•		
Complainant alleges		
1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
2. On or about August 27, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No.		
RPH 53971 to Michelle Yee (Respondent). The License was in full force and effect at all times		
relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2012, unless renewed.		
<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.		
2. On or about August 27, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No RPH 53971 to Michelle Yee (Respondent). The License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2012, unless renewed. JURISDICTION 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		

- 4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 5. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 6. Section 118(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Section 4402(a) of the Code provides that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 7. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:
- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
 - 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770; states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by her license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 9. Section 4059 of the Code, in pertinent part, prohibits furnishing of any dangerous drug or dangerous device except upon the prescription of an authorized prescriber.
- 10. Section 4063 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that no prescription for a dangerous drug may be refilled except upon authorization of the prescriber.
- 11. Section 4324 of the Code, in pertinent part, makes it unlawful for a person to falsely make, alter, forge, utter, publish, pass, or attempt to pass, as genuine, a prescription for a drug, or to have in his or her possession a drug secured by a forged prescription.
- 12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed its reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement.

DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 13. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "'Dangerous drug' or 'dangerous device' means any drug or device unsafe for self use, except veterinary drugs that are labeled as such, and includes the following:
- "(a) Any drug that bears the legend: 'Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription,' 'Rx only,' or words of similar import.

1-9

"(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."

14. **Soma** is a brand name for **carisoprodol**, a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is a muscle relaxant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 15. Between in or about 2003 and in or about 2009, Respondent was employed by one or more Kaiser Foundation Hospital pharmacies in or around the San Francisco Bay Area, first as a staff pharmacist until approximately October 2006, and then on a per diem basis.
- 16. During the tenure of her employment, Respondent used the access afforded by her employment and licensure to divert/steal, or attempt to divert/steal, dangerous drugs, including **Soma** and/or generic **carisoprodol**. The exact number of instances of diversion or attempted diversion by Respondent, and the full quantity of drugs diverted or attempted to be diverted, are not known, but in the course of the investigation(s) conducted by Kaiser and Board of Pharmacy Inspector(s), the following were among the observations, admissions, and revelations reported:
- a. In or about October 2009, Respondent was employed on a per diem basis in the inpatient pharmacy at a Kaiser Foundation Hospital Inpatient/Outpatient Pharmacy (HSP 32670) in South San Francisco, CA. On or about October 8, 2009, a date she was not scheduled to work at Kaiser, Respondent entered the Kaiser outpatient pharmacy and, with slurred speech and other signs of potential impairment by/influence of drugs or alcohol, attempted to divert/steal a bottle of 100 tablets of **Soma** or generic **carisoprodol** by asserting that she had been sent there by staff of the inpatient pharmacy to transfer a bottle of **Soma/carisoprodol** to the inpatient pharmacy. This was not true. Respondent subsequently admitted to being "very impaired" on this date.
- b. Subsequent investigation showed that on dates in or around July and August 2009 that Respondent was working, three other bottles (100 tablets each) of **Soma/carisoprodol** were taken from the outpatient pharmacy for "transfer" to the inpatient pharmacy, with no record of receipt in the inpatient pharmacy, and no record of being dispensed in the inpatient pharmacy.

2.5

- c. Respondent admitted to having a problem and being "out of control" with her use and abuse of **Soma/carisoprodol**. Respondent admitted to developing an abusive pattern of use of **Soma/carisoprodol** in and/or between 2000 and 2003, followed by a resumption of abuse in or around 2005 that lasted through the above-described incident(s) in 2009.
- d. On or about November 14, 2007, a physician gave Respondent a prescription for **Soma/carisoprodol** (120 tablets). On or about November 27, 2007, Respondent returned to that physician for a new prescription, claiming the previous one had been lost. She received a new prescription for **Soma/carisoprodol** (120 tablets). No refills were authorized, but an attempt was made to alter the prescription document to authorize six (6) refills. The pharmacy contacted the prescriber and confirmed that no refills had been authorized. The prescriber told Respondent he would no longer write any prescriptions for her, and placed an alert in her medical chart(s).

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption)

17. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(f) of the Code, in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 15 and 16 above, committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Creation/Signature of False Documents)

18. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(g) of the Code in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 15 and 16 above, created and/or signed documents that falsely represented the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous or Injurious Self-Administration/Use of Dangerous Drug)

19. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(h) of the Code, in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 15 and 16 above, self-administered and/or used one or more dangerous drugs to the extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to herself or another, or to the extent that the use impaired her ability to conduct her pharmacy practice safely.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Furnishing of Dangerous Drug(s))

20. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(j) and/or (o) and/or section 4059 of the Code, in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 15 and 16 above, furnished to herself or another without a valid prescription, and/or conspired to furnish, and/or assisted or abetted furnishing of, one or more dangerous drug(s).

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Refills of Prescriptions)

21. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(j) and/or (o) and/or section 4063 of the Code, in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 15 and 16 above, refilled, conspired to refill, and/or assisted or abetted refilling of, an unauthorized refill of a prescription.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Making, Uttering and/or Using False or Forged Prescriptions)

22. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(j) and/or (o) and/or section 4324 of the Code, in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 15 and 16 above, falsely made, altered, forged, uttered, published, passed, or attempted to pass, a prescription for a drug, and/or conspired and/or assisted in or abetted any of these acts.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

23. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 of the Code in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 15 to 21 above, engaged in unprofessional conduct.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 53971, issued to Michelle Yee (Respondent);

- [•
1	2. Ordering Respondent to pay	the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and
2	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;	
3	3. Taking such other and further	er action as is deemed necessary and proper.
4	g/2/2	(): // 10
5	DATED: 9/23/10	VIRGINIA HEROLD
6		Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
7		Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
8		Complainant
9	SF2010200485 20334726.doc	
10	20354720.000	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		•
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		