		\bigcirc
1		
1	Edmund G. Brown Jr.	
_	Attorney General of California	
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 147392 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
5	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3154 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	. ·
3	Attorneys for Complainant	
,	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
,		
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3565
2	MARIA CARMEN SCHREIBER	
3	2306 England Street, #B Huntington Beach, CA 92648	ACCUSATION
F	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
5	12067	
	Respondent.	
,		
3	Complainant alleges:	
,		TIES
)	PARTIES 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
2	 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about March 18, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician 	
	Registration Number TCH 12067 to Maria Carmen Schreiber (Respondent). The Pharmacy	
1	Technician registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
	herein and will expire on December 31, 2011, unless renewed.	
5	///	
,		
- 17		
3	///	

.

.

.

1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3 .	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code states:	
6	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.	
7 8	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:	
9	(1) Suspending judgment.	
10	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.	
11	(4) Revoking his or her license.(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its	
12	discretion may deem proper.	
13	(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter	
14 15	except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court	
16	5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,	
17	surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a	
18	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued	
19	or reinstated.	
20	STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS	
21	6. Section 475 of the Code states:	
22	(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall solvern the denial of licenses on the grounds of	
23	division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of: (2) Conviction of a crime.	
24	 (2) Conviction of a crime. (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or 	
25	profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.	
26	(c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character.	
27	reputation, personality, or habits.	
28		
	2	
	Accusation	

í.

1	7. Section 482 of the Code states:
2	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
3 4	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
4 5	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
6	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.
7	8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or
8	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially
9	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the
10	license was issued.
11	9. Section 493 of the Code states:
12	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to low to deput on application for a license or to
13	within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted
14	of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive
15 16	evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related
17	to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.
18	As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'
19	10. Section 4059(a) of the Code provides:
20	A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a
21	physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, or veterinarian. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian.
22	
23	11. Section 4301 of the Code states:
24	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or
25	misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
26 27	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
28	
-	3

1

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any 1 dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or 2 to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license. 3 (i) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating 4 controlled substances and dangerous drugs. 5 (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of 6 Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state 7 regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be 8 conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to 9 fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense 10 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo 11 contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of 12 conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under 13 Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or 14 dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment. 15 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769(b) sets forth the following 16 12. 17 criteria in evaluating the rehabilitation of a licensee: 18 When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, 19 in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria: 20 (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s). 21 (2) Total criminal record. 22 (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s). 23 (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution 24 or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee. 25 (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states: 26 13. 27 For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and 28 Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the

Accusation

qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

DRUGS

14. Carisoprodol, sold under the brand name Soma, is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4022 and is used as a muscle relaxant.

COST RECOVERY

15. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the 7 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 10

FACTS

16. At about 6:00 p.m. on May 14, 2008, officers of the Laguna Beach Police Department 12 were dispatched to Pavillions Grocery Store with regard to a theft. Upon arriving at the store, the 13 officers were greeted by Loss Prevention Manager D.M. D.M. had been contacted by a Pavillions 14 store pharmacist with regard to missing drugs from the pharmacy, specifically Soma tablets. 15 Respondent was suspected of stealing the drugs because the thefts occurred on the days 16 17 Respondent was working as a pharmacy technician in the pharmacy. As a result of the suspected 18 thefts, D.M. installed surveillance cameras in the store pharmacy. D.M. was monitoring the surveillance tapes on May 14, 2008 when at about 4:30 p.m., he observed Respondent take a 19 20 quantity of pills out of a container and place them in her left pocket. D.M. contacted the on-duty pharmacist, who conducted an audit and confirmed that 25 Soma pills were missing. 21 17. D.M. contacted Respondent for an interview. Respondent subsequently admitted to 22 23 stealing 25 Soma pills and removed the pills from her pocket and gave them to D.M. Respondent was being detained by D.M. when the Laguna Beach police officers arrived. 24 18. When the officers contacted Respondent, she admitted that she took the Soma pills 25 that day and also "many" times over the past two weeks. She stated she had a prescription for 26 27 Soma but sometimes had taken some from the pharmacy when she ran out. She admitted to

taking about 300 Soma pills from the Pavillions Grocery Store pharmacy in the past and admitted

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

that she was addicted to Soma because of the quantity of drugs she has to take. The value of the
 325 Soma pills Respondent stole was about \$1,625.00. Respondent was arrested and charged
 with grand theft, a violation of Penal Code section 487, and embezzlement by an employee, a
 violation of Penal Code section 508.

In The People of the State of California v. Maria Mimi Schreiber, aka Maria Carmen 19. 5 Schreiber, aka Mimi Rosado, aka Maria Carmen Rosado, Orange County Superior Court, 6 Newport Beach Facility, Case No. 08HM05114, Respondent was charged with grand theft by an 7 employee, in violation of Penal Code section 487(b)(3), and possession of a controlled substance 8 without a prescription, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 4060. On July 11, 9 2008, Respondent was convicted on her guilty plea to a lesser charge of petty theft, a violation of 10 Penal Code section 484(a). The charge was subsequently reduced to a misdemeanor and the other 11 charges were dismissed. 12

20. As a result of her conviction, Respondent was sentenced to 3 years probation, ordered
to complete 40 hours of community service, ordered to serve 1 day in jail, ordered to pay \$130 in
fines and fees and to pay restitution to her employer.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 11, 2008 Conviction of Petty Theft on May 14, 2008)

18 21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301(l) for
19 conviction of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a
20 pharmacy technician in that in *The People of the State of California v. Maria Mimi Schreiber, aka*21 *Maria Carmen Schreiber, aka Mimi Rosado, aka Maria Carmen Rosado*, Orange County
22 Superior Court, Newport Beach Facility, Case No. 08HM05114, Respondent was convicted of
23 petty theft as more fully set forth in paragraphs 17-21, above and incorporated by this reference as
24 though set forth in full herein.

25

26

27

16

17

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Commission of Acts Involving Dishonesty)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(f) for

28 unprofessional conduct by committing acts involving moral turpitude and dishonesty when on or

1	about May 14, 2008, and the two weeks prior, Respondent stole approximately 325 Soma pills	
2	from her employer, Pavillions Grocery Store, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 17-21, above	
3	and incorporated by this reference as though set forth in full herein.	
4	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
5	(Unprofessional Conduct – Self-administration of Dangerous Drugs)	
6	23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(h) for	
7	unprofessional conduct in administering to herself a dangerous drug, Soma, to the extent or in a	
8	matter that was dangerous or injurious to herself such that Respondent admittedly became	
9	addicted to the drug and resorted to stealing from her employer, Pavillions Grocery Store, as more	
10	fully set forth in paragraphs 17-21, above and incorporated by this reference as though set forth in	
11	full herein	
12	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
13	(Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of Laws Regulating Dangerous Drugs)	
14	24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(j), in	
15	conjunction with Code section 4059(a), for unprofessional conduct in violating any statutes of	
16	this state regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs in that Respondent stole Soma	
17	pills, a dangerous drug, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 17-21, above and incorporated by	
18	this reference as though set forth in full herein.	
19	PRAYER	
20	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
21	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
22	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 12067,	
23	issued to Maria Carmen Schreiber.	
24	2. Ordering Maria Carmen Schreiber to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs	
25	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code	
26	section 125.3;	
27	///	
28	///	
	7	
	Accusation	

 $\widehat{}$

•

.

 \bigcirc

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. DATED: 30/10 VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Rharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2009805231 80428914.doc Accusation