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
20	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially	
21	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the	
22	license was issued.	
23	8. Section 493 of the Code states:	
24		
25	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or	
26	to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has	
27	been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and	
28	duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,	
	2	
	Accusation	

 \bigcirc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a

11		
1	subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.	
3	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
4	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
5	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility	
6	license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to	
7	the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to	
8	perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner	
9	consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
10	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:	
11	••••	
12	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a	
13	personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and	
14	his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:	
15	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).	
16	(2) Total criminal record.	
17	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).	
18	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.	
19	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.	
20	COST RECOVERY	
21	<u>COST RECOVERT</u>	
22	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request	
23	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or	
24	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation	
25	and enforcement of the case.	
26		
27		
28		
	4	
	Accusation	

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 6, 2007 Conviction for DUI on December 9, 2006)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code in that she was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

a. On or about March 6, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz*, in San Diego Superior Court case number CN223306,
Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B),
driving with a blood alcohol content of .08 percent or more with admission a prior DUI on
August 25, 2003. Both case number CN223306 and case number CN223894 (as set forth below
in the second Cause for Discipline) were heard at the same time.

b. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation
for five years with terms and conditions, including but not limited to, 96 days in custody on
consecutive weekends, payment of fines, fees and restitution, 5 days in public service
program/volunteer work, attendance and completion of a Multiple Offender DUI Program in
concurrence with Case Number CN223894.

c. The circumstances which led to the conviction were that on or about December 17 9, 2007, an officer from the California Highway Patrol observed a vehicle weaving from side to 18 side while traveling eastbound on State Route 78 near Woodland Parkway. After the officer 19 initiated a traffic stop, the driver of the weaving vehicle was identified as Respondent. The 20 officer observed that Respondent's eyes were red and glassy, that she swayed in a circular motion 21 while standing and that she had an odor of an alcoholic beverage on her breath. Respondent 22 admitted that she should not have been driving because she drank too much. She admitted to 23 consuming 3-4 drinks and feeling "buzzed." The officer attempted to explain and demonstrate 24 the Field Sobriety Tests; however, Respondent attempted the tests before the officer was able to 25 26 finish explaining the tests. Respondent stated, "I've been through this before, I know what's going to happen." Based on Respondent's inability to successfully perform the Field Sobriety 27 Tests and her objective symptoms, the officer arrested Respondent for driving under the 28

influence. Upon arrest, Respondent elected to take a breath test and her blood alcohol content registered at .16 by weight.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 6, 2007 Conviction for DUI on January 12, 2007)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(1) of the Code in that she was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about March 6, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz*, in San Diego Superior Court case number CN223894,
Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B),
driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol content of .08 percent or greater with
admission of the prior DUI on August 25, 2003. Sentencing was combined with the sentencing
on her case Number 223306, as detailed in the First Cause for Discipline.

b. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation
for five years with terms and conditions, including but not limited to, 96 days in custody on
consecutive weekends, payment of fines, fees and restitution, 5 days in public service
program/volunteer work, attendance in completion of a Multiple Offender DUI Program in
concurrence with Case Number CN223306.

The circumstances which led to the conviction were that on or about January c. 19 12, 2007, an officer from the Oceanside Police Department initiated a traffic stop after observing 20 a vehicle travelling at a high rate of speed in a residential area on South Tremont Street. The 21 driver of the vehicle was identified as Respondent. Another officer, who responded to the scene, 22 approached Respondent and attempted to ask her questions. The officer observed that 23 Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol emitting from her breath and body. He also observed 24 25 that she spoke with thick, slurred speech, she had blood-shot and watery eyes, and swayed side to side while she talked to the officer. Respondent failed to perform Field Sobriety Tests as 26 27 explained and demonstrated. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. At the

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

station, Respondent was administered two breath tests and her blood alcohol content registered at .17 and .18 percent by weight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(October 14, 2009 Conviction for Driving On a Suspended License on June 4, 2009) 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that she was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

On or about June 30, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the* a. 8 State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz, in San Diego Superior Court case number CN263749, 9 Respondent was charged with violations of Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a) driving when 10 privilege suspended for prior DUI conviction, a misdemeanor. It was further alleged that 11 Respondent had a prior conviction in Riverside County Superior Court Case number 028782 for 12 the violation of Vehicle Code Section 14601.2(d)(2), driving on a suspended license. The 13 criminal complaint also charged Respondent with driving a high rate of speed greater than 70 14 miles per hour in violation of Vehicle Code Section 22356(b), an infraction, and a violation of 15 Vehicle Code Section 14601.5(a), driving when privilege suspended. 16

b. On or about August 4, 2009, Respondent failed to appear in court for the
arraignment on this case. As a result, a bench warrant was issued in the amount of \$15,000. The
bench warrant was later rescinded on August 6, 2009 when Respondent's attorney appeared on
her behalf.

c. On or about October 14, 2009, Respondent pled guilty to the misdemeanor
violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a), driving while her license was suspended for a prior
DUI conviction. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation for
a period of 3 years under terms and conditions including, 10 days in custody beginning on
November 13, 2009, payment of all fines, fees, and restitution, and enrollment in a public service
program or volunteer work which was ordered to be completed by November 4, 2010.

7

27

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous to Self or Others) 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code in that Respondent used alcohol to an extent which was dangerous to herself or others, as is set forth above in paragraphs 13 and 14, which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

28

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

17. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, 8 complainant alleges that on or about August 25, 2003, in a criminal proceeding entitled The 9 People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz, in Riverside Superior Court case number 10 SWM019848, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violation of Vehicle Code 11 section 23152(A), driving under the influence of alcohol and Vehicle Code section 23152(B), 12 driving with a blood alcohol content of .08 or more. The facts and circumstances which led to the 13 conviction were that on or about June 22, 2003, Respondent was arrested for driving under the 14 influence of alcohol. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was placed on summary probation 15 for a period of 3 years. Respondent was ordered to obey all laws, sentenced to 15 days in jail, 16 ordered to complete a sheriff's labor program commencing August 25, 2003, required to pay all 17 fines and fees, ordered to abstain from the use of alcoholic beverages, required to attend and 18 complete a First Offender DUI Program, among other terms and conditions. 19

18. Complainant further alleges that on or about September 14, 2005, in a criminal
proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Morgan Leigh Diaz*, in Riverside
Superior Court case number SWM040469, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for
violation of Penal Code section 647(F), public intoxication, a misdemeanor. As a result of her
plea, Respondent was ordered to pay fines, fees and restitution and the Court denied probation.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 72220, 1. 1 2 issued to Morgan Leigh Diaz. Ordering Morgan Leigh Diaz to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of 3 2. the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4 125.3; 5 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 6 7 8 DATED: 5/6/10 9 VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer 10 Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs 11 State of California Complainant 12 13 SD2010800393 80447181.doc 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 9

Accusation