	•						
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.						
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Donuty Attorney Consul						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 120482						
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101						
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266						
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2095 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061						
8	Attorneys for Complainant						
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY						
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11	Case No. 3597						
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:						
13	ANGELA BERGHOUSE A C C U S A T I O N						
14	Ramona, CA 92065						
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 51956						
16	Respondent.						
17							
18	Complainant alleges:						
19	PARTIES						
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity						
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.						
22	2. On or about December 29, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician						
23	Registration Number TCH 51956 to Angela Berghouse (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician						
24	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and						
25	will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.						
26							
27	///						
28	///						
	1						

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states in pertinent part: "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked...."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question,

the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority, and 'registration.'"

9. Section 4022 of the Code states:

"Dangerous drug' or 'dangerous device' means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- "(a) Any drug that bears the legend: 'Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription,' 'Rx only,' or words of similar import.
- "(b) Any device that bears the statement: 'Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a ______,' 'Rx only,' or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
- 10. Section 4059 of the Code provides in pertinent part that a person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

11. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner

pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

"Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

12. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

• • • •

1

8

12

16

19

25 26

27 28 "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

- "(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."
- 13. Health and Safety Code section 11170 provides that no person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.
- 14. Health and Safety Code section 11350 provides that every person who possesses a controlled substance, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison.
- 15. Health and Safety Code section 11377(a) provides in part that every person who possesses any controlled substance which is (1) classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, and which is not a narcotic drug, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in a county jail for a period of not more than one year or in the state prison.
- 16. Health and Safety Code section 11550(a) provides in pertinent part that no person shall use, or be under the influence of any controlled substance or a narcotic drug classified in Schedule III, IV, or V, except when administered by or under the direction of a person licensed by the state to dispense, prescribe, or administer controlled substances.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

17. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

1.7 1.8

 23. Xanax, a brand name for alprazolam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(1), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Alprazolam tablets are indicated for the management of anxiety disorder or the short-term relief of symptoms of anxiety.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(September 4, 2007 Conviction for Burglary on August 22, 2007)

- 24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about September 4, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Angela Berghouse*, in San Diego Superior Court case number C274095-01, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violating Penal Code section 459, burglary.
- b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about August 22, 2007, Respondent and her boyfriend entered emptied-handed into a Target store located in El Cajon, California. They proceeded to select two Dyson Animal vacuum cleaners each valued at \$499.99 and then approached the customer service desk in an attempt to make a fraudulent return by exchanging one of the vacuum cleaners for the other. Because they did not have a receipt, the transaction was denied and they returned to the shopping area and placed one of the vacuum cleaners back on the display. Respondent and her boyfriend then approached the checkout stand with the other vacuum cleaner under the shopping cart. They purchased \$5.66 worth of items at the register using Respondent's credit card; however, they failed to pay for the vacuum valued at \$499.99. They explained to the clerk that they brought the vacuum to the store to exchange it and then they left the store. The incident was recorded by the store's video surveillance cameras.
- c. Pursuant to a search warrant, on or about August 30, 2007, officers from the El Cajon Police Department searched Respondent's residence in Ramona, California. When the officers announced their presence at the front door, Respondent was observed walking to the back of the residence and throwing a small cardboard box. The box contained five hypodermic

syringes and Xanax pills. The Dyson vacuum cleaner was located in the middle of the kitchen. The officers then arrested both Respondent and her boyfriend.

d. As a result of the conviction, on or about September 4, 2007, Respondent was placed on summary probation for three years, sentenced to serve six days in the county jail (with credit for time served), required to stay away from Target, and ordered to pay all fines and fees.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dishonesty and Deceit)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(f) of the Code in that Respondent's burglary constitutes dishonesty and deceit, as set forth in paragraph 24, which is incorporated here by this reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 29, 2008 Conviction for Being Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance on June 14, 2008)

- 26. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about July 29, 2008, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Angela Berghouse*, in San Diego Superior Court case number C281586, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a), being under the influence of a controlled substance. In her plea of guilty, Respondent admits that she was under the influence of Oxycodone. This matter was heard at the same time as Respondent's other case No. C284615, as set forth in Fifth Cause for Discipline, below. The circumstances that led to the conviction are as follows:
- b. On or about June 14, 2008 at 10:07 p.m., the San Diego County Sheriff's Department received a call from Respondent's grandmother, A.B., to investigate a trespass in Ramona. At 10:13 p.m., a Ramona Patrol Deputy who responded to the scene located Respondent walking from the residence and loading her vehicle. The Deputy observed Respondent bending down to pick up some of her personal belongings that were lying on the

> .

23·

2008, Respondent illegally possessed a controlled substance, as set forth in paragraph 26, which is incorporated here by this reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(October 22, 2008 Conviction for Being Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance on September 29, 2008)

- 28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301(l) in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about October 22, 2008, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Angela Berghouse*, in San Diego Superior Court case number C284615, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a), being under the influence of a controlled substance. This matter was heard at the same time as Respondent's other case No. C281586, as set forth above in the Third Cause for Discipline, above.
- b. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about September 29, 2008 at 2:50 a.m., Ramona Patrol Deputies responded to a dispatch originating from a call by Respondent's mother that a person was under the influence of a controlled substance in her Ramona residence. The Deputies located Respondent and her boyfriend at the residence in Ramona and both individuals displayed symptoms of being under the influence of a central nervous system stimulant. Both Respondent and her boyfriend had dilated pupils, blood shot and watery eyes, pale faces, dry lips and mouth, dry pasted tongues, and appeared agitated. Both Respondent and her boyfriend were arrested for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a), under the influence of a controlled substance. The Deputies then searched the residence and located three rifles.
- c. Respondent admitted to the Deputy that she had used Oxycontin for over two years and had become addicted to it. She denied using illegal drugs at that time and indicated that she had a prescription for Suboxone from her doctor to help her withdraw from her opiate

addiction. Respondent stated that all three rifles belonged to her. A blood sample was obtained from Respondent and she tested positive for methamphetamine, with a blood level of 171 ng./ml.

d. As a result of the conviction, on October 22, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to formal probation, ordered to complete 20 days in the Public Service Work Program, required to complete 50 hours of volunteer work, ordered to register as a drug offender and required to complete a drug treatment program. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1210.1, Respondent's sentence was suspended for 5 years and the fines, volunteer hours, and public work hours were stayed until her completion of the drug treatment program. On October 22, 2009, after the completion of a PC 1210.1 Drug Program, Respondent's plea was set aside and her case was dismissed.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct- Possession of Controlled Substances)

29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(o) of the Code in that she violated the Pharmacy Act by possessing controlled substances without a prescription in violation of Code section 4060, as is set forth in paragraphs 26 through 28, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct -Self-Administration of a Controlled Substances)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on June 14, 2008 and September 29, 2008, Respondent illegally administered a controlled substance to herself, as is set forth in paragraphs 26 and 28, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – More Than One Conviction Involving Use of Dangerous Drug)

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (k), in that she was convicted of more than one crime involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of a dangerous drug, as is set forth in paragraphs 27 through 29, which are incorporated herein by this reference.

C)

ground and then stumble forward. Respondent used her hands to brace herself against the ground. The Deputy observed that Respondent was making slow and lethargic movements and suspected that she was under the influence. Respondent gave permission to search her vehicle. After the Deputy performed a drug influence evaluation on Respondent, he determined that she was under the influence of a central nervous system depressant and placed her under arrest for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a), under the influence of a controlled substance.

- c. Respondent later admitted that she smoked an 80 milligram pill of Oxycontin at 10:00 p.m. Respondent admitted that she had put the pill on a piece of aluminum foil, burned the pill and inhaled the fumes through a straw. She admitted that she obtained the Oxycontin in Mexico and smuggled seventeen pills into the United States underneath her breast. She also admitted that she is prescribed Suboxone to help her with her opiate addiction and that she took 1/4 of the dosage of Suboxone that morning. Respondent admitted that she had been using Oxycontin for the past year and had injected it the week prior with her boyfriend. A blood sample was obtained from Respondent and she tested positive for Oxycodone, with a blood level of 189.6 ng/ml. Respondent's last prescription for Oxycontin was on August 31, 2006.
- d. As a result of the conviction, on October 22, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to formal probation, ordered to complete 20 days in the Public Service Work Program, required to complete 50 hours of volunteer work, ordered to register as a drug offender and required to complete a drug treatment program. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1210.1, Respondent's sentence was suspended for 5 years and the fines, volunteer hours, and Public Work hours were stayed until her completion of the drug treatment program. On October 22, 2009, after the completion of a PC 1210.1 Drug Program, Respondent's plea of guilty was set aside and the case was dismissed.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Possession of a Controlled Substance on June 14, 2008)

27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subsection (j), in that she violated the Pharmacy Act by possessing a controlled substance without a prescription in violation of Code section 4060, in that on or about June 14,

2.5

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conduct That Would Have Warranted a Denial of a License)

32. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(p) of the Code in that Respondent committed burglary, administered to herself controlled substances, and possessed controlled substances. Such egregious conduct would have warranted the denial of a pharmacy technician registration under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(2) of the Code.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 51956, issued to Respondent Angela Berghouse.
- 2. Ordering Respondent Angela Berghouse to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

3.	Taking such of	her and furthe	r action/a	ıs deemed	necessary	and proper.	
DATED: _	7/1/10)	()	Lejin	ie	Lee	4
	•		YIRG	INVA HER	.OLD	•	1

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2010800146 80458626.doc