1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General G. MICHAEL GERMAN	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 103312	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2617 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE	THE
9	BOARD OF PH DEPARTMENT OF COM	IARMACY
10	STATE OF CAI	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
12		ase No. 3581
13	10800 Woodside Ave #88 Santee, CA 92071	
14		CCUSATION
15	23357 Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PARTI	<u>ES</u>
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings the	his Accusation solely in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy,	Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about July 28, 1997, the Board of	f Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
22	Registration Number TCH 23357 to Deborah Conne	er (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration was in full force and effect at all times	relevant to the charges brought herein and
24	will expire on June 30, 2011, unless renewed.	
25	JURISDIC	TION
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the B	Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the follow	ring laws. All section references are to the
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise inc	dicated.
	1	

Accusation

4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

5. Section 4300, subdivisions (a) of the Code states that "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . .

. . .

. . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

2

7. Section 490 of the Code of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may 1 suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime 2 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for 3 which the license was issued. 4 8. Section 493 of the Code states: 5 6 Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or 7 to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has 8 been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be 9 conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, 10 and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is 11 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question. 12 As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," 13 "authority," and "registration." 14 15 9. Section 482 of the Code states: 16 Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when: 17 18 (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or 19 (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490. 20 Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee. 21 **REGULATORY PROVISIONS** 22 23 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states: 24 (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal 25 license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a 26 crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria: 27 (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s). 28 3

1	(2) Total criminal record.
2	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
3	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
4	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
6	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
7 8 9 10 11	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
12	COST RECOVERY
13	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
14	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
15	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
16	enforcement of the case.
17	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(October 17, 2007 Criminal Conviction for DUI on September 26, 2007)
19	13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision
20	(1) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the
21	qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
22	a. On or about October 17, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled <i>People of the State of</i>
23	California v. Deborah Conner, in San Diego County Superior Court, case no. C274815,
24	Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violating Vehicle Code section 23152 (b),
25	driving under the influence of alcohol with a special allegation of blood alcohol level of .15 or
26	more under Vehicle Code 23578.
27	
28	
	4
	Accusation

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about October 17, 2007, Respondent was sentenced to five years of conditional probation, required to enroll in and complete a three month first offender alcohol program, serve 217 days in jail and to pay a fine of \$1,834.00.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

c. The facts that led to the conviction were that on or about September 26, 2007 in the afternoon, Respondent was driving near the intersection of Town Center Parkway and Mission Gorge Road in Santee, California, when she hit two trees. She admitted to the arresting officer that she drank six shots of alcohol before driving to a craft store to paint. When Respondent was transported to jail, a breath test was administered, with results of .20 and .19—over twice the legal limit.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(October 17, 2007 Criminal Conviction for DUI on October 12, 2007)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4031, subdivision
 (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the
 qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
 a. On or about October 17, 2007, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the*

State of California v. Deborah Conner, in San Diego County Superior Court, case no. C275132,
Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b),
driving under the influence of alcohol with a special allegation of blood alcohol level of .15 or
more under Vehicle Code section 23578.

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about October 17, 2007, Respondent was
sentenced to five years of conditional probation, required to enroll in and complete a multiple
conviction alcohol program, complete MADD victims impact panel, attend six months of
rehabilitation, serve one year in jail and to pay a fine of \$2,276.00.

c. The facts that led to the conviction were that on or about October 12, 2007 (approximately three weeks after her DUI arrest on September 26, 2007), Respondent caused a disturbance and began using foul language in front of children at a child's birthday party. She appeared to be extremely intoxicated and fell down at the child's party but insisted on driving home. When she left the party, the police were called. The police arrested Respondent at her

5

home for driving under the influence. When Respondent was transported to jail, a breath test was administered with results of .18 and .19—over twice the legal limit.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(April 28, 2009 Criminal Conviction for DUI on March 13, 2009)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision(I) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about April 28, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled of *People of the State California v. Deborah Conner*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number C290030,
Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violating Vehicle Code 23152(b), driving
under the influence of alcohol with a special allegation of blood alcohol level of .15 or more
under Vehicle Code 23548 and an allegation of DUI convictions within ten years under Vehicle
Code 23626 and Vehicle Code 23546.

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about April 28, 2009, Respondent was sentenced
to five years conditional probation, required to enroll in and complete a Multiple Conviction
Alcohol Program, complete MADD Victim Impact Panel, serve 180 days in jail, and pay a fine of
\$2,675.00.

c. The facts that led to the conviction were that on or about March 13, 2009, while on
probation for the convictions set forth in paragraphs 13 and 14 above, Respondent was driving
under the influence of alcohol, in the afternoon around a mobile home park where there were
children playing and other pedestrians present. The arresting police officer opined that "the
potential for injury to one of these pedestrians, because [Respondent] chose to drive under the
influence was great." A preliminary alcohol screening was administered at the scene with results
of .200 and .195—over twice the legal limit.

6

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 26
- 27 28

Accusation

1	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Use of Alcohol in Dangerous Manner)
3	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code in that
4	Respondent used alcohol in a dangerous manner by driving under the influence on multiple
5	occasions as described in paragraphs 13-15 above which are incorporated by reference.
6	DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS
7	17. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
8	Complainant alleges that in or about 1996, in a prior criminal proceeding, Respondent was
9	convicted for driving under the influence and was sentenced to 5 years probation, to serve 2 days
10	in jail, to complete a First Offender Program, to complete 232 hours of volunteer work, and to
11	pay a fine of \$1,630.00.
12	PRAYER
13	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
14	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
15	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 23357,
16	issued to Deborah Conner.
17	2. Ordering Deborah Conner to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
18	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
19	125.3;
20	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
- 21	
22	DATED: 322/10 (Juginatedo)
23	VIRGINIA (HEROLD Executive Officer
24	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
. 25	State of California Complainant
26	
27	SD2010800033 80439068.doc
28	
	7